The cohomology of rank two stable bundle moduli: mod two nilpotency & skew Schur polynomials

Christopher Scaduto & Matthew Stoffregen

Abstract

We compute cup product pairings in the integral cohomology ring of the moduli space of rank two stable bundles with odd determinant over a Riemann surface using methods of Zagier. The resulting formula is related to a generating function for certain skew Schur polynomials. As an application, we compute the nilpotency degree of a distinguished degree two generator in the mod two cohomology ring. We then give descriptions of the mod two cohomology rings in low genus, and describe the subrings invariant under the mapping class group action.

1 Introduction

Let Σ_g be a closed, oriented surface of genus g, and let N_g be the moduli space of flat SU(2) connections on Σ_g having holonomy -1 around a single puncture. The space N_g is smooth symplectic manifold of dimension 6g - 6, and twice the class of its symplectic form, denoted α , is a generator of $H^2(N_g;\mathbb{Z})$. If Σ_g is given a complex structure, then N_g may be identified with the moduli space of stable holomorphic bundles of rank 2 with fixed odd determinant.

The betti numbers of N_g were first computed by Newstead [New67], and Atiyah-Bott [AB83] later showed that $H^*(N_g;\mathbb{Z})$ is torsion-free. Newstead also showed in [New72] that the cohomology ring is generated by integral classes $\alpha, \beta, \psi_1, \ldots, \psi_{2g}$ over the rationals. Here β is degree 4, and each ψ_i is degree 3. Newstead conjectured the relation $\beta^g = 0$, which was proved by Thaddeus [Tha92] and Kirwan [Kir92]. A beautiful presentation for the rational cohomology ring of N_g was established by several [Bar94, KN98, ST95, Zag95] following the work of Thaddeus [Tha92].

The nilpotency degree of an element x in a ring is the smallest $n \ge 1$ such that $x^n = 0$. In the integral cohomology ring, the nilpotency degree of β is equal to g, while that of α is equal to $3g - 4 = \frac{1}{2} \dim N_g + 1$, since α is proportional to the symplectic form class. The situation is quite different with \mathbb{Z}_2 -coefficients. First, the mod 2 reduction of α can be realized as $w_2(E)$ of an SO(3)-bundle E over N_g for which $\beta = p_1(E)$. By the general relation $w_2(E)^2 \equiv p_1(E) \pmod{2}$,

$$\alpha^2 \equiv \beta \mod 2$$

In particular, β is a redundant generator over \mathbb{Z}_2 . Indeed, Atiyah-Bott [AB83] tell us that to generate the cohomology ring over the integers, we need the classes α , $\frac{1}{4}(\alpha^2 - \beta), \psi_1, \ldots, \psi_{2g}$ and additional classes $\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_{2g-1}$. Here δ_i has degree 2*i*. We will see that we only need the mod 2 reductions of $\alpha, \psi_1, \ldots, \psi_{2g}$ and δ_{2i} for $2 \leq 2^i \leq 2g - 1$ in order to generate $H^*(N_g; \mathbb{Z}_2)$. The moduli space N_g embeds into the moduli space M_g of projectively flat U(2) connections on Σ_g of fixed odd degree without fixed determinant. This is again a smooth symplectic manifold, now of dimension 8g - 6. It has a corresponding degree 2 class $a_1 \in H^2(M_g; \mathbb{Z})$ that restricts to α . The nilpotency degrees of α and a_1 with \mathbb{Z}_2 -coefficients are as follows.

Theorem 1.1. The nilpotency degree of α as viewed in $H^2(N_g; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ is equal to g:

 $\alpha^g \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \qquad \alpha^{g-1} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2}.$

On the other hand, the nilpotency degree of a_1 as viewed in $H^2(M_q; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ is equal to 2q:

 $a_1^{2g} \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \qquad a_1^{2g-1} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2}.$

To establish that α^g is zero mod 2, we consider its cup product pairings with monomials in the generators listed above. The pairing formula will be expressed as the extraction of a coefficient from a formal power series whose coefficients are symmetric functions. To state the result, it is convenient to introduce the rational cohomology classes ξ_i on N_g which satisfy:

$$\xi_{i} = \sum_{j=0}^{i} \binom{2g-1-j}{i-j} \left(-\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)^{i-j} \delta_{j}, \qquad \delta_{i} = \sum_{j=0}^{i} \binom{2g-1-j}{i-j} \left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)^{i-j} \xi_{j}.$$
(1)

More precisely, the left-hand formula in (1) may be taken as the definition of ξ_i , and the righthand formula is the induced inverse relation between the δ_i and ξ_i generators. Note that $2^i \xi_i$ is an integral cohomology class for N_g of degree 2*i*. Next, we let e_i denote the *i*th elementary symmetric function, and m_{λ} the monomial symmetric function associated to a partition λ . Define $U(T) = \sum_{n \ge 0} m_{(2^n 1)}(-T)^n$ as a power series with coefficients in the ring of symmetric functions. Here, the notation $(2^n 1)$ stands for the partition with 1 one and *n* two's. Also define

$$Q(T) = e_1 + e_3 T + e_5 T^2 + e_7 T^3 + \dots = \sum_{n \ge 0} e_{2n+1} T^n.$$

We write $x[N_g]$ for the evaluation of a top-degree integral cohomology class x against the fundamental class of N_g . The following, along with (1), computes the pairings $\delta_{\lambda_1}\delta_{\lambda_2}\cdots\delta_{\lambda_k}[N_g]$, and is the main technical result of the paper.

Theorem 1.2. Suppose $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_n)$ is a partition of 3g - 3. Then we have:

$$\xi_{\lambda_1}\xi_{\lambda_2}\cdots\xi_{\lambda_n}[N_g] = \frac{1}{2^{g-1}} \cdot \operatorname{Coeff}_{m_\lambda T^{g-1}} \left[U(T)^g / Q(T) \right]$$

We obtain a similar formula for pairings on M_g . Since δ_1 is a non-zero multiple of α , Theorem 1.2 can be used to compute pairings involving both powers of α and δ_i classes. In the sequel, we will also write down pairing formulas involving the ψ_i classes. The proofs of these pairing formulas follow the computational framework of Zagier [Zag95], whose starting point was Thaddeus's formula for the intersection pairings involving the Newstead classes $\alpha, \beta, \psi_1, \ldots, \psi_{2g}$.



Figure 1: With n = 6, the skew tableaux appearing in the skew Schur functions and 1/Q(T) and 1/E(T).

As pointed out to the authors by Ira Gessel, up to some renormalizing, the reciprocal power series 1/Q(T) is a generating function for the skew Schur functions associated to a particular family of skew partitions. We briefly explain this. Let $\lambda(n,m)$ be the partition $(n, \dots, n, n-1, n-2, \dots, 2, 1)$ where *n* appears *m* times. Note that $\lambda(n,0) = (n-1, n-2, \dots, 2, 1)$. In general, if λ and μ are paritions, the skew partition λ/μ is pictorially the result of drawing the Young tableau for λ and deleting the part of the tableau given by μ . See Figure 1. To any skew tableau λ/μ there is defined a skew Schur symmetric function $s_{\lambda/\mu}$. We will explain in Section 4.3 the following identity:

$$1/Q(T) = \sum_{n \ge 0} e_1^{-n-1} s_{\lambda(n,3)/\lambda(n,0)} (-T)^n.$$
(2)

As the power series U(T) is comparatively simple, we see that the complexity of the cup product pairings among the δ_i classes comes from the skew Schur functions $s_{\lambda(n,3)/\lambda(n,0)}$.

Theorem 1.2 allows us to explicitly describe the ring $H^*(N_g;\mathbb{Z}_2)$ for low genus, and we do this in Section 6. Ideally, we would like to find presentations for these rings that are as nice as the recursive presentations for $H^*(N_g;\mathbb{Q})$ as found by [Bar94, KN98, ST95, Zag95]. The situation for non-rational coefficients seems more complicated, however, as our computations suggest.

The manifold N_g may be given complex structure, and is in fact an example of a smooth Fano variety. In particular, in place of the δ_i above, we may consider the products of its Chern classes. Here another power series E(T) with coefficients symmetric functions appears:

$$E(T) = 1 + e_2 T + e_4 T^2 + e_6 T^3 + \dots = \sum_{n \ge 0} e_{2n} T^n.$$

The analogue of (2) is the relation $1/E(T) = \sum_{n \ge 0} s_{\lambda(n,2)/\lambda(n,0)} (-T)^n$. We then have the following, whose proof is very similar to the proof of Theorem 1.2:

Theorem 1.3. Suppose $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n)$ is a partition of 3g - 3. Set $c_i = c_i(TN_g)$. Then:

$$c_{\lambda_1}c_{\lambda_2}\cdots c_{\lambda_n}[N_g] = (-2)^{3g-3} \cdot \operatorname{Coeff}_{m_\lambda T^{g-1}} \left[U(T)^g / Q(T) E(T) \right]$$

This theorem has the following application. From [New72, §4], we know that the total Pontryagin class of N_g is equal to $(1 + \beta)^{2g-2}$. The relation $\beta^g = 0$ mentioned above then implies that all Pontryagin numbers of N_g vanish. It is easy to see from Theorem 1.3 that the Chern numbers of N_g are all even, and hence all Stiefel-Whitney numbers of N_g vanish. A theorem of Wall [Wal60] says that two closed, oriented manifolds are oriented-cobordant if and only if they have the same Pontryagin and Stiefel-Whitney numbers. We then deduce the following, which we suspect was already known, but for which we could not find a reference:

Corollary 1.4. The manifold N_g is oriented null-cobordant.

We make a few historical remarks. The classes δ_i are Chern classes of the direct image of a universal rank two complex bundle over the moduli space N_g . The Riemann-Roch formula gives expressions for its Chern classes in terms of the more basic classes α, β, ψ_j . The direct image bundle has rank 2g - 1, and so the expressions one obtains for Chern classes in degrees higher than 2g - 1are relations in the cohomology ring. Mumford is usually credited with conjecturing that these expressions, at least in the case of M_g , form a complete set of relations, see [AB83, p. 582]. This was proved by Kirwan [Kir92]. The beautiful recursive presentation for the rational cohomology ring found later by [Bar94, KN98, ST95, Zag95] uses relations that are most naturally viewed as Chern classes of a bundle over N_g induced by an embedding into a Grassmannian, see e.g. [ST95, §1]. However, Zagier shows at the end of Section 6 in [Zag95] that they can also be recovered from the Chern classes of the direct image bundle.

The work presented here is motivated by a problem in instanton homology with mod two coefficients, and in particular, the analogue of Muñoz's work [Muñ99] in characteristic two. The mod 2 instanton homology of a surface times a circle with non-trivial SO(3)-bundle should be a deformation of the ring $H^*(N_g; \mathbb{Z}/2)$, and should agree with a version of the quantum cohomology of the symplectic manifold N_g with mod 2 coefficients. We expect the nilpotency degree of α as viewed in this deformation, perhaps in the ring modulo the ψ_i classes, to be related to homology cobordism invariants defined in unpublished work by Frøyshov using mod 2 instanton homology. The analogue in rational coefficients is the nilpotency degree [g/2] of $\beta \mod \gamma$ that appears in Føyshov's inequality [Fy04, Thm. 1] for his *h*-invariant. See also the related paper [CS]. The authors plan to return to these motivations in forthcoming work.

In a spin-off article, we will use the computations here to study the mod two betti numbers of the *framed* moduli space, which is an SO(3) bundle over N_g . These betti numbers are determined by the ranks of the maps on $H^i(N_q;\mathbb{Z}_2)$ given by cup-product with the degree two class α .

Outline. Background is provided in Section 2, as well as several useful results regarding generators for the cohomology rings of N_g and M_g for different coefficient rings. In Section 3 we review Thaddeus's pairing formula for the Newstead generators. In Section 4 we prove Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 and discuss some of their implications, as well as the relationship with skew Schur functions. In Section 5 we prove Theorem 1.1. Finally, in Section 6 we present computations obtained using Theorem 1.2, and describe the mod two cohomology rings of N_g for low genus.

Acknowledgments. The authors thank Ira Gessel for a very helpful email correspondence. The first author was supported by NSF grant DMS-1503100.

2 Background & Generators

In this section we describe sets of generators for the cohomology rings of N_g and M_g for different coefficient rings. We also write down generators for the subring of the cohomology of N_g invariant under the mapping class group action. As we proceed, we will introduce some necessary background, but see [Tha97] for a more proper introduction. We take a moment to emphasize here an important point about our notation regarding the generators δ_i and d_i introduced below. Singling out a handle of the surface Σ_g induces embeddings of N_{g-1} and M_{g-1} into N_g and M_g , respectively.

Caution: The restriction of $\delta_i \in H^{2i}(N_g; \mathbb{Z})$ is not equal to $\delta_i \in H^{2i}(N_{g-1}; \mathbb{Z})$. Similarly, the restriction of $d_i \in H^{2i}(M_g; \mathbb{Z})$ is not equal to $d_i \in H^{2i}(M_{g-1}; \mathbb{Z})$.

For this reason, in the sequel we sometimes write $\delta_{g,i}$ and $d_{g,i}$ for δ_i and d_i , respectively. Finally, we mention that the contents of this section are derived mostly from Atiyah-Bott [AB83], with the help of some additional observations.

2.1 Integral generators for the cohomology of M_q

We begin by defining the Atiyah-Bott generators for the ring $H^*(M_g;\mathbb{Z})$. Central to the discussion is a universal rank two holomorphic bundle $U_g \longrightarrow M_g \times \Sigma_g$. There is an ambiguity in the choice of this bundle: tensoring by any holomorphic line bundle over $M_g \times \Sigma_g$ produces another, possibly non-isomorphic, universal bundle. Atiyah-Bott fix their choice of universal bundle by starting with any universal U_g and defining the following normalized bundle:

$$V_g \coloneqq U_g \otimes f^* \left(\det \left(U_g |_{M_g} \right)^{\otimes g} \otimes \det \left(f_! U_g \right) \right).$$
(3)

Here and throughout, f denotes the projection from $M_g \times \Sigma_g$ onto M_g . The notation $f_!U_g$ denotes the direct image of U_g , which in our situation is a genuine holomorphic bundle of rank 2g-1, with its fiber over $y \in M_g$ equal to $H^0(M_g; U_g|_y)$. We remind the reader of the Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch theorem in this setting: writing $\omega \in H^2(\Sigma_g; \mathbb{Z})$ for the orientation class of the surface Σ_g , for any holomorphic vector bundle W lying over $M_g \times \Sigma_g$ we have

$$\operatorname{ch}(f_!W) = f_*(\operatorname{ch}(W)(1 - (g - 1)\omega)).$$
 (4)

From this one can obtain expressions for the Chern classes $c_i(f_!V_g)$ in terms of expressions for the Chern classes $c_i(V_g)$. Since V_g itself is rank two, the only non-zero Chern classes are $c_1(V_g)$ and $c_2(V_g)$. The first of these may be written as follows:

$$c_1(V_g) = a_1 \otimes 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{2g} b_1^j \otimes f_j + (4g - 3) \otimes \omega.$$
 (5)

Here we are using the Künneth decomposition of $H^*(M_g \times \Sigma_g)$, and we have fixed a symplectic basis f_1, \ldots, f_{2g} of $H^1(\Sigma_g; \mathbb{Z})$, such that, for $1 \leq i \leq g$, we have $f_i f_{i+g} = \omega$ and $f_i f_j = 0$ for $j \neq i+g$. Next, the second Chern class may be written as follows:

$$c_2(V_g) = a_2 \otimes 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{2g} b_2^j \otimes f_j + \left((2g-1)a_1 - \sum_{j=1}^g b_1^j b_1^{j+g} \right) \otimes \omega.$$
(6)

The terms appearing in front of ω are computed in [AB83]. Other than these tail terms, the expressions (5) and (6) implicitly define the following elements:

$$a_1 \in H^2(M_g; \mathbb{Z}), \qquad a_2 \in H^4(M_g; \mathbb{Z}), \qquad b_1^j \in H^1(M_g; \mathbb{Z}), \qquad b_2^j \in H^3(M_g; \mathbb{Z}),$$

in which $1 \leq j \leq 2g$. Next, we use the direct image bundle to define the following classes:

$$d_i = d_{q,i} \coloneqq c_i(f_!V_q) \in H^{2i}(M_q;\mathbb{Z}), \qquad 1 \le i \le 2g-1.$$

We remark that the Riemann-Roch formula (4) implies $d_1 = (g-1)a_1$, which may be written more explicitly as $c_1(f_!V_g) = (g-1)c_1(V_g|_{M_g})$. This is briefly explained below. As warned in the introduction to this section, in contrast to the classes a_1, a_2, b_1^j, b_2^j , the restriction of $d_{g,i}$ to M_{g-1} is not equal to $d_{g-1,i}$. This is evident for d_1 , as just seen, and will be clear more generally from the formulas below. We now state the fundamental result due to Atiyah-Bott:

Theorem 2.1 ([AB83] Thm 9.11). The elements $a_1, a_2, b_1^j, b_2^j, d_i$ generate the ring $H^*(M_g; \mathbb{Z})$, where the indices run over $1 \leq j \leq 2g$ and $2 \leq i \leq 2g - 1$.

Since d_1 is an integral multiple of a_1 , it is in fact redundant. We can also show that the generator a_2 is redundant for certain coefficient rings, as follows.

In principle, all the classes d_i can be computed from the Riemann-Roch formula (4) as rational expressions in the generators a_1, a_2, b_1^j, b_2^j . We will, essentially, accomplish this later using a computational framework set up by Zagier. As a basic example, however, we consider the computation of d_2 . First, we remind the reader of the first few terms of the Chern character:

ch = rk +
$$c_1$$
 + $\left(\frac{1}{2}c_1^2 - c_2\right)$ + $\left(\frac{1}{6}c_1^3 - \frac{1}{2}c_1c_2 + \frac{1}{2}c_3\right)$ + ...

Here 'ch' is the Chern character of any complex vector bundle, 'rk' is the rank, and c_i stands for the i^{th} Chern class. To begin using Riemann-Roch on our universal bundle V_g , we first note that the powers of $c_1(V_g)$ and $c_2(V_g)$ are straightforward to compute:

$$c_{1}(V_{g})^{n} = a_{1}^{n} \otimes 1 + n \sum_{j=1}^{2g} a_{1}^{n-1} b_{1}^{j} \otimes f_{j} + (n(4g-3)a_{1}^{n-1} - n(n-1)a_{1}^{n-2}B_{1}) \otimes \omega$$

$$c_{2}(V_{g})^{n} = a_{2}^{n} \otimes 1 + n \sum_{j=1}^{2g} a_{2}^{n-1} b_{2}^{j} \otimes f_{j} + (n(2g-1)a_{1}a_{2}^{n-1} - nB_{1}a_{2}^{n-1} + n(n-1)a_{2}^{n-2}B_{2}) \otimes \omega$$

Here we have set $B_i = \sum_{j=1}^g b_i^j b_i^{j+g}$ for i = 1, 2. As the bundle V_g has rank two, we know that all Chern classes $c_i(V_g)$ for $i \ge 3$ are zero. It is then a routine matter to write out the first few terms of $\operatorname{ch}(V_g) - (g-1)\operatorname{ch}(V_g) \cdot 1 \otimes \omega$, and then apply f_* , which simply picks out the terms in this expression that factor out an ω . Setting this equal to $\operatorname{ch}(f_!V_g) = \operatorname{rk}(f_!V_g) + d_1 + \frac{1}{2}d_1^2 - d_2 + \ldots$, as (4) dictates, yields the equalities $\operatorname{rk}(f_!V_g) = 2g - 1$ and $d_1 = (g-1)a_1$, which were mentioned above, and also

$$d_2 = \frac{1}{2} \left((g-1)(g-2)a_1^2 + (2g-1)a_2 + a_1B_1 - B_{12} \right)$$
(7)

where $B_{12} = \sum_{j=1}^{g} b_1^j b_2^{j+g} - b_1^{j+g} b_2^j$. From this equation we see that a_2 , multiplied by the number 2g-1, is equal to an integral expression in the generators a_1, b_1^j, b_2^j and d_2 . Thus:

Corollary 2.2. If m and 2g-1 are coprime, then the residue classes of the elements a_1, b_1^j, b_2^j, d_i generate the ring $H^*(M_q; \mathbb{Z}_m)$, where the indices run over $1 \le j \le 2g$ and $2 \le i \le 2g-1$.

Finally, we take a moment to mention an elementary but important point. Recall that the cohomology ring of any space is a graded commutative ring. This means that $ab = (-1)^{|a||b|}ba$ for any two homogeneously graded elements a, b in the ring, where |a| denotes the grading of a. When we take the tensor product of two such rings, the product is the graded commutative product, given by

$$(a \otimes b) \cdot (c \otimes d) = (-1)^{|b||c|} ac \otimes bd.$$

This is relevant in the above computations, all done in the context of a Künneth decomposition, and the reader should be aware of this for the computations below. When all elements involved are of even gradings, as is often the case, there is of course no difference between this product and the ordinary product induced by tensor product.

2.2 The redundancy of some generators over \mathbb{Z}_p

Here we explain why some of the d_i are redundant generators when working over the field \mathbb{Z}/p for p prime. We begin by recalling where Atiyah-Bott's generators for $H^*(M_g;\mathbb{Z})$ come from.

Recall that M_g may be identified with the moduli space of projectively flat connections on a U(2)-bundle P over Σ_g with odd first Chern class. Let \mathscr{G} be the gauge group consisting of bundle automorphisms of P, and write $\overline{\mathscr{G}}$ for the quotient of \mathscr{G} by its constant central U(1)-subgroup. Further, write \mathscr{C} for the affine space of connections on P, and \mathscr{C}_{ss} for stratum of projectively flat connections. From the holomorphic viewpoint, this is the semi-stable stratum. Atiyah-Bott show that there is an induced surjection in equivariant cohomology

$$H^*_{\overline{\mathscr{A}}}(\mathscr{C};\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H^*_{\overline{\mathscr{A}}}(\mathscr{C}_{ss};\mathbb{Z})$$

Indeed, they show that the Yang-Mills functional on \mathscr{C} is equivariantly perfect Morse-Bott, and \mathscr{C}_{ss} is the manifold of absolute minima. The domain of this map may be identified with the ordinary cohomology of the classifying space $B\overline{\mathscr{G}}$ and the codomain with that of M_q . They then obtain

the generators for the cohomology of M_g from generators for that of $B\overline{\mathscr{G}}$. The generators for the cohomology of $B\overline{\mathscr{G}}$ are obtained via the homological triviality of the following three fibrations:

We have written $\mathscr{G}^{\#}$ for the based gauge group and $\Omega U(2)$ for the identity component of the based loop space of U(2). The classes a_1 and a_2 come from generators for the cohomology of BU(2), while b_1^j and b_2^j correspond to generators of the j^{th} factor of U(2) inside the product $U(2)^{2g}$. The generators d_i are replaceable by generators e_i which can be traced back to generators for the cohomology of $\Omega U(2)$. See Proposition 2.20 of [AB83] for more details.

Recalling that the loop space of a circle is homotopy equivalent to a countable set of points, and that U(2) is topologically a circle times a 3-sphere, we conclude that the based loop space of U(2) is homotopy equivalent to $\mathbb{Z} \times \Omega S^3$, and in particular $\Omega U(2)$ may be identified with the loop space of the 3-sphere. Now, the cohomology ring of ΩS^3 is well-known to be isomorphic to a *divided* polynomial algebra. Recall [Hat02] that the divided polynomial algebra $\Gamma_{\mathbb{Z}}[x]$ at level n, for some even integer $n = \deg(x_1)$, is a ring with generators x_i for $i \ge 1$ with $\deg(x_i) = ni$ such that $x_1^k = k! x_k$. Consequently, $x_i x_j = {i+j \choose i} x_{i+j}$. Note that as a rational algebra, $\Gamma_{\mathbb{Z}}[x] \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is generated by x_1 . The cohomology ring of ΩS^3 is isomorphic to $\Gamma_{\mathbb{Z}}[x]$ with $\deg(x_1) = 2$.

For a prime number p, the divided polynomial algebra $\Gamma_{\mathbb{Z}_p}[x]$ over the field \mathbb{Z}_p does not need nearly as many generators. In fact, see for example loc. cit., we have an isomorphism

$$\Gamma_{\mathbb{Z}_p}[x] \cong \bigotimes_{i \ge 0} \mathbb{Z}_p[x_{p^i}]/(x_{p^i}^p).$$

Since the lifts of the generators d_i in the cohomology of $B\overline{\mathscr{G}}$ as in [AB83] come from generators for the cohomology of $\Omega U(2)$ via the homological triviality of the above fibrations, over \mathbb{Z}_p one only needs the mod p residue classes of the generators d_{p^i} . Thus:

Corollary 2.3. If p is prime, then the residue classes of the elements $a_1, a_2, b_1^j, b_2^j, d_{p^i}$ generate the ring $H^*(M_q; \mathbb{Z}_p)$, where the indices run over $1 \leq j \leq 2g$ and $2 \leq p^i \leq 2g - 1$.

2.3 Integral generators for the cohomology of N_q

We now proceed to the generators of the fixed determinant moduli space N_g . Using the Künneth decomposition of $H^*(N_g \times \Sigma_g)$, we implicitly define

$$\alpha \in H^2(N_g; \mathbb{Z}), \qquad \psi_j \in H^3(N_g; \mathbb{Z}), \qquad \beta \in H^4(N_g; \mathbb{Z}),$$

in which $1 \leq j \leq 2g$, by the following Chern class expression, with constants arranged to follow the standard conventions in the literature:

$$c_2\left(\operatorname{End}(V_g)|_{N_g \times \Sigma_g}\right) = -\beta \otimes 1 + 4 \sum_{j=1}^{2g} \psi_j \otimes f_j + 2\alpha \otimes \omega.$$
(8)

We will shortly relate these classes to the generators of M_g mentioned thus far. For this we will use the embedding $\iota: N_g \longrightarrow M_g$. It will be useful for the sequel to consider how the intersection pairings for N_g and M_g are related, and for this we make use of a 4^g-fold covering map

$$p: N_g \times J_g \xrightarrow{4^g:1} M_g, \tag{9}$$

in which J_g is the Jacobian torus of Σ_g . More precisely, M_g is the quotient of $N_g \times J_g$ by a free \mathbb{Z}_2^{2g} -action. The Jacobian is the moduli space of flat U(1) connection on Σ_g , and this covering is defined by tensoring the connection classes in N_g and J_g .

The map p induces an isomorphism in rational cohomology, as is shown in [AB83, Sec. 9]. This may be deduced from the fact that the relevant \mathbb{Z}_2^{2g} -action on $H^*(N_g \times J_g; \mathbb{Q})$ is trivial. We now write down the effect of p^* on some of the generators that we have introduced thus far. Let $\theta_j \in H^1(J_g; \mathbb{Z})$ be the generator corresponding to $f_j \in H^1(\Sigma_g; \mathbb{Z})$. Then we have:

Proposition 2.4. The homomorphism $p^* : H^*(M_q; \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H^*(N_q; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes H^*(J_q; \mathbb{Z})$ is given by:

$$p^{*}(a_{1}) = \alpha \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes 4\Theta, \qquad p^{*}(a_{2}) = \frac{1}{4} \left(p^{*}(a_{1})^{2} - \beta \otimes 1 \right)$$
$$p^{*}(b_{1}^{j}) = 1 \otimes 2\theta_{j}, \qquad p^{*}(b_{2}^{j}) = \psi_{j} \otimes 1 + p^{*}(a_{1}) \cdot (1 \otimes \theta_{j})$$

in which $\Theta = \sum_{j=1}^{g} \theta_j \theta_{j+g}$.

Proof. The proof of this proposition is more or less implicit in Atiyah-Bott [AB83, p. 585]; we briefly sketch the argument. We first recall the identity $c_2(\operatorname{End}(W)) = 4c_2(W) - c_1(W)^2$ for any rank two bundle W. Letting $\iota: N_g \longrightarrow M_g$ denote the inclusion map, we then equate the terms of (8) with ι^* applied to the expression $4c_2(V_q) - c_1^2(V_q)$ formed by (5) and (6) to obtain:

$$\iota^* \left(a_1 - B_1 \right) = \alpha, \qquad \iota^* \left(b_2^j - a_1 b_1^j / 2 \right) = \psi_j, \qquad \iota^* \left(a_1^2 - 4a_2 \right) = \beta. \tag{10}$$

Next, observe that the endomorphism bundle of V_g is acted on trivially by J_g , and that the restriction of p to N_g is equal to ι . These observations imply the equations obtained from (10) by replacing each ι^* with p^* , and replacing α with $\alpha \otimes 1$, and similarly for ψ_j and β . Otherwise said, the pullback of V_g via the map p factors through ι . The relations of the resulting equations determine the proposition, except for the fact that $p^*(b_1^j) = 1 \otimes 2\theta_j$. This last point has only to do with how the 1-skeleton of $N_g \times J_g$ is mapped to M_g under p, which, at least up to sign, is transparent from the covering structure: each loop upstairs double covers a loop downstairs. To be more precise, we note that V_g pulls back and restricts over $J_g \times \Sigma_g$ to the bundle $U_J^{\otimes 2}$ in which U_J is a universal bundle over $J_g \times \Sigma_g$. One can then compute that $c_1(U_J) = \Sigma \theta_j \otimes f_j$, see for example [FL01, Lemma 2.23], which in turn implies $p^*(b_1^j) = 1 \otimes 2\theta_j$. Observe that this proposition completely determines the map p^* , since the generators under consideration rationally generate the cohomology ring of M_g . From the above computation, we gather that the homomorphism $\iota^* : H^*(M_g; \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H^*(N_g; \mathbb{Z})$ is determined as follows:

$$\iota^*(a_1) = \alpha, \qquad \iota^*(a_2) = (\alpha^2 - \beta)/4, \qquad \iota^*(b_1^j) = 0, \qquad \iota^*(b_2^j) = \psi_j.$$

Now, with an eye towards producing generators for the integral cohomology ring of N_g , we define the δ_i from the introduction to be the restrictions of the classes d_i from M_g :

$$\delta_i = \delta_{g,i} := \iota^*(d_i) = c_i(f_!V_g|_{N_g}).$$

Proposition 2.5. The elements α , $\frac{1}{4}(\alpha^2 - \beta)$, ψ_j , δ_i generate the ring $H^*(N_g; \mathbb{Z})$, where the indices run over $1 \leq j \leq 2g$ and $2 \leq i \leq 2g - 1$.

Proof. Since these classes are the images of the generators for M_g under ι^* , it suffices to show that ι^* is onto. For this we consider the map $M_g \longrightarrow J_g$ which sends a connection class to its determinant connection class. This is a fibration with fibers homeomorphic to N_g . The Leray-Serre spectral sequence for this fibration collapses at the E_2 -page: any non-trivial differentials, or non-trivial local-coefficient systems, are ruled out by the fact that the cohomologies of M_g and $N_g \times J_g$ are torsion-free and of the same rank. The collapsing at E_2 then implies that the restriction map from the cohomology of M_g to that of N_g is surjective.

Corollary 2.6. If p is prime, then the residue classes of the elements α , $\frac{1}{4}(\alpha^2 - \beta)$, ψ_j , δ_{p^i} generate the ring $H^*(N_g; \mathbb{Z}_p)$, where $1 \leq j \leq 2g$ and $2 \leq p^i \leq 2g - 1$. If p + 2g - 1, then $\frac{1}{4}(\alpha^2 - \beta)$ is redundant.

2.4 Twisting by a line bundle to define z_i and ξ_i

We now describe how the generators d_i and δ_i can be replaced with generators obtained from twisting by a line bundle, and then define the classes z_i and ξ_i .

The elements d_i were defined as the Chern classes $c_i(f_!V_g)$ in which the universal bundle V_g is normalized such that $c_1(f_!V_g) = (g-1)c_1(V_g|_{M_g})$, i.e. $d_1 = (g-1)a_1$. However, Theorem 2.1 as stated by Atiyah-Bott holds for any normalization of V_g . In particular, if we consider the universal bundle which is V_g twisted by a power of the pull-back of det $(V_g|_{M_g})$, i.e. the bundle

$$V_g \otimes f^* \det \left(V_g |_{M_g} \right)^{\otimes n} \tag{11}$$

then the Chern classes of its direct image will still, along with a_1, a_2, b_1^j, b_2^j , generate the integral cohomology ring of M_g . When we consider the direct image of (11) under the projection f, it is useful to mention that in general $f_!(W \otimes f^*L)$ is isomorphic to $f_!W \otimes L$. Here we recall the Chern class formula for tensoring a vector bundle W of rank r by a line bundle L:

$$c_i(W \otimes L) = \sum_{j=0}^{i} {r-j \choose i-j} c_1(L)^{i-j} c_j(W).$$
(12)

This tells us how the generators d_i transform after twisting by a line bundle: upon setting $W = f_!V_g$ and $L = \det(V_g|_{M_g})$, the above formula has r = 2g - 1, $c_j(W) = d_j$ and $c_1(L) = (2n - 1)a_1$. Although setting n = -1/2 does not transform the d_i to integral generators, it is a case of particular computational interest to us, and so we define the transformed generators:

$$z_i := c_i \left(f_! V_g \otimes \det \left(V_g |_{M_g} \right)^{-1/2} \right), \qquad \xi_i := c_i \left(f_! V_g \otimes \det \left(V_g |_{M_g} \right)^{-1/2} \right).$$

Of course, the bundles here are not actual vector bundles, but z_i and ξ_i may be defined in terms of d_i and δ_i using (12). Alternatively, one may think of the bundles that appear in the setting of rational K-theory. The class $2^i z_i$ (resp. $2^i \xi_i$) is in the integral cohomology of M_g (resp. N_g). The formula (12) relating δ_i with ξ_i is what appears in the introduction as (1), and a similar formula holds replacing ξ_i with z_i , δ_i with d_i , and α with a_1 . Note $\xi_1 = -\alpha/2$ and $z_1 = -a_1/2$. For the same reason as was for d_i and δ_i , the classes z_i and ξ_i may sometimes be written $z_{g,i}$ and $\xi_{g,i}$.

Finally, we mention that if we are working over the coefficient ring \mathbb{Z}_m with m odd, then ξ_i may be defined as an honest class in $H^{2i}(N_g; \mathbb{Z}_m)$ by interpreting 1/2 in the above formulas as the mod m inverse of 2. A similar remark holds for the classes z_i . We then have:

Proposition 2.7. If m is odd, to generate the ring $H^*(N_g; \mathbb{Z}_m)$, we may replace the δ_i generators by the ξ_i classes as interpreted above. Similarly, to generate $H^*(M_q; \mathbb{Z}_m)$ we may replace d_i by z_i .

2.5 Generators for the invariant subring of N_q

The mapping class group of Σ_g acts on the moduli space N_g in a natural way. The subgroup of the mapping class group that acts trivially on the homology of Σ_g , called the Torelli group, acts trivially on $H^*(N_g;\mathbb{Z})$. Thus the action of the mapping class group on $H^*(N_g;\mathbb{Z})$ descends to an action of the quotient group, which is $\operatorname{Sp}(H^1(\Sigma_g;\mathbb{Z}))$. Having previously chosen a symplectic basis for the first cohomology group of Σ_g , we may identify this as an action of $\operatorname{Sp}(2g,\mathbb{Z})$.

The classes α and β are invariant under this action, while the classes ψ_j behave under the action as does a standard symplectic basis. It is convention to define the degree 6 element

$$\gamma := -2\sum_{j=1}^{g} \gamma_j \in H^6(N_g; \mathbb{Z}), \qquad \gamma_j := \psi_j \psi_{j+g},$$

for then α, β, γ generate the invariant ring over the rationals. This is a basic exercise in Sp(2g, \mathbb{Z})representation theory: the free graded-commutative algebra generated by the ψ_j has its invariant ring over the rationals generated by γ . Over the integers, however, the invariant ring is a divided polynomial algebra $\Gamma_{\mathbb{Z}}[v]$, in which we define v_k for $k \ge 1$ as follows:

$$\upsilon_k := \sum_{i_1 < \ldots < i_k} \gamma_{i_1} \cdots \gamma_{i_k} = \gamma^k / 2^k k!$$

We learned earlier that when working over the field \mathbb{Z}_p for p prime, one only needs the generators v_{p^i} . Note that $v_k = 0$ for $k \ge g$ for degree reasons. The classes δ_i as well as ξ_i are invariant under the action for the same reasons as are α and β ; alternatively, we will later see explicit expressions for these classes as rational polynomials in α, β, γ . We conclude:

Proposition 2.8.

- (i) The Sp(2g, \mathbb{Z})-invariant subring of $H^*(N_g; \mathbb{Z})$ is generated by α , $\frac{1}{4}(\alpha^2 \beta)$, δ_i , v_k where the indices run over $2 \leq i \leq 2g 1$ and $1 \leq k < g$.
- (ii) For p prime, the Sp(2g, \mathbb{Z})-invariant subring of $H^*(N_g; \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is generated by α , $\frac{1}{4}(\alpha^2 \beta)$, δ_{p^i} , v_{p^k} where the indices run over $2 \leq p^i \leq 2g - 1$ and $1 \leq p^k < g$.

As seen earlier, if in (ii) we have p + 2g - 1, then $(\alpha^2 - \beta)/4$ is redundant. Further, if p is odd, then the δ_i generators can be replaced by the ξ_i just as in the previous subsection. A similar proposition may be crafted for the invariant subring of M_g , for which one has the classes b_1^j and b_2^j instead of just the ψ_j , but we will not pursue this.

3 The intersection pairings for Newstead classes

The computational framework of Zagier [Zag95] that we use to prove Theorem 1.2 is derived from intersection pairing formulas of Thaddeus [Tha92] for monomials in α, β, ψ_j . We will not work directly with these formulas, but will need some of their properties for later.

Recall that dim $N_q = 6g - 6$ and deg $(\alpha) = 2$ and deg $(\beta) = 4$. Thaddeus computes

$$\alpha^{i}\beta^{j}[N_{g}] = (-1)^{g} \frac{i!}{(i-g+1)!} 2^{2g-2} \left(2^{i-g+1} - 2\right) B_{i-g+1}$$
(13)

whenever i + 2j = 3g - 3, where B_n is the n^{th} Bernoulli number, and should not be confused with the elements B_1 and B_2 defined earlier. This formula is the most fundamental; the inclusion of the ψ_j terms is handled with the following genus recursive property. For any subset $K \subset \{1, \ldots, g\}$ with cardinality |K| = k, and any $j \ge 0$ such that i + 3k + 2j = 3g - 3, we have

$$\alpha^{i}\beta^{j}\prod_{\ell\in K}\psi_{\ell}\psi_{\ell+g}[N_{g}] = \pm \alpha^{i}\beta^{j}[N_{g-k}].$$
(14)

The sign, which is $(-1)^{|K|}$, is not important for us. To prove (14), Thaddeus shows that $\psi_j \psi_{j+g}$ is Poincaré dual to the homology class of an appropriately embedded N_{g-1} inside N_g . Thaddeus further shows that if a subset $K \subset \{1, \ldots, 2g\}$ is such that $K \neq K + g$, then any pairing $\alpha^i \beta^j \prod_{\ell \in K} \psi_\ell[N_g]$ vanishes. Here K + g is the set of elements k+g where $k \in K$, in which addition is understood mod 2g. We now derive some similar properties for the intersection pairings of the larger moduli space M_g . The pairings in $H^*(M_g;\mathbb{Z})$ can be understood in terms of those in N_g using the covering (9) from $N_g \times J_g$ down to M_g : if $x \in H^{8g-6}(M_g;\mathbb{Z})$ is a top degree element, then

$$x[M_g] = \frac{1}{4^g} \left(p^*(x) / \Omega_g \right) [N_g], \tag{15}$$

where we have taken the slant-product with $\Omega_g \in H^{2g}(J_g;\mathbb{Z})$, the orientation class of the Jacobian J_g . The factor of $1/4^g$ appears because p is a 4^g -fold covering. From Prop. 2.4 and (13) we compute

$$a_1^{4g-3}[M_g] = \frac{(4g-3)!}{(2g-2)!} 2^{2g-2} \left(2^{2g-2} - 2 \right) |B_{2g-2}|$$
(16)

Indeed, this is the result of expanding $(\alpha \otimes 1 + 4 \otimes \Theta)^{4g-3}/4^g$, taking the slant product with Ω_g , which picks out the term in front of $\Theta^g/g!$, and evaluating against $[N_g]$. One can similarly use Prop. 2.4 and (13) to compute intersection pairings in M_g for monomials in a_1, a_2 . Next, we have the following analogue for M_g of the vanishing property for the ψ_j classes:

Proposition 3.1. Suppose J_1 and J_2 are subsets of $\{1, \ldots, 2g\}$, and that $x \in H^*(M_g; \mathbb{Z})$ is an element invariant under the $\operatorname{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z})$ -action. Then with $I_i = J_i \cap (J_i + g)$ for i = 1, 2 we have

$$J_1 \smallsetminus I_1 \neq (J_2 \smallsetminus I_2) + g \implies x \prod_{j \in J_1} b_1^j \prod_{j \in J_2} b_2^j [M_g] = 0.$$

Proof. We adapt Thaddeus's argument [Tha92], and use that $\operatorname{Sp}(2g,\mathbb{Z})$ acts in the same standard way on $\{b_1^j\}$ and $\{b_2^j\}$. First, suppose $k \in J_1 \smallsetminus I_1$ and either k, k+g are both in J_2 or both not contained in J_2 . Take an orientation-preserving diffeomorphism f of Σ_g such that the induced action f^* on $H^*(M_g;\mathbb{Z})$ fixes b_i^j for $j \notin \{k, k+g\}$ while $f^*b_i^k = -b_i^k$ and $f^*b_i^{k+g} = -b_i^{k+g}$. Then

$$x \prod_{j \in J_1} b_1^j \prod_{j \in J_2} b_2^j [M_g] = x \prod_{j \in J_1} f^* b_1^j \prod_{j \in J_2} f^* b_2^j [M_g]$$

where we have used invariance of the pairing. The right side, by our choice of f^* and our hypothesis on $k \in J_1$, is equal to minus the left side, forcing the pairing to be zero. The remaining case is when $k \in (J_1 \setminus I_1) \cap (J_2 \setminus I_2)$. Note $p^*(b_1^k b_2^k) = 2\psi_k \otimes \theta_k$. The vanishing then follows via (15) from the vanishing condition for the ψ_k , since the only way to produce ψ_{k+g} via p^* is to include b_2^{k+g} . \Box

We can also derive an analogue of (14) for M_q using Proposition 2.4 and formula (15):

$$x b_1^j b_1^{j+g} b_2^j b_2^{j+g} [M_g] = \pm i^* x [M_{g-1}]$$
(17)

Here x is any element of $H^*(M_g;\mathbb{Z})$, and i is the embedding of M_{g-1} into M_g corresponding to collapsing the jth handle of Σ_g .

4 The computation of integral intersection pairings

Here we present the main computation of the paper: we prove a generalization of Theorem 1.2 and its analogue for M_g . The proofs rely on the work of Zagier [Zag95]. We will use very basic symmetric function theory, background for which can be found in Appendix A.

First, some convenient notation. Let E be a complex vector bundle over an oriented, closed manifold M with dim M even. For later use, we define the Chern class polynomial $c(E)_x$ to be:

$$c(E)_x = \sum_{i \ge 0} c_i(E) x^i \in H^*(M; \mathbb{Z})[x].$$

For a partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k)$ we write $c_{\lambda}(E)$ for the product $c_{\lambda_1}(E) \cdots c_{\lambda_k}(E)$. We define the *Chern number polynomial* of the vector bundle *E*, written CN(E), by the formula

$$\mathsf{CN}(E) = \sum_{\lambda} c_{\lambda}(E)[M] \cdot m_{\lambda}$$

in which the sum is over all partitions λ . Here m_{λ} is the monomial symmetric function associated to λ . The only partitions λ contributing nonzero terms are those with $|\lambda| = \dim(M)/2$. Thus the Chern number polynomial is a symmetric function in the variables x_1, x_2, \ldots homogeneous of degree $\dim(M)/2$. It records all of the Chern numbers of the bundle E over M.

In addition to Q(T) and U(T) from the introduction, define the following formal power series in the variable T whose coefficients are in the ring Λ of symmetric functions with integer coefficients:

$$R(T) = \sum_{i \ge 0} (-1)^i m_{(2^i)} T^i, \qquad P(T) = \sum_{i \ge 0} (-1)^i \left(2m_{(2^i 1^2)} + (i+1)^2 m_{(2^{i+1})} \right) T^i.$$

Now, recall that for $k \leq g$ we have an embedding of the lower genus moduli space M_k into M_g , and similarly of N_k into N_g . The particular choice of embedding is not important.

Theorem 4.1. Let $Z_g = f_! V_g \otimes \det \left(V_g |_{M_g} \right)^{-1/2}$ be the virtual bundle with $c_i(Z_g) = z_i$. For $g \ge k \ge 1$:

$$\mathsf{CN}\left(Z_{g}|_{M_{k}}\right) = \frac{(-1)^{k}}{2^{2k-1}} \cdot \operatorname{Coeff}_{T^{k-1}}\left[P(T)^{k}R(T)^{g-k}Q(T)^{-1}\right]$$
(18)

$$\mathsf{CN}(Z_g|_{N_k}) = \frac{1}{2^{k-1}} \cdot \operatorname{Coeff}_{T^{k-1}} \left[U(T)^k R(T)^{g-k} Q(T)^{-1} \right]$$
(19)

Recall from the introduction that 1/Q(T) does not quite have coefficients in the ring Λ of symmetric functions: its coefficient in front of T^i has a factor of $1/e_1^{i+1}$. However, since the constant coefficients of R(T) and P(T) are respectively 1 and e_1^2 , the formal power series inside the brackets of (18) has Λ coefficients in front of T^i for $0 \le i \le k-1$. A similar remark holds for (19).

Before proceeding to the proof, we explain how this theorem completely determines all of the integral intersection pairings in the cohomology ring of N_g , and most of the pairings for that of M_g . First of all, from the definitions, the left-hand side of (19) is equal to

$$\sum_{\lambda} \xi_{g,\lambda_1} \xi_{g,\lambda_2} \cdots \xi_{g,\lambda_n} [N_k] \cdot m_\lambda \tag{20}$$

and so when g = k, we obtain Theorem 1.2 of the introduction. In this expression, we conflate $\xi_{g,i}$ with its restriction to N_k . On the other hand, as explained in Section 3, the pairing $\xi_{g,\lambda_1} \cdots \xi_{g,\lambda_n} [N_k]$ is equal to $\xi_{g,\lambda_1} \cdots \xi_{g,\lambda_n} \prod_{j \in J} \psi_j \psi_{j+g} [N_g]$ for any subset $J \subset \{1, \ldots, g\}$ with |J| = g - k. With (1), this determines all pairings on N_g for monomials involving the classes δ_i and ψ_j . Since $\alpha = \delta_1/(g-1)$ and $(\alpha^2 - \beta)/4$ can be written in terms of α and δ_2 by restricting (7) to N_g , we get all pairings in monomials involving all the integral generators for the cohomology of N_g in Proposition 2.5.

The situation for M_g is quite similar, except certain pairings, such as $b_1^j b_2^{j+g} z_{\lambda_1} \cdots z_{\lambda_n} [M_g]$, are not covered by (17) in conjunction with (18), and are not shown to vanish by (3.1). For the proof of Theorem 1.1 later, we will handle these pairings in a less direct way.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. We first prove (18). We will perform the computation by passing from M_k to the covering space $N_k \times J_k$ via (9). Define the following product of Chern polynomials:

$$F(x_1, x_2, \ldots) \coloneqq \prod_{\ell \ge 1} p^* c(Z_g)_{-2x_\ell}.$$

where p^*Z_g is the pulled back bundle over $N_k \times J_k$. From the pairing formula (15), and keeping note of the factors of -2 in the variables of the Chern polynomials, we have

$$(F(x_1, x_2, \ldots) / \Omega_k) [N_k] = (-2)^{4k-3} \cdot \mathsf{CN} (p^*(Z_q|_{M_k})) = (-2)^{4k-3} 4^k \cdot \mathsf{CN} (Z_q|_{M_k})$$

As usual, the factor 4^k accounts for the number of sheets of the covering p. On the other hand, we can give an explicit formula for the product of Chern polynomials. Henceforth, we will write β instead of $\beta \otimes 1$, and so on, omitting the tensor notation from elements in the Künneth decomposed cohomology $H^*(N_k \times J_k; \mathbb{Q})$. Then, according to Zagier [Zag95, Eq. 29]:

$$p^* c(Z_g)_{-2x} = \left(1 - \beta x^2\right)^{g-1/2} \left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{\beta}x}{1 - \sqrt{\beta}x}\right)^{\gamma^*/2\beta\sqrt{\beta}} \exp\left(\frac{4\Theta x + 4\Xi x^2 - 2\gamma x/\beta}{1 - \beta x^2}\right)$$
(21)

in which $\Xi = \sum_{j=0}^{i} \psi_j \otimes \theta_{j+g} - \psi_{j+g} \otimes \theta_j$ and $\gamma^* = 2\gamma + \alpha\beta$. Zagier actually considers the direct image of a universal bundle over $N_k \times J_k$, rather than taking the direct image on M_k and then pulling back. This is why (21) has 4's in front of Θ and Ξ . We then compute the product to be

$$F(x_1, x_2, \ldots) = u_0^{g-1/2} \exp\left((u_3 - u_1)\gamma^*/\beta + u_1\alpha + 4u_1\Theta + 4u_2\Xi\right),$$
(22)

with the terms $u_m = u_m(\beta)$ for $0 \le m \le 3$, which are formal power series in β with coefficients that are symmetric polynomials in the variables x_{ℓ} , defined as follows:

$$u_0 := \prod_{\ell \ge 1} (1 - \beta x_{\ell}^2), \quad u_1 := \sum_{\ell \ge 1} \frac{x_{\ell}}{1 - \beta x_{\ell}^2}, \quad u_2 := \sum_{\ell \ge 1} \frac{x_{\ell}^2}{1 - \beta x_{\ell}^2}, \quad u_3 := \sum_{\ell \ge 1} \tanh^{-1} \left(x_{\ell} \sqrt{\beta} \right) / \sqrt{\beta}$$

To take the slant product of $F(x_1, x_2, ...)$ with Ω_g , we use the following fact, which is provided by a slight restatement of [Zag95, Cor. to Lemma 3] :

$$\exp\left(\Theta\kappa + \Xi\nu\right) / \Omega_k = \kappa^k \exp\left(\nu^2 \gamma / 2\kappa\right).$$

Applying this to the expression (22), we obtain the expression

$$F(x_1, x_2, \ldots) / \Omega_k = 4^k u_1^k u_0^{g^{-1/2}} \exp\left(\left((u_3 - u_1)/\beta + u_2^2/u_1\right)\gamma^* + (u_1 - \beta u_2^2/u_1)\alpha\right).$$
(23)

We are now in a position to use the following result of Zagier:

Lemma 4.2 ([Zag95] Prop. 3). Let f, h, u, w be power series in one variable, $h(0)u(0) \neq 0$. Then

$$\sum_{i \ge 1} \left(f(\beta)h(\beta)^{i} e^{w(\beta)\gamma^{*} + u(\beta)\alpha} \right) [N_{i}] \left(-\frac{1}{4}T \right)^{i-1} = \left. \frac{\sqrt{\beta}f(\beta)M'(T)}{\sinh\left(\sqrt{\beta}(u(\beta) + \beta w(\beta))\right)} \right|_{\beta = M(T)}$$
(24)

where M(T) is the power series defined by $M^{-1}(\beta) = \beta/u(\beta)h(\beta)$.

We apply this in such a way as to avoid taking any functional inverses, i.e. such that M(T) = T. This is equivalent to choosing h = 1/u. With this in mind, apply the lemma to (23) with the following:

$$w = (u_3 - u_1)/\beta + u_2^2/u_1, \quad u = u_1 - \beta u_2^2/u_1, \quad f = 4^k u_1^k u_0^{g-1/2} u^k, \quad h = 1/u_1^k$$

Now note that $u + \beta w = u_3$. Henceforth $\beta = T$. Thus the denominator in (24) is equal to

$$\sinh\left(\sum_{\ell \ge 1} \tanh^{-1}\left(x_{\ell}\sqrt{T}\right)\right) = \frac{1}{2} \prod_{\ell \ge 1} \left(\frac{1+x_{\ell}\sqrt{T}}{1-x_{\ell}\sqrt{T}}\right)^{1/2} - \frac{1}{2} \prod_{\ell \ge 1} \left(\frac{1-x_{\ell}\sqrt{T}}{1+x_{\ell}\sqrt{T}}\right)^{1/2}.$$
 (25)

After taking common denominators, with a bit of manipulation we see that (25) is equal to

$$u_0(T)^{-1/2} \cdot \sum_{\substack{J \subset \{1,2,\dots\} \\ |J| \text{ odd}}} \sqrt{T}^{|J|} \prod_{\ell \in J} x_\ell = R(T)^{-1/2} \sqrt{T} \cdot Q(T)$$

where Q(T) is defined above, and we've observed that $R(T) = u_0(T)$. Thus the right hand side of (24) can be identified as the power series in T with coefficients in Λ given by

$$4^k R^g (u_1^2 - T u_2^2)^k / Q_2$$

where R = R(T), and so forth. The remaining step is to show that $R(u_1^2 - Tu_2^2) = P$. Indeed, this implies that the above expression is equal to $4^k P^k R^{g-k}/Q$, from which the proposition is proven by taking the coefficient of T^{k-1} on both sides of (24). To show $R(u_1^2 - Tu_2^2) = P$, we first observe

$$u_1 \pm \sqrt{T}u_2 = \sum_{\ell \geqslant 1} \frac{x_\ell \pm \sqrt{T}x_\ell^2}{1 - Tx_\ell^2} = \sum_{\ell \geqslant 1} \frac{x_\ell}{1 \mp \sqrt{T}x_\ell}$$

Now, set $u_0^{\pm} = \prod_{\ell \ge 1} (1 \pm \sqrt{T} x_\ell)$ so that $u_0 = u_0^+ u_0^-$. Then $u_0(u_1^2 - T u_2^2)$ is the product of $u_0^+(u_1 - \sqrt{T} u_2)$ and $u_0^-(u_1 + \sqrt{T} u_2)$, and treating these two factors separately leads to the expression

$$u_0(u_1^2 - Tu_2^2) = \left(\sum_{\ell \ge 1} x_\ell \prod_{\ell \ne k \ge 1} \left(1 + \sqrt{T}x_k\right)\right) \left(\sum_{m \ge 1} x_m \prod_{m \ne n \ge 1} \left(1 - \sqrt{T}x_n\right)\right).$$

We can then multiply the two terms on the right to get the following, noting along the way that the coefficients in front of odd powers of \sqrt{T} are zero, as expected:

$$u_0(u_1^2 - Tu_2^2) = \sum_{\ell \ge 1} x_\ell^2 \prod_{\ell \ne k \ge 1} \left(1 - Tx_k^2 \right) + 2 \sum_{\ell > m \ge 1} x_\ell x_m \left(1 - Tx_\ell x_m \right) \prod_{\substack{j \ge 1\\ j \ne m, \ell}} \left(1 - Tx_j^2 \right).$$
(26)

Now we identify the monomials in the variables x_{ℓ} that appear in (26), in order the rewrite it in terms of monomial symmetric functions. In the first sum on the right side of (26), the only monomials are of the form $x_{r_1}^2 x_{r_2}^2 \cdots x_{r_i}^2$. These are the monomials that appear in m_{λ} for which $\lambda = (2^i)$ is the partition with *i* parts all equal to 2. For each set of distinct indices r_1, \ldots, r_i , there are *i* instances of the monomial $x_{r_1}^2 x_{r_2}^2 \cdots x_{r_i}^2$ in the sum under consideration, one for each time $\ell = r_j$ where $j = 1, \ldots, i$. In other words, taking into account the signs, and keeping track of powers of *T*, we deduce

$$\sum_{\ell \ge 1} x_{\ell}^2 \prod_{\ell \ne k \ge 1} \left(1 - T x_k^2 \right) = \sum_{i \ge 0} (-1)^i (i+1) m_{(2^{i+1})} T^i.$$

Now we consider the second sum on the right side of (26), in which we can count two kinds of monomials: those of the form $x_{\ell}x_mx_{r_1}^2\cdots x_{r_i}^2$ which belong to the partition (2^i1^2) and those of the form $x_{r_1}^2x_{r_2}^2\cdots x_{r_i}^2$ that belong, as before, to the partition (2^i) . The first kind are easy to count: apart from signs, there is exactly one. For the second kind, ignoring signs and powers of T, note that for any distinct indices r_1, \ldots, r_i we get $\binom{i}{2}$ many instances of the monomial $x_{r_1}^2x_{r_2}^2\cdots x_{r_i}^2$ after expanding, for the different possibilities of choosing which indices among the r_j are ℓ and m. Thus

$$2\sum_{\ell>m\ge 1} x_{\ell} x_m \left(1 - T x_{\ell} x_m\right) \prod_{\substack{j\ge 1\\ j\neq m,\ell}} \left(1 - T x_j^2\right) = 2\sum_{i\ge 0} (-1)^i \left(m_{(2^{i}1^2)} + \binom{i+1}{2} m_{(2^{i+1})}\right) T^i$$

in which we interpret $\binom{1}{2} = 0$. Now, adding these two expressions involving monomial symmetric functions as in the right side of (26) easily leads to the expression that defines P(T). This completes the proof of (18).

The computation of (19) is quite similar. First, $\operatorname{CN}(Z_g|_{N_g})$ is the restriction of (22) from $N_k \times J_k$ to the factor N_k , which simply sets Θ and ξ to zero, evaluated against $[N_k]$. We then apply (24) to compute this realuation by setting $w = (u_3 - u_1)/\beta$, $u = u_1$, $f = u_0^{g-1/2} u^k$ and h = 1/u. The computation proceeds just as above, but is simpler. We obtain that $\operatorname{CN}(Z_g|_{N_g})$ is $1/2^{g-1}$ times the coefficient of T^{k-1} of the expression $u_0^g u_1^k/Q$, and it is straightforward to identify $u_0 u_1 = U$.

We showed in the proof that $P^k R^{g-k}/Q$ in expression (18) is equal to $4^k u_0^g (u_1^2 - T u_2^2)^k/Q$, and similarly $U^k R^{g-k}/Q$ in expression (19) is equal to $u_0^g u_1^k/Q$. Note from the definitions that

$$u_1(T) = \sum_{i \ge 0} p_{2i+1}T^i, \qquad u_2(T) = \sum_{i \ge 0} p_{2i+2}T^i$$

in which p_n is the n^{th} power sum symmetric function. We also mention that an expression for P(T) in terms of elementary symmetric polynomial is given as follows:

$$P(T) = \sum_{n \ge 0} (-1)^n \left(\widehat{e}_{n+1}^2 - 2 \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (-1)^i \widehat{e}_{n-i} \widehat{e}_{n+2+i} \right) T^n$$

where we have defined $\hat{e}_k = ke_k$. We will not use this, and leave its verification to the reader.

We also mention a generalization of (18) which incorporates the classes b_1^j into the pairings. The proof is a modification of the proof for Theorem 4.1, and so we only briefly sketch it. The goal is to find a formula for a power series in T whose coefficients are in $\Lambda[t]$, and such that the coefficient of $m_{\lambda}t^jT^{k-1}$ is the pairing of the monomial $z_{g,\lambda_1}\cdots z_{g,\lambda_n}B_1^j$ against $[M_k]$. To achieve this, since $p^*(B_1) = 4\Theta$, within the exponential of (22) we add the term $4\Theta t$. We then proceed with the computation as before, and at the end, the extraction of the coefficient in front of t^jT^{k-1} suitably normalized gives the pairings we want. Next, we observe that B_1^j is the sum of $\prod_{i \in J} b_1^i b_1^{i+g}$ with |J| = j. The pairing for each term in this product with $z_{g,\lambda_1}\cdots z_{g,\lambda_n}$ against $[M_k]$ is the same, by $\operatorname{Sp}(2g,\mathbb{Z})$ -invariance. This allows us to write the result in terms of the classes b_1^j . The result is:

Proposition 4.3. For $g \ge k \ge 1$, $J \in \{1, \ldots, g\}$, j = |J|, and λ a partition with $|\lambda| = 4k - 3 + j$:

$$z_{g,\lambda_1} \cdots z_{g,\lambda_m} \prod_{i \in J} b_1^i b_1^{i+g} [M_k] = \frac{(-1)^k}{2^{2k-1}} {j \choose k} \cdot \operatorname{Coeff}_{m_\lambda T^{k-1}} \left[R(T)^{g+k-2j} U(T)^j P(T)^{j-k} Q(T)^{-1} \right]$$

We can go further and try to incorporate the classes b_2^j . For this we may use the same method sketched above, but instead of only adding one formal variable t to keep track of the powers of B_1 in the pairings, we add three variables to record separately the powers of B_1 , B_2 and B_{12} . However, it is not clear that pairings between $z_{g,\lambda_1}\cdots z_{g,\lambda_n}$ and a general monomial in the classes b_1^j and b_2^j can be extracted from this data.

4.1 Extracting pairings via specializations

Before proving Theorem 1.3, we digress and show how to recover formula (16) from Theorem 4.1. We then compute some other pairings in a similar way.

We use the well-known method of specializations in the theory of symmetric functions. There is a ring homomorphism, ex : $\Lambda \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ from the ring of symmetric functions to the rationals, characterized, for example, by its evaluation on the monomial symmetric functions:

$$\exp(m_{(1^n)}) = 1/n!, \qquad \exp(m_\lambda) = 0 \text{ if } \lambda \neq (1^n) \text{ for some } n$$

In particular, if $f \in \Lambda$ is a homogeneous symmetric function of degree n, then we have

$$\exp(f) = \frac{1}{n!} \cdot \operatorname{Coeff}_{x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n} [f]$$

This homomorphism is a version of what's often called the *exponential specialization* for symmetric functions. In general, a *specialization* is just a homomorphism from Λ to another ring. The homomorphism ex just defined extends in the obvious way to a homomorphism ex : $\Lambda[[T]] \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}[[T]]$. We can then directly apply this homomorphism to our previously defined power series:

$$\exp(R(T)) = 1, \qquad \exp(P(T)) = 1, \qquad \exp(Q(T)) = \sinh\sqrt{T}/\sqrt{T}$$

We can now see that applying ex to the computation (18) of Theorem 4.1 with g = k yields

$$z_1^{4g-3}[M_g] = (4g-3)! \cdot \exp(\mathsf{CN}(Z_g)) = (4g-3)! \cdot \frac{(-1)^g}{2^{2g-1}} \operatorname{Coeff}_{T^{g-1}} \left[\frac{\sqrt{T}}{\sinh \sqrt{T}} \right]$$

At this point we recall an identity for the Bernoulli numbers, which may as well be taken as a convenient definition of B_n for our purposes, which holds for even indices n:

$$\operatorname{Coeff}_{T^{g-1}}\left[\frac{\sqrt{T}}{\sinh\sqrt{T}}\right] = -\frac{(2^{2g-2}-2)B_{2g-2}}{(2g-2)!}$$

Finally, the identity $a_1 = -2z_1$ recovers formula (16), the expression for the pairing of the top degree power of the class a_1 . We can similarly recover the formula (13) with i = 3g - 3 for the pairing $\alpha^{3g-3}[N_q]$ upon observing $\exp(U(T)) = 1$.

We can generalize the discussion and perform a similar extraction to obtain a formula for pairings of the form $a_1^{4g-3-k}z_k[M_g]$. For this, we consider the specialization $\overline{\operatorname{ex}}: \Lambda \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}[x]$ characterized by sending a homogeneous symmetric function f of degree n to the following:

$$\overline{\operatorname{ex}}(f) = \sum_{k \ge 0} \frac{x^k}{(n-k)!} \cdot \operatorname{Coeff}_{x_1^k x_2 x_3 \cdots x_{n-k+1}}[f].$$

This extends in the obvious way to a homomorphism $\overline{ex} : \Lambda[[T]] \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}[x][[T]]$, and is equal to the

above ex if we set x = 0. From the definition note that for n > 0 we have

$$\overline{\exp}(m_{(1^n)}) = x/(n-1)! + 1/n!, \quad \overline{\exp}(m_{(1^{n-k}k)}) = x^k/(n-k)! \text{ for } k > 1.$$

We can apply this homomorphism to our power series just as before, and we get:

$$\overline{\operatorname{ex}}(R(T)) = 1, \quad \overline{\operatorname{ex}}(P(T)) = (1+x)^2 - x^2 T, \quad \overline{\operatorname{ex}}(Q(T)) = x \cosh \sqrt{T} + \sinh \sqrt{T} / \sqrt{T}.$$

Then applying the homomorphism \overline{ex} to the formula in (18) with g = k yields the following:

$$z_1^{4g-3-i} z_i[M_g] = (4g-3-i)! \cdot \frac{(-1)^g}{2^{2g-1}} \cdot \operatorname{Coeff}_{x^i T^{g-1}} \left[\frac{(1+2x+x^2-x^2T)^g}{x \cosh\sqrt{T} + \sinh\sqrt{T}/\sqrt{T}} \right]$$

We also see in this situation that a recursion property holds for lower genus moduli spaces: for $1 \le k \le g$, we have $z_{g,1}^{4k-3-i}z_{g,i}[M_k] = z_1^{4k-3-i}z_i[M_k]$. Such a recursion always holds for any pairings obtained from a specialization that sends R(T) to 1. Similarly, noting that $\overline{\text{ex}}$ applied to U(T) yields $1 + x - x^2T$, we obtain the following formula for N_g by applying $\overline{\text{ex}}$ to (19) with g = k:

$$\xi_1^{3g-3-i}\xi_i[N_g] = (3g-3-i)! \cdot \frac{1}{2^{g-1}} \cdot \operatorname{Coeff}_{x^i T^{g-1}} \left[\frac{(1+x-x^2T)^g}{x \cosh \sqrt{T} + \sinh \sqrt{T}/\sqrt{T}} \right]$$

Again, using $\xi_1 = -\alpha/2$ and relation (1), this determines the pairings $\alpha^{3g-3-k}\delta_k[N_g]$. A similar recursive property as was mentioned above also holds for these pairings.

In a different direction, we can define specializations by setting some of the variables $x_1, x_2, ...$ in the definition of the symmetric functions equal to zero. For example, setting $x_1 = x$, $x_2 = y$, and $x_{\ell} = 0$ for $\ell \ge 3$, we obtain a specialization $ev_2 : \Lambda[[T]] \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[x, y][[T]]$ which acts as follows:

$$ev_2(U(T)) = x(1-y^2T) + y(1-x^2T), \quad ev_2(Q(T)) = x+y.$$

We then obtain a formula for the pairings $\xi_i \xi_j [N_g]$ with i + j = 3g - 3 by applying the specialization ev₂ to equation (19) with g = k, after some elementary manipulations:

$$\sum_{i+j=3g-3} \xi_i \xi_j [N_g] \cdot x^i y^i = g \cdot \frac{(-1)^{g-1}}{2^{g-1}} \cdot (xy^2 + yx^2)^{g-1}$$
(27)

Recall from Prop. 2.7 that we may view ξ_i as generators of the cohomology of N_g with \mathbb{Z}_p coefficients when p is odd. Suppose p = g - 1 is an odd prime. In (27), the constants in front of the right-hand expression are invertible mod p (interpreting $1/2^{g-1}$ as the inverse of $2^{g-1} \mod p$), and we conclude that the only pairing of the form $\xi_i \xi_j [N_g]$ that is nonzero mod p is given by $\xi_{g-1}\xi_{2g-2}[N_g]$.

4.2 Chern numbers for the tangent bundle

In this subsection we prove Theorem 1.3. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 4.1, so we only indicate where it differs. We write TN_g for the tangent bundle of N_g , viewed as complex vector bundle.

Proof of Thm. 1.3. According to Zagier [Zag95, eq. (27)], the Chern class polynomial of N_g is:

$$c(TN_g)_x = (1 - \beta x^2)^{g-1} \exp\left(\frac{2\alpha x}{1 - \beta x^2} + 2\left(\frac{\tanh^{-1} x\sqrt{\beta}}{\beta\sqrt{\beta}} - \frac{x}{\beta(1 - \beta x^2)}\right)\gamma^*\right)$$

where as before $\gamma^* = 2\gamma + \alpha\beta$. Note the relation $c(TN_g)_x = (1 - \beta x^2)^{-g} c(Z_g|_{N_g})^2_{-2x}$. Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 4.1, the Chern number polynomial $CN(TN_g)$ is given by $F_0(x_1, x_2, \ldots)[N_g]$ where F_0 is the product of the Chern class polynomials $c(TN_g)_{x_\ell}$ for $\ell \ge 0$:

$$F_0(x_1, x_2, \ldots) = u_0^{g-1} \exp\left(2(u_3 - u_1)\gamma^*/\beta + 2u_1\alpha\right).$$

Here the expressions for u_0, u_1, u_3 are defined as before. Now we apply Lemma 4.2 as was done previously, but with $w = 2(u_3 - u_1)/\beta$, $u = 2u_1$, $f = u_0^{g-1}u^g$ and h = 1/u. From this we obtain

$$\mathsf{CN}(TN_g) = 2^g (-4)^{g-1} \frac{\sqrt{T} \cdot u_0(T)^{g-1} u_1(T)^g}{\sinh\left(2\sum_{\ell \ge 1} \tanh^{-1}\left(x_\ell \sqrt{T}\right)\right)}$$
(28)

The denominator here is computed as in (25), but now the right side of (25) loses the fractional 1/2 exponents due to the presence of the 2 in (28). After a short manipulation we instead find

$$\sinh\left(2\sum_{\ell\ge 1}\tanh^{-1}\left(x_{\ell}\sqrt{T}\right)\right) = \frac{1}{2}\prod_{\ell\ge 1}\left(\frac{1+x_{\ell}\sqrt{T}}{1-x_{\ell}\sqrt{T}}\right) - \frac{1}{2}\prod_{\ell\ge 1}\left(\frac{1-x_{\ell}\sqrt{T}}{1+x_{\ell}\sqrt{T}}\right) = \frac{2\cdot Q(T)E(T)\sqrt{T}}{u_{0}(T)}$$

where E(T) is defined in the introduction, and is readily identified with $\sum T^{|J|/2} \prod_{\ell \in J} x_{\ell}$, the sum being over finite subsets $J \subset \{1, 2, ...\}$ of even cardinality. Finally, recalling that $u_0 u_1 = U$, we obtain from (28) the formula given in Theorem 1.3.

The specializations of Section 4.1 can, of course, also be applied to this situation. As an example, since $\exp(E(T)) = \cosh\sqrt{T}$, applying the specialization ex to Theorem 1.3 yields

$$c_1(TN_g)^{3g-3}[N_g] = (3g-3)!(-2)^{3g-3} \operatorname{Coeff}_{T^{g-1}} \left[\frac{\sqrt{T}}{\sinh\sqrt{T}\cosh\sqrt{T}} \right].$$

Then, using that $c_1(TN_g) = 2\alpha$, and the hyperbolic-trig identity $\sinh(2x) = 2\sinh(x)\cosh(x)$, we once again recover the formula for $\alpha^{3g-3}[N_g]$ given in (13).

4.3 Skew Schur functions

This section is mostly expositional, and serves to explain how the power series 1/Q(T) and 1/E(T) are generating functions for certain skew Schur symmetric functions as mentioned in the introduction. In particular, we explain (2). This interpretation was pointed out to the authors by Ira Gessel, and appears as a particular example in Section 11 of [GV89]. The reader may consult I.5 of [Mac15] for more background on skew Schur functions.

We begin by defining skew Schur functions. To begin, for any partition λ , the Schur symmetric function s_{λ} associated to λ is defined as the determinant $\det(h_{\lambda_i+j-i})_{1 \leq i,j \leq k}$ in which h_{λ} is the complete monomial symmetric function (see appendix A), and k is the length of the partition λ . One of the *Jacobi-Trudi* identities says that s_{λ} is also equal to $\det(e_{\lambda'_i+j-i})_{1 \leq i,j \leq k}$ where λ' is the partition conjugate to λ . More generally, the *skew* Schur symmetric function $s_{\lambda/\mu}$ is equal to $\det(h_{\lambda_i-\mu_i+j-i})_{1 \leq i,j \leq k}$. By a Jacobi-Trudi identity, we have the following identity:

$$s_{\lambda/\mu} = \det(e_{\lambda'_i - \mu'_i + j - i})_{1 \le i, j \le k}$$

$$\tag{29}$$

In this situation, μ is always a subpartition of λ , and the pair of data (λ, μ) is often called a skew partition, and written λ/μ .

We turn to some general remarks on generating functions and determinants which are standard in enumerative combinatorics, see e.g. [Sta11]. Suppose that a_i with $i \ge 0$ are a list of elements in some commutative ring. Then the reciprocal of the generating function $\sum_{i\ge 0} a_i T^i$ has coefficients in terms of some determinants formed from the a_i up to some powers of a_0 :

$$\sum_{n \ge 0} \frac{1}{a_0^{n+1}} \det \left((-1)^{j-i+1} a_{j-i+1} \right)_{1 \le i,j \le n} T^n = \frac{1}{\sum_{i \ge 0} a_i T^i}$$

As written, we are assuming the element a_0 is invertible. More generally, as long as a_0 is not a zerodivisor, then the coefficient of T^n on the left hand side after multiplying by a_0^{n+1} is a well-defined element of the ring with which we started. Now we set $a_i = e_{2i+1}$ so that the right hand side is equal to 1/Q(T). In this application, the commutative ring is the ring of symmetric polynomials, and $a_0 = e_1$. Then, defining r_n to be e_1^{n+1} times the coefficient of T^n in 1/Q(T) we obtain that $r_n = \det((-1)^{j-i+1}e_{2j-2i+3})_{1 \le i,j \le n}$. Upon observing that r_n is a homogeneous symmetric polynomial of degree 3n, expanding the determinant allows us to factor out the sign, and we obtain:

$$r_n = (-1)^n \det \left(e_{2j-2i+3} \right)_{1 \le i,j \le n}.$$
(30)

Now, $\lambda(n,3)' = (n+2, n+1, \dots, 4, 3)$ and $\lambda(n,0)' = (n-1, n-2, \dots, 2, 1)$, where $\lambda(n,m)$ is defined in the introduction. It follows from (29) and (30) that r_n is equal to $(-1)^n s_{\lambda(n,3)/\lambda(n,0)}$. This establishes formula (2) for 1/Q(T), and $1/E(T) = \sum s_{\lambda(n,2)/\lambda(n,0)} (-T)^n$ is similarly obtained.

The skew Schur function $s_{\lambda/\mu}$ admits the following combinatorial interpretation. Let λ/μ be any skew partition. Define a *semi-standard (skew) Young tableau* (SSYT) of shape λ/μ to be a filling of λ/μ with positive integers that are non-decreasing from left to right in each row and strictly increasing from top to bottom in each column. If a SSYT of shape λ/μ has α_i instances of *i* for each positive integer *i*, we say that the *type* of the SSYT is the composition $\alpha = (\nu_1, \nu_2, ...)$. Then



Figure 2: Here we list the SSYT of shape λ_2/μ_2 with type ν for each partition ν . Using equation (31) we conclude $s_{\lambda_2/\mu_2} = m_{(2,2,2)} + 2m_{(2,2,1,1)} + 5m_{(2,1,1,1,1)} + 14m_{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}$.

we have the following identity, in which the sum is over all partitions ν , thought of in this context as compositions of non-increasing non-negative integers:

$$s_{\lambda/\mu} = \sum_{\nu} K_{\lambda/\mu,\nu} m_{\nu}, \qquad K_{\lambda/\mu,\nu} = \# \{\text{SSYT of shape } \lambda/\mu \text{ and type } \nu \}$$
(31)

The numbers $K_{\lambda/\mu,\nu}$ are called the (skew) Kostka numbers. The example for s_{λ_2/μ_2} is spelled out in Figure 2. These numbers can become large quite fast: the coefficient in front of $m_{(1^9)}$ within s_{λ_3/μ_3} is equal to 744, and in front of $m_{(1^72)}$ is equal to 323.

The relationship we have established between the integral pairings on the moduli spaces and these skew Schur functions will not be exploited in this paper, although some of the arguments below may have combinatorial interpretations.

5 Mod two nilpotency

In this section we prove Theorem 1.1. We first consider the degree 2 class α in the cohomology of N_g , and later handle the corresponding class a_1 in the cohomology of M_g . We begin by showing that α^g is zero mod 2. In fact, we have more generally the following:

Proposition 5.1. For $n \ge g-1$, the element α^n is divisible by 2^{n-g+1} .

Proof. From Section 2.3, we gather that the residue classes of α , δ_i , ψ_j for $2 \le i \le 2g-1$ and $1 \le j \le 2g$ generate the mod 2^m cohomology ring of N_g for any $m \ge 1$, and in particular m = n-g+1. It suffices then to show that for every partition λ , subset $J \subset \{1, \ldots, 2g\}$, and $\ell \ge 0$ we have

$$\alpha^{n+\ell}\delta_{\lambda_1}\cdots\delta_{\lambda_k}\prod_{j\in J}\psi_j[N_g]\equiv 0\mod 2^{n-g+1}.$$

Now recall that $\alpha = -2\xi_1$, and from (1) that each δ_i is an integral combination of terms $\xi_1^b \xi_j$. The above pairing is then an integral combination of pairings of the form

$$2^{n+\ell}\xi_{\nu_1}\cdots\xi_{\nu_r}\prod_{j\in J}\psi_J[N_g] \tag{32}$$

Now either J is not invariant under the involution $j \mapsto j + g \pmod{2g}$, in which case (32) is zero, or else (32) is the coefficient of m_{ν} within $2^{n+\ell} \operatorname{CN}(Z_g|_{N_k})$ where k = g - |J|/2. It is apparent from Theorem 4.1 that $2^n \operatorname{CN}(Z_g|_{N_k})$ has coefficients divisible by 2^{n-g+1} , since the power series inside the brackets of (19) has coefficients symmetric functions with integer coefficients.

The nilpotency degree of $\alpha \mod 2$ is then computed by the following, which implies that α^{g-1} is nonzero in the cohomology ring $H^*(N_g; \mathbb{Z}_2)$:

Lemma 5.2. The parity of the integer $2^{g-1}\xi_1^{3g-3-j}\xi_j[N_g]$ is determined as follows:

$$2^{g-1}\xi_1^{3g-3-j}\xi_j[N_g] \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \iff \begin{cases} j \in \{g-1, g-2\} & g \text{ even} \\ j \in \{g, g-1\} & g \text{ odd} \end{cases}$$

Proof. By Theorem 1.2, the term $2^{g-1}\xi_1^{3g-3-j}\xi_j[N_g]$ is equal to the coefficient of $m_{\lambda}T^{g-1}$ within $U(T)^g/Q(T)$ where $\lambda = (j, 1^{3g-3-j})$. We use this to reformulate the claim of the lemma as follows. Let $I \subset \Lambda$ be the ideal generated by $2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and the monomial symmetric functions m_{λ} with λ having at least two parts greater than 1, i.e. $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 > 1$. Here, as before, Λ is the ring of symmetric functions with integer coefficients. Then the lemma is equivalent to the congruence

$$\operatorname{Coeff}_{T^{g-1}}\left[U(T)^g/Q(T)\right] \equiv g \cdot m_{(g,1^{2g-3})} + m_{(g-1,1^{2g-2})} + (g-1) \cdot m_{(g-2,1^{2g-1})} \pmod{I} \tag{33}$$

Let $J \subset I$ be the ideal generated only by m_{λ} with λ having at least two parts greater than 1, omitting $2 \in \mathbb{Z}$. The following relations are easily verified in the quotient ring Λ/J , in which r, s > 1:

$$m_{(1^p)}m_{(1^q)} \equiv \binom{p+q}{p}m_{(1^{p+q})} + \binom{p+q-2}{p-1}m_{(2,1^{p+q-2})} \pmod{J}$$

$$m_{(r,1^p)}m_{(1^q)} \equiv \binom{p+q}{p}m_{(r,1^{p+q})} + \binom{p+q-1}{p}m_{(r+1,1^{p+q-1})} \pmod{J}$$

$$m_{(r,1^p)}m_{(s,1^q)} \equiv \binom{p+q}{p}m_{(r+s,1^{p+q})} \pmod{J}$$

These follow by simply expanding the monomial symmetric functions as the sums of monomials that define them, and multiplying. Along the same lines, we leave the following to the reader:

$$m_{(1^p)}^n \equiv \sum_{k=1}^n \binom{n}{k} (pn-k)! p^k p!^{-n} m_{(k,1^{pn-k})} \pmod{J} \tag{34}$$

We list some cases for which (34) vanishes modulo *I*. First, a special case of an elementary result, often called Lucas's Theorem, says that a multinomial coefficient $(\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_k)!/\alpha_1!\dots\alpha_n!$ is even if and only if, in the binary expansions of the α_i , there is some position (i.e. digit location) for which two distinct α_i have digit equal to 1. Next, $(pn - k)!p^kp!^{-n}$ is equal to the multinomial coefficient in which $\alpha_1 = \dots = \alpha_k = p - 1$ and $\alpha_{k+1} = \dots = \alpha_n = p$. If $n \ge 3$, then either p or p - 1 appears at least twice, so by Lucas's Theorem this number is even. Thus $m_{(1^p)}^n \equiv 0 \pmod{I}$ if $n \ge 3$. If n = 2, the k = 1 term in (34) drops out because of $\binom{n}{k}$, so we need only consider n = 2 = k. This case has the term $\binom{2p-2}{p-1}$, which is even, unless $p \le 2$. Thus $m_{(1^p)}^n \equiv 0 \pmod{I}$ if $p \ge 3$ and n = 2.

As in Section 4.3, define $r_n \in \Lambda$ to be e_1^{n+1} times the coefficient of T^n of 1/Q(T). The general formula for the reciprocal of a power series applied to 1/Q(T) yields

$$r_n = \sum_{\substack{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k \ge 0, \ k \ge 1\\ \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \dots + k\alpha_k = n}} (-1)^{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_k} \binom{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_k}{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k} e_1^{\alpha_1 - \sum \alpha_i} e_3^{\alpha_1} \cdots e_{2k+1}^{\alpha_k}$$

Now, recalling that $e_p = m_{(1^p)}$ and taking our above remarks regarding e_p^n into consideration, we see that only terms with all $\alpha_i \leq 2$ can contribute odd coefficients. Further, by Lucas's Theorem, if all $\alpha_i \leq 2$, then the multinomial coefficient appearing is even unless $\{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k\}$ has either (i) some *i* such that $\alpha_i = 1$ and $\alpha_j = 0$ for $j \neq i$, (ii) some *i* such that $\alpha_i = 2$ and $\alpha_j = 0$ for $j \neq i$, or (iii) some *i*, *j* such that $\alpha_i = 2$, $\alpha_j = 1$, and $\alpha_\ell = 0$ for $\ell \neq i, j$. Only case (i) actually contributes something nonzero mod 2, since we remarked above that $m_{(1^p)}^2$ is even when $p \geq 3$. We conclude:

$$r_n \equiv e_1^{n-1} e_{2n+1} \pmod{I}$$

From the relations above we easily compute e_1^{n-1} modulo *I*. It is congruent to $m_{(n-2,1)} + m_{(n-1)}$ if *n* is even, and simply $m_{(n-1)}$ if *n* is odd. From this we obtain

$$r_n \equiv m_{(n,1^{2n})} + n \cdot m_{(n-1,1^{2n+1})} \pmod{I} \tag{35}$$

Note that r_n can be replaced here with the skew Schur function s_{λ_n/μ_n} , and for n = 2 the congruence (35) is apparent from Figure 2. Next, since $U(T) \equiv m_{(1)} - m_{(2,1)}T \pmod{J}$, the coefficient of T^i within $U(T)^g$ is congruent to $(-1)^i {g \choose i} e_1^{g-i} m_{(2,1)}^i$ modulo J. We then gather the following:

$$\operatorname{Coeff}_{T^{g-1}}\left[U(T)^g/Q(T)\right] = \sum_{i=0}^{g-1} \operatorname{Coeff}_{T^i}\left[U(T)^g\right] e_1^{i-g} r_{g-i-1} \equiv \sum_{i=0}^{g-1} \binom{g}{i} m_{(2,1)}^i r_{g-i-1} \pmod{I} \tag{36}$$

A quick check shows that $m_{(2,1)}^i \equiv 0 \pmod{I}$ for $i \ge 2$, so the only terms contributing are at i = 0, 1. Thus the sum is congruent to $r_{q-1} + g \cdot m_{(2,1)} r_{q-2}$, which with (35) computes (33).

Corollary 5.3. The pairing $\alpha^{g-1}\delta_{2g-2}[N_q]$ is odd.

Proof. Using formula (1) and $\alpha = -2\xi_1$, we extract the relation

$$\alpha^{g-1}\delta_{2g-2} = \sum_{i=1}^{2g-1} (-1)^{i+g} i \cdot 2^{g-1} \xi_1^{g-2+i} \xi_{2g-1-i}$$

Noting the coefficient *i*, we see that exactly one of the terms from Lemma 5.2 contributes an odd number once we pair with $[N_q]$.

This corollary, together with Prop. 5.1, proves that the nilpotency degree of α as viewed in $H^*(N_g; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ is equal to g. For the second part of Theorem 1.1, regarding the nilpotency degree of a_1 in the ring $H^*(M_g; \mathbb{Z}_2)$, we establish an analogue of Corollary 5.3. First:

Lemma 5.4. The integer $2^{2g-1}z_1^j z_{4g-3-j}[M_q]$ is odd if and only if $j \in \{2g-1, 2g-2\}$.

Proof. We sketch the proof, which is similar to that of Lemma 5.2. By (18) of Theorem 4.1, this integer is the coefficient of $m_{\lambda}T^{g-1}$ within $P(T)^g/Q(T)$ where $\lambda = (4g - 3 - j, 1^j)$. Since P(T) is congruent modulo J to $e_1^2 - 2m_{(2,1,1)}T$, the only term in $P(T)^g$ relevant to Λ/I is the constant term e_1^{2g} . Then the coefficient of T^{g-1} within $P(T)^g/Q(T)$, computed just as in (36), is congruent mod I to $e_1^g r_{g-1}$. From (35) this is then $m_{(2g-1,1^{2g-2})} + m_{(2g-2,1^{2g-1})} \mod I$, proving the claim. \Box

The same argument as in the proof of Corollary 5.3 then yields the following:

Corollary 5.5. The pairing $a_1^{2g-1}d_{2g-2}[M_g]$ is odd.

To complete the proof of Theorem 1.1 it remains to show that a_1^{2g} is zero mod 2. For this we will prove an analogue of Proposition 5.1. To this end, we first establish a few lemmas which take the content of Section 3 a bit further.

We begin by sketching the geometric meaning of Thaddeus's genus recursive formula (14). Recall that N_g may be viewed as the space of conjugacy classes of 2g-tuples $(A_i)_{i=1}^{2g}$ in the 2g-fold product of SU(2) such that the product of the commutators $[A_i, A_{i+g}]$ for $1 \leq i \leq g$ is equal to -1. For $I \subset \{1, \ldots, 2g\}$, let the submanifold $N_I \subset N_g$ consist of conjugacy classes such that $A_i = 1$ if $i \in I$. If I = I + g, then N_I can be identified with N_{g-k} in which k = |I|/2. Then Thaddeus shows:

$$\pm \prod_{j \in I} \psi_j = \operatorname{P.D.}[N_I] \in H^{6g-6-3|I|}(N_g;\mathbb{Z}).$$

This immediately establishes (14), up to signs. We now turn back to the moduli space M_g , which has the same description as does N_g but with U(2) replacing SU(2). For $I, K \in \{1, \ldots, 2g\}$, embedded in $N_g \times J_g$ is the submanifold $N_I \times J_K$, where N_I is as before, and J_K consists of 2g-tuples (z_1, \ldots, z_{2g}) in the 2g-fold product of U(1) such that $z_k = 1$ if $k \in K$. We write M_{IK} for the submanifold of M_g given by the projection of $N_I \times J_K$ under the covering map p. It is clear that the homology class of $N_I \times J_K$ inside $N_g \times J_g$ is Poincaré dual to $\pm \prod_{i \in I} \psi_i \otimes \prod_{k \in K} \theta_k$. We compute:

Lemma 5.6. The class $b_2^i - a_1 b_1^i/2$ is integral, and thus so too is $a_1 b_1^i/2$. More specifically:

$$\pm \text{P.D.}\left(\prod_{i \in I} (b_2^i - a_1 b_1^i / 2) \prod_{k \in K} b_1^k\right) = [M_{IK}] \in H_{8g-6-3|I|-|K|}(M_g; \mathbb{Z}).$$
(37)

Proof. As the statement suggests, we will ignore signs throughout. Set $x = \prod_{i \in I} (b_2^j - a_1 b_1^j/2) \prod_{k \in K} b_1^k$, so that the above discussion implies P.D. $(p^*(x)) = 2^{|K|} \cdot [N_I \times J_K]$. Recall that the Poincaré dual of a cohomology class is equal to the cap product with the fundamental homology class. Also recall that the cap product satisfies the functoriality property $x \cap p_*(y) = p_*(p^*(x) \cap y)$ for a homology class y and a cohomology class x. Then we compute that P.D.(x) is equal to

$$x \cap [M_g] = x \cap 2^{-2g} p_* [N_g \times J_g] = 2^{-2g} p_* (p^* (x) \cap [N_g \times J_g]) = 2^{|K| - 2g} p_* [N_I \times J_K].$$

The final expression obtained on the right hand side is equal to $[M_{IK}]$, because $N_I \times J_K$ is clearly a $2^{2g-|K|}$ sheeted covering of M_{IK} .

Note the submanifold M_{IK} may be described as the subspace of M_g consisting of conjugacy classes of tuples $(A_i)_{i=1}^{2g}$ of matrices in U(2) whose product of commutators is -1, and such that $A_i \in SU(2)$ if $i \in K$ while A_i is in the center of U(2) if $i \in I$. We next establish: **Lemma 5.7.** If $a_1^m \in H^*(M_{g-1})$ is divisible by $d \in \mathbb{Z}$, so too is $(b_2^g - a_1b_1^g/2)b_1^{2g}a_1^m \in H^*(M_g)$.

Proof. From above, we know that $(b_2^g - a_1 b_1^g/2) b_1^{2g}$ is Poincaré dual to $[M_{IK}]$ where $I = \{g\}$ and $K = \{2g\}$. In this subspace, $[A_g, A_{2g}] = 1$, so that always $\prod_{i=1}^{g-1} [A_i, A_{i+g}] = -1$. Thus there is a well-defined map from M_{IK} to M_{g-1} which forgets A_g and A_{2g} . This is a fibration with fiber $SU(2) \times S^1$, where S^1 is identified with the center of U(2). Because conjugation does not interact with the center of U(2), we may write $M_{IK} = P \times S^1$ where P is an SU(2)-fibration over M_{g-1} . The fibration P has a section, given by $A_{2g} = 1 \in SU(2) = S^3$. Thus just as in [Tha97], the euler class of P vanishes, and the Gysin exact sequence for a 3-sphere fibration implies the right-hand isomorphism below:

$$H^*(M_{IK};\mathbb{Z}) \cong H^*(P;\mathbb{Z}) \otimes H^*(S^1;\mathbb{Z}), \qquad H^*(P;\mathbb{Z}) \cong H^*(M_{q-1};\mathbb{Z}) \otimes H^*(S^3;\mathbb{Z})$$

While the left-hand isomorphism above is an isomorphism of graded-commutative rings, we do not know the same for the right-hand isomorphism. However, the Leray-Hirsch Theorem tells us that this latter isomorphism respects the $H^*(M_{g-1};\mathbb{Z})$ -module structures. It is a straightforward matter to verify that $a_1 \in H^2(M_g;\mathbb{Z})$ goes to $a_1 \otimes 1 \in H^2(M_{g-1};\mathbb{Z}) \otimes H^0(S^3;\mathbb{Z})$ under this isomorphism. The lemma then follows using the $H^*(M_{g-1};\mathbb{Z})$ -module structure.

Proposition 5.8. For $n \ge 2g-1$, the element $a_1^n \in H^{2n}(M_q;\mathbb{Z})$ is divisible by 2^{n-2g+1} .

Proof. The proof is by induction. We assume the result holds for $a_1 \in H^2(M_k; \mathbb{Z})$ for $k \leq g-1$. Further, we add the induction hypothesis that $a_1^q \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{q-2g+1}}$ for q > n. Note that this is automatically true for q large enough, since a_1 is nilpotent.

From Section 2.1, we gather that the residue classes of a_1, d_i, b_1^j, b_2^j for $2 \le i \le 2g-1$ and $1 \le j \le 2g$ generate the mod 2^m cohomology ring of M_g for any $m \ge 1$, and in particular m = n - 2g + 1. It suffices then to show that for every partition λ , subsets $J_1, J_2 \subset \{1, \ldots, 2g\}$, and $\ell \ge 0$ we have

$$a_1^{n+\ell} d_{\lambda_1} \cdots d_{\lambda_k} \prod_{j \in J_1} b_1^j \prod_{j \in J_2} b_2^j [M_g] \equiv 0 \mod 2^{n-2g+1}.$$
(38)

The case in which J_2 is empty follows the argument of Proposition 5.1, but this time using Proposition 4.3. We do not use any induction hypothesis here.

By Proposition 3.1, if J_2 is not empty, then either the left side of (38) vanishes, or at least one of two kinds of terms appears: $b_2^j b_2^{j+g}$ or $b_1^j b_2^{j+g}$. Without loss of generality we will suppose j = g.

First suppose $b_1^g b_2^{2g}$ appears in (38). Let x denote the monomial in (38) omitting this term and a_1^n . We must show 2^{n-2g+1} divides $a_1^n b_1^g b_2^{2g} x[M_g]$. First note that we can replace b_2^{2g} by $b_2^{2g} - a_1 b_1^{2g}/2$. Indeed, $a_1^{n+1} b_1^g b_1^{2g} x[M_g]/2$ is divisible by $2^{(n+1)-2g+1}/2$ using the induction hypothesis on n. Finally,

$$a_1^n (b_2^{2g} - a_1 b_1^{2g}/2) x[M_g] \equiv 0 \mod 2^{n-2g+1}$$

using Lemma 5.7 and the induction hypothesis on g. Thus the case in which $b_1^g b_2^{2g}$ appears is done.

Now suppose that $b_2^g b_2^{2g}$ appears in (38). Just as was done in the previous case, we may replace each b_2^j here with $b_2^j - a_1 b_1^j/2$, and upon pulling back via the covering p, we get $\psi_g \psi_{2g}$, and the result follows from induction on g, using (15) and Thaddeus's genus recursive formula (14). This exhausts all cases and completes the proof of the proposition, as well as the proof of Theorem 1.1.

6 Computations

In this section we give some examples of the pairings on N_g calculated by Theorem 4.1 and describe the ring structure $H^*(N_g; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ for low values of g. Much of this discussion can be carried out for the moduli space M_q , but we will not pursue this.

First, recall that Theorem 4.1 computes the pairings involving the classes $\xi_{g,i}$. The pairings are encoded in the Chern number polynomial $CN(Z_g|_{N_k})$, which is equal to (20). Formula (19) easily computes this polynomial using a program such as Sage, which has symmetric function methods available. For example, we have $CN(Z_1|_{N_1}) = 1$, $2CN(Z_2|_{N_2}) = -m_{(1^3)} - 2m_{(21)}$, and

$$2^{2} CN(Z_{3}|_{N_{3}}) = 14m_{(1^{6})} + 17m_{(21^{4})} + 26m_{(2^{2}1^{2})} + 28m_{(2^{3})} + 9m_{(31^{3})} + 12m_{(321)} + 6m_{(3^{2})} + 6m_{(41^{2})} + 3m_{(42)}.$$

These polynomials quickly become quite lengthy. For example, if we compute the genus 4 polynomial in terms of elementary symmetric functions e_{λ} we find

$$2^{3}\mathsf{CN}(Z_{4}|_{N_{4}}) = -4e_{(2^{3}1^{3})} + 18e_{(32^{2}1^{2})} - 44e_{(3^{2}21)} + 65e_{(3^{3})} + 36e_{(421^{3})} - 100e_{(431^{2})} - 44e_{(521^{2})} + 150e_{(531)} - 20e_{(61^{3})} + 27e_{(71^{2})}.$$

If we instead write this same polynomial in terms of monomial symmetric functions m_{λ} then it has 26 non-zero terms. Similarly, the corresponding genus 5 polynomial has 20 non-zero terms when written using the e_{λ} , and 70 non-zero terms when using the m_{λ} .

Of course, $CN(Z_g|_{N_k})$ is of intermediary interest to us: our goal was to compute $CN(f_!V_g|_{N_k})$, the polynomial encoding the pairings involving the $\delta_{g,i}$ classes. We can compute these using the Chern number polynomial for $Z_g|_{N_k}$ via the transformations (1). These are typically more complicated, however. For example, we have $CN(f_!V_1|_{N_1}) = 1$, $CN(f_!V_2|_{N_2}) = 4m_{(1^3)} + 3m_{(21)} + m_{(3)}$, and

$$CN(f_{!}V_{3}|_{N_{3}}) = 14336m_{(1^{6})} + 6464m_{(21^{4})} + 2936m_{(2^{2}1^{2})} + 1339m_{(2^{3})} + 1568m_{(31^{3})} + 722m_{(321)} + 182m_{(3^{2})} + 212m_{(41^{2})} + 98m_{(42)} + 14m_{(51)}$$

For genus 4, there are 28 non-zero coefficients whether we use the basis e_{λ} or m_{λ} , while for genus 5, there are 73 non-zero coefficients in either basis. In each case, respectively, 28 and 73 is the number of monomials in the δ_i classes of top degree, so every possible pairing is non-zero. We have focused on the cases g = k for simplicity; when k < g the computations are somewhat similar.

When we consider the pairings only modulo 2 which are relevant for $H^*(N_g;\mathbb{Z}_2)$ the situation is considerably more manageable. First, we recall from Corollary 2.6 that the residue classes of $\alpha, \delta_{2^i}, \psi_j$ generate the ring $H^*(N_g; \mathbb{Z}_2)$, where $2 \leq 2^i \leq 2g - 1$ and $1 \leq j \leq 2g$. We can obtain pairing formulas for these classes from the above data as follows. Let $\mathscr{P}(2)$ be the set of partitions each of whose parts is a power of 2. Thus $(4, 2, 2, 1) \in \mathscr{P}(2)$ but $(6, 4, 2, 1) \notin \mathscr{P}(2)$. For $\lambda \in \mathscr{P}(2)$ let m_1 denote the number of 1's in λ , and let $\lambda^{\#}$ denote the partition obtained from λ by removing all of its 1's, so in particular $m_1 = |\lambda| - |\lambda^{\#}|$. Set $\delta_{g,\lambda} := \delta_{g,\lambda_1} \cdots \delta_{g,\lambda_n}$. Now we define the following:

$$P_{g,k} := \sum_{\lambda \in \mathscr{P}(2)} \alpha^{m_1} \delta_{g,\lambda^{\#}} [N_k] \cdot m_{\lambda} \qquad \text{mod } 2$$

Then the collection of $P_{g,k}$ with $1 \leq k \leq g$ determines the ring structure of $H^*(N_g; \mathbb{Z}_2)$. Indeed, it is evident that $P_{g,g}$ encodes all mod 2 pairings involving the generators $\delta_{g,2^i}$ and α , while, for example, the pairing $\alpha^{m_1}\delta_{g,\lambda^{\#}}\psi_1\psi_{1+g}\cdots\psi_{g-k}\psi_{2g-k}[N_g]$ is equal to the coefficient of m_{λ} in $P_{g,k}$. Recalling that $\delta_{g,1} = (g-1)\alpha$, we have the following, which tells us how to compute $P_{g,k}$ from the $\delta_{g,i}$ pairings:

$$\operatorname{Coeff}_{m_{\lambda}} \left[P_{g,k} \right] \equiv \operatorname{Coeff}_{m_{\lambda}} \left[\mathsf{CN}(f_! V_g|_{N_k}) / (g-1)^{m_1} \right] \mod 2$$

Here $\lambda \in \mathscr{P}(2)$. The polynomials $P_{g,k}$ are presented up to genus 8 in Table 1.

We remark that the computations of Chern numbers and hence that of Table 1 could have also been done without using Theorem 4.1. Indeed, one can write out the $\delta_{g,i}$ classes as rational functions of α, β, γ using (21) and (1), and then apply Thaddeus's intersection pairing formula for $\alpha^i \beta^j \gamma^k$ from Section 3 term-wise. As an illustration of this, we may write $\delta_8 = \delta_{6,8} \in H^{16}(N_6; \mathbb{Z})$ as follows:

$$\begin{split} \delta_{6,8} &= \left(\frac{3184129}{10321920}\right) \alpha^8 - \left(\frac{351163}{368640}\right) \alpha^6 \beta + \left(\frac{747229}{737280}\right) \alpha^4 \beta^2 + \left(\frac{3539}{23040}\right) \alpha^5 \gamma - \left(\frac{1044149}{2580480}\right) \alpha^2 \beta^3 \\ &- \left(\frac{1061}{3840}\right) \alpha^3 \beta \gamma + \left(\frac{1155}{32768}\right) \beta^4 + \left(\frac{18829}{161280}\right) \alpha \beta^2 \gamma + \left(\frac{13}{576}\right) \alpha^2 \gamma^2 - \left(\frac{31}{2880}\right) \beta \gamma^2 \end{split}$$

We also compute $\delta_{6,2} = \frac{91}{8}\alpha^2 - \frac{11}{8}\beta$. Then we can apply Thaddeus's intersection pairing formula to the terms of $\alpha^5 \delta_{6,2} \delta_{6,8}$ and sum to obtain 117071517415. This number is odd, and accounts for the partition (8, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1) appearing the first column of row g = 6 in Table 1.

From Table 1 we can read off the ring structure of $H^*(N_g; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ for $1 \leq g \leq 8$, and we will spell this out for $1 \leq g \leq 4$. We make a few preliminary remarks. We know from Cor. 2.6 that $H^*(N_g; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ is generated by $\alpha, \delta_{2^i}, \psi_j$ for $2 \leq 2^i \leq 2g - 1$ and $1 \leq j \leq 2g$. We write $I(N_g; R) \subset H^*(N_g; R)$ for the subring invariant under the Sp $(2g, \mathbb{Z})$ -action, where R is any ring. It is well known that $I(N_g; \mathbb{Q})$ is generated by α, β, γ and that a monomial basis for the vector space $I(N_q; \mathbb{Q})$ is given by

$$\{\alpha^i \beta^j \gamma^k : i, j, k \ge 0, i+j+k < g\},\$$

see for example [ST95, §5]. In particular, dim $I(N_g; \mathbb{Q}) = g(g+1)(g+2)/6 = T_g$, the g^{th} Tetrahedral number. This in fact holds for any field, and in particular \mathbb{Z}_2 . From Prop. 2.8 we know that $I(N_g; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ is generated by $\alpha, \delta_{2^i}, v_{2^j}$ for $2 \leq 2^i \leq 2g-1$ and $1 \leq 2^j < g$. We now proceed to describe the rings $H^*(N_q; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ and their invariant subrings for $1 \leq g \leq 4$. **Genus 1:** In this case, N_1 is a point, so $H^*(N_1; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$.

Genus 2: Even over \mathbb{Z} this ring is simple to describe, cf. [New67, §10]. As remarked there, the only interesting cup product in $H^*(N_2;\mathbb{Z})$ is α^2 , which is 4 times an integral generator, equal to $\alpha^2 - \delta_2$. Thus the ring $H^*(N_2;\mathbb{Z}_2)$, which has betti numbers 1,0,1,4,1,0,1, has the residue classes of α in degree 2 and δ_2 in degree 4, and $\alpha^2 = 0 \pmod{2}$. The classes $\psi_1, \psi_2, \psi_3, \psi_4$ generate the 4-dimensional middle cohomology group, and $\alpha \delta_2, \psi_1 \psi_3, \psi_2 \psi_4$ are all equal to the non-zero top degree element in $H^6(N_2;\mathbb{Z}_2)$, while all other pairings are zero. The invariant subring $I(N_2;\mathbb{Z}_2)$ is generated by α and δ_2 and has betti numbers 1,0,1,0,1.

Genus 3: The ring $H^*(N_3; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ has betti numbers 1, 0, 1, 6, 2, 6, 16, 6, 2, 6, 1, 0, 1. It is generated by $\alpha, \delta_2, \delta_4$ and ψ_j for $1 \le j \le 6$. The nontrivial pairings in top degree, as can be read from Table 1, are

$$\alpha^2 \delta_4, \quad \delta_2^3, \qquad \psi_j \psi_{j+g} \alpha \delta_2 \ (1 \le j \le 3), \qquad \psi_j \psi_{j+g} \psi_k \psi_{k+g} \ (1 \le j \ne k \le 3)$$

The invariant subring $I(N_3; \mathbb{Z}_2)$, which has betti numbers 1, 0, 1, 0, 2, 0, 2, 0, 2, 0, 1, 0, 1, is generated by $\alpha, \delta_2, \delta_4$ and v_1, v_2 . We can compute a presentation for the invariant ring:

$$I(N_3;\mathbb{Z}_2) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2[\alpha, \delta_2, \delta_4, \upsilon_1, \upsilon_2]/(\upsilon_1^2, \delta_4\upsilon_1, \delta_4^2, \delta_2\delta_4, \delta_1\delta_4 + \delta_2\upsilon_1, \delta_2^2 + \delta_1\upsilon_1, \delta_1^2\upsilon_1, \delta_1^2\delta_2, \delta_1^3)$$

We remind the reader that $\upsilon_1 = \psi_1\psi_4 + \psi_2\psi_5 + \psi_3\psi_6$ and $\upsilon_2 = \psi_1\psi_4\psi_2\psi_5 + \psi_1\psi_4\psi_3\psi_6 + \psi_2\psi_5\psi_3\psi_6$. Note here that δ_2^3 is nonzero. This property seems to possibly persist for all $\delta_{g,2} \in H^*(N_g;\mathbb{Z}_2)$, and can perhaps be proven using the same methods used to prove Theorem 1.1.

Genus 4: The ring $H^*(N_3; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ has betti numbers 1, 0, 1, 8, 2, 8, 30, 16, 30, 64, 30, 16, 30, 8, 2, 8, 1, 0, 1. It is generated by $\alpha, \delta_2, \delta_4$ and ψ_j for $1 \leq j \leq 8$. The only non-trivial pairings in the top degree, as read from Table 1, are the following, in which $1 \leq j, k, \ell \leq 4$ are distinct:

$$\alpha \delta_2^2 \delta_4, \quad \alpha^3 \delta_2 \delta_4, \quad \psi_j \psi_{j+g} \alpha^2 \delta_4, \quad \psi_j \psi_{j+g} \delta_2 \delta_4, \\ \psi_j \psi_{j+g} \delta_2^3, \quad \psi_j \psi_{j+g} \psi_k \psi_{k+g} \alpha \delta_2, \quad \psi_j \psi_{j+g} \psi_k \psi_{k+g} \psi_\ell \psi_{\ell+g}$$

The invariant ring $I(N_4; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ has betti numbers 1, 0, 1, 0, 2, 0, 3, 0, 3, 0, 3, 0, 3, 0, 2, 0, 1, 0, 1. It is generated by $\alpha, \delta_2, \delta_4, v_1, v_2$, just like the genus 3 case. The ideal of relations here is generated by:

$$\alpha^{4}, \ \alpha^{2}\delta_{2} + \alpha \upsilon_{1} + \delta_{2}^{2}, \ \delta_{2}\alpha^{3} + \alpha\delta_{2}^{2}, \ \alpha^{2}\upsilon_{1}, \ \alpha^{2}\delta_{2}^{2}, \ \alpha\upsilon_{2}, \\ \upsilon_{1}^{2}, \ \upsilon_{2}^{2}, \ \delta_{2}^{3}\upsilon_{1} + \alpha^{2}\delta_{4}\upsilon_{1}, \ \delta_{2}^{3} + \upsilon_{2}, \ \upsilon_{1}\upsilon_{2}, \ \delta_{4}\upsilon_{1}, \ \delta_{4}^{2}$$

We will stop here, but the interested reader can proceed to describe the higher genus cases up to g = 8 using Table 1. Also, one can similarly describe the rings $H^*(N_g; \mathbb{Z}_p)$ for other primes p using our Chern number computations and some additional work.

	k = 0	k = 1	k = 2	k = 3	k = 4	k = 5	<i>k</i> = 6	k = 7
g = 1	0							
<i>g</i> = 2	(2^11^1)	0						
g = 3	$(4^{1}1^{2})$ (2^{3})	(2^11^1)	0					
<i>g</i> = 4	$\begin{array}{c} (4^1 2^2 1^1) \\ (4^1 2^1 1^3) \end{array}$	$(4^{1}1^{2}) \\ (4^{1}2^{1}) \\ (2^{3})$	(2^11^1)	0				
<i>g</i> = 5	$(8^{1}2^{1}1^{2}) \\ (4^{1}2^{3}1^{1}) \\ (8^{1}1^{4}) \\ (4^{3}) \\ \end{cases}$	$\begin{array}{c} (4^1 2^1 1^3) \\ (4^1 2^2 1^1) \end{array}$	$(4^{1}1^{2}) \\ (2^{1}4^{1}) \\ (2^{3})$	(2^11^1)	0			
<i>g</i> = 6	$\begin{array}{c} (8^12^21^3) \\ (8^12^11^5) \\ (8^12^31^1) \\ (4^32^11^1) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} (4^{1}2^{3}1^{2}) \\ (8^{1}2^{2}) \\ (8^{1}1^{4}) \\ (4^{3}) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} (4^2 2^1 1^3) \\ (4^1 2^2 1^1) \end{array}$	$(4^{1}1^{2})$ (2^{3})	(2^11^1)	0		
<i>g</i> = 7	$\begin{array}{c}(8^{1}4^{1}2^{2}1^{2})\\(8^{1}2^{3}1^{4})\\(8^{1}4^{2}1^{2})\\(8^{1}4^{1}1^{6})\\(4^{3}2^{3})\\(8^{1}2^{5})\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c}(8^14^12^11^1)\\(8^12^21^3)\\(8^12^31^1)\\(8^14^11^3)\\(4^32^11^1)\\(8^12^11^5)\end{array}$	$({4^{1}2^{3}1^{2}}) \\ ({8^{1}1^{4}}) \\ ({4^{3}})$	$\begin{array}{c} (4^{1}2^{1}1^{3}) \\ (4^{1}2^{2}1^{1}) \end{array}$	$(4^{1}1^{2})$ (2^{3})	$(2^{1}1^{1})$	0	
<i>g</i> = 8	$\begin{array}{c} (8^{1}4^{1}2^{3}1^{3})\\ (8^{1}4^{1}2^{2}1^{5})\\ (8^{1}4^{2}2^{1}1^{3})\\ (8^{1}4^{2}2^{2}1^{1})\\ (8^{1}4^{1}2^{2}1^{1})\\ (8^{1}4^{1}2^{1}1^{7})\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c}(8^{1}4^{1}2^{1}1^{4})\\(8^{1}4^{2}2^{1})\\(8^{1}4^{2}1^{2})\\(8^{1}4^{1}2^{3})\\(8^{1}4^{1}1^{6})\\(8^{1}2^{3}1^{4})\\(8^{1}2^{5})\\(4^{3}2^{3})\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} (8^{1}4^{1}2^{1}1^{1})\\ (8^{1}4^{1}1^{3})\\ (8^{1}2^{3}1^{1})\\ (8^{1}2^{2}1^{3})\\ (8^{1}2^{1}1^{5})\\ (4^{3}2^{1}1^{1}) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} (8^{1}2^{1}1^{2})\\ (4^{1}2^{3}1^{2})\\ (8^{1}4^{1})\\ (8^{1}2^{2})\\ (8^{1}1^{4})\\ (4^{3}) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} (4^{1}2^{2}1^{1}) \\ (4^{1}2^{1}1^{3}) \end{array}$	$(4^{1}2^{1}) \\ (4^{1}1^{2}) \\ (2^{3})$	$(2^{1}1^{1})$	0

Table 1: Partitions $\lambda \in \mathscr{P}(2)$ for which $\operatorname{Coeff}_{m_{\lambda}}[P_{g,k}] \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$

A partition $(8^a 4^b 2^c 1^d)$ appears in row g and column k of this table if and only if the monomial $\mu = \phi \delta_8^a \delta_4^b \delta_2^c \alpha^d$ is nonzero in the ring $H^*(N_g; \mathbb{Z}_2)$, i.e. $\mu[N_g] \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, where $\phi = \psi_1 \psi_{1+g} \cdots \psi_k \psi_{k+g}$.

A Background on symmetric functions

In this section we provide the reader with the relevant background material on symmetric polynomials. For details and proofs, see [Mac15]. We will typically work with symmetric functions in infinitely many variables x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots with either integer or rational coefficients.

There are a few standard symmetric functions that will be of use to us. First, for any positive integer n, we have the *elementary* symmetric function e_n , given by

$$e_n = \sum_{i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_n} x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_n}.$$

If $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k)$ is a partition, i.e. a nonincreasing sequence of nonnegative integers, then we define $e_{\lambda} = e_{\lambda_1} e_{\lambda_2} \cdots e_{\lambda_k}$. If in the definition of e_n one sums over $i_1 \ge i_2 \ge \dots \ge i_n$ instead, the result is the *complete* symmetric function h_n , and we may similarly define h_{λ} . For n = 0, set $e_0 = h_0 = 1$.

Next, for any given partition λ , we have the *monomial* symmetric function m_{λ} , which is the sum of all distinct monomials of the form $x_{i_1}^{\lambda_1} x_{i_2}^{\lambda_2} \cdots x_{i_k}^{\lambda_k}$ in which i_1, \ldots, i_k are distinct. Although we do not make much use of them, we also define the *power sum* symmetric function p_n by

$$p_n \; = \; \sum_{i \geqslant 0} x_i^n.$$

In Section 4.3 we define (skew) Schur symmetric functions s_{λ} . It is often convenient to write a partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k)$ in the alternative format $\lambda = (1^{m_1} 2^{m_2} \cdots k^{m_k})$ in which λ has m_i number of parts equal to *i*. For example, the partition (2, 2, 1, 1, 1) can be written instead as $(1^3 2^2)$. We write $|\lambda| = \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_k$ for the sum of a partition, and $l(\lambda) = k$ for its length. Sometimes we insert commas for clarity; the last partition may be written as $(2^2 1^3)$.

We write Λ for the ring of symmetric functions with integer coefficients. The Fundamental Theorem of Symmetric Functions says that Λ is isomorphic to the ring $\mathbb{Z}[e_1, e_2, \ldots]$ freely generated by the e_i . The statement also holds with the e_i replaced by h_i . Also, the sets

$$\{e_{\lambda}\}, \{h_{\lambda}\}, \{m_{\lambda}\}, \{s_{\lambda}\}, \{$$

where λ runs over all partitions, each separately provides an additive basis for Λ . If we work instead with rational coefficients, then the ring of symmetric functions $\Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ is isomorphic to the freely generated algebra $\mathbb{Q}[p_1, p_2, \ldots]$, and $\{p_{\lambda}\}$ provides an additive basis for the vector space $\Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$.

References

- [AB83] M. F. Atiyah and R. Bott. The Yang-Mills equations over Riemann surfaces. Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A, 308(1505):523-615, 1983.
- [Bar94] V. Yu. Baranovskii. The cohomology ring of the moduli space of stable bundles with odd determinant. Izv. Ross. Akad. Nauk Ser. Mat., 58(4):204–210, 1994.
- [CS] B. Chen and C. Scaduto. Nilpotency in instanton homology, and the framed instanton homology of a surface times a circle. Preprint.

- [FL01] Paul M. N. Feehan and Thomas G. Leness. PU(2) monopoles and links of top-level Seiberg-Witten moduli spaces. J. Reine Angew. Math., 538:57–133, 2001.
- [Fy04] Kim A. Frø yshov. An inequality for the *h*-invariant in instanton Floer theory. Topology, 43(2):407-432, 2004.
- [GV89] Ira M. Gessel and X. G. Viennot. Determinants, paths, and plane partitions. Preprint, http://people.brandeis.edu/ gessel/homepage/papers/pp.pdf, 1989.
- [Hat02] Allen Hatcher. Algebraic topology. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.
- [Kir92] Frances Kirwan. The cohomology rings of moduli spaces of bundles over Riemann surfaces. J. Amer. Math. Soc., 5(4):853–906, 1992.
- [KN98] A. D. King and P. E. Newstead. On the cohomology ring of the moduli space of rank 2 vector bundles on a curve. *Topology*, 37(2):407–418, 1998.
- [Mac15] I. G. Macdonald. Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials. Oxford Classic Texts in the Physical Sciences. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, second edition, 2015. With contribution by A. V. Zelevinsky and a foreword by Richard Stanley, Reprint of the 2008 paperback edition [MR1354144].
- [Muñ99] Vicente Muñoz. Ring structure of the Floer cohomology of $\Sigma \times S^1$. Topology, 38(3):517–528, 1999.
- [New67] P. E. Newstead. Topological properties of some spaces of stable bundles. Topology, 6:241– 262, 1967.
- [New72] P. E. Newstead. Characteristic classes of stable bundles of rank 2 over an algebraic curve. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 169:337–345, 1972.
- [ST95] Bernd Siebert and Gang Tian. Recursive relations for the cohomology ring of moduli spaces of stable bundles. *Turkish J. Math.*, 19(2):131–144, 1995.
- [Sta11] Richard P. Stanley. Two remarks on skew tableaux. Electron. J. Combin., 18(2):Paper 16, 8, 2011.
- [Tha92] Michael Thaddeus. Conformal field theory and the cohomology of the moduli space of stable bundles. J. Differential Geom., 35(1):131–149, 1992.
- [Tha97] Michael Thaddeus. An introduction to the topology of the moduli space of stable bundles on a Riemann surface. In *Geometry and physics (Aarhus, 1995)*, volume 184 of *Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math.*, pages 71–99. Dekker, New York, 1997.
- [Wal60] C. T. C. Wall. Determination of the cobordism ring. Ann. of Math. (2), 72:292–311, 1960.
- [Zag95] Don Zagier. On the cohomology of moduli spaces of rank two vector bundles over curves. In *The moduli space of curves (Texel Island, 1994)*, volume 129 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 533–563. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1995.