

Today: Sylvester's Determinant.

{This is how van der Waerden did algebraic geometry.}

Recall from the proof of Study's Lemma:

If $f, g \in \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ are coprime, then

$\exists \tilde{f}, \tilde{g} \in \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n] \text{ & } h \in \mathbb{F}[\vec{x}_i']$

where $\vec{x}_i' = (x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n)$

such that

$$f\tilde{f} + g\tilde{g} = h.$$

This is some sort of weak version of Bézout's identity for UFDs.



Sylvester's Resultant Theorem:

Let R be UFD, so $R[x]$ also UFD.

Let $f, g \in R[x]$ have degrees $d \& e$:

$$f(x) = a_0 x^d + a_1 x^{d-1} + \cdots + a_{d-1} x + a_d$$

$$g(x) = b_0 x^e + \cdots + b_{e-1} x + b_e.$$

TFAE :

- (1) f, g not coprime in $R[x]$
- (2) $\exists \varphi, \gamma \in R[x]$ such that
 - $\deg \varphi < \deg f, \deg \gamma < \deg g,$
 - $f\varphi = g\gamma.$
- (3) Sylvester's "resultant" is $\neq 0$:

$$\text{Res}(f, g) = \det \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & \cdots & a_d \\ b_0 & \cdots & b_e \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ b_0 & \cdots & b_e \end{pmatrix}$$

$\left. \begin{matrix} e \\ d \end{matrix} \right\}$

[Square matrix of size $d+e$.]

Proof: (1) \Leftrightarrow (2) :

If $h | f \& h | g$ with h non-constant.
 Hence $f = h\varphi \& g = h\gamma$ with

$\deg \varphi < \deg f$ & $\deg \gamma < \deg g$,

hence $fx = h\varphi\gamma = gy$. ///

Conversely, let $fx = gy$ for some
 $\deg \varphi < \deg f$ & $\deg \gamma < \deg g$, and
suppose for contradiction f, g coprime.

Since $f \mid gy$ it follows from unique
factorization that $f \mid \varphi$, contradicting
the fact $\deg \varphi < \deg f$. ///

(2) \Leftrightarrow (3) :

The desired φ, γ have the form

$$\varphi = u_0 x^{d-1} + \cdots + u_{d-2} x + u_{d-1},$$

$$\gamma = v_0 x^{e-1} + \cdots + v_{e-2} x + v_{e-1}.$$

Solve for the $d+e$ coefficients

$$u_0, \dots, v_{e-1}.$$

The equation $fx = gy$ says

$$(a_0 x^d + \cdots + a_d) (v_0 x^{e-1} + \cdots + v_{e-1})$$

$$= (b_0 x^e + \dots + b_e) (u_0 x^{d-1} + \dots + u_{d-1})$$

Expand & compare x -coefficients:

$$\begin{aligned} a_0 v_0 &= b_0 u_0 \\ a_1 v_0 + a_0 v_1 &= b_1 u_0 + b_0 u_1 \\ &\vdots \\ &\vdots \\ a_d v_{e-1} &= b_e u_{d-1} \end{aligned}$$

This system has a solution \iff
 det of coeff matrix is nonzero:

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & -b_0 & -b_0 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_d & -b_e & -b_e & \dots \\ & a_d & -b_e & \dots \end{pmatrix} \neq 0.$$

Multiply b -columns by -1 &
 transpose. //



Examples:

- A poly $f \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ has multiple root $\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \Leftrightarrow f, f'$ have common divisor $x - \alpha \in \mathbb{C}[x]$. If $f(x) = ax^2 + bx + c$, $f'(x) = 2ax + b$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Res}(f, f') &= \det \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \\ 2a & b & 0 \\ 0 & 2a & b \end{pmatrix} \\ &= a(b^2 - 0) - 2a(b^2 - 2ac) \\ &= -a(b^2 - 4ac) \quad \square\end{aligned}$$

Call $\text{Res}(f, f')$ the "discriminant."

- Descartes: $f(x) = a_0 x^d + \dots + a_d \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ has root $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ iff $f(x)$ & $g(x) = x - \alpha$ are not coprime. Indeed, one can show

$$\text{Res}(f, x - \alpha) = \det \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & a_1 & \dots & a_d \\ 1 - \alpha & 1 - \alpha & \dots & 1 - \alpha \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= (-1)^d (a_0 \alpha^d + \dots + a_d)$$

$$= (-1)^d f(\alpha).$$

If $f(x) = \prod_{i=1}^d (x - \lambda_i)$ then this becomes

$$\text{Res}(f, x - \alpha) = (-1)^d \prod (\alpha - \lambda_i)$$

$$= \prod (\lambda_i - \alpha).$$

- More generally, we will see that

$$\text{if } f(x) = \prod (x - \lambda_i) \text{ & } g(x) = \prod (x - \mu_j)$$

then $\text{Res}(f, g) = \prod_{i,j} (\lambda_i - \mu_j).$



Resultant of Multivariate Polynomials:

Given $f, g \in R[\vec{x}]$ in n variables
and x_i , expand

$$f(\vec{x}) = a_0(\vec{x}_i') x_i^d + \dots + a_d(\vec{x}_i'),$$

$$g(\vec{x}) = b_0(\vec{x}_i') x_i^e + \dots + b_e(\vec{x}_i').$$

Define the resultant with respect to the variable x_i :

$$\text{Res}_{x_i}(f, g) = \det \begin{pmatrix} a_0 - \cdot ad & & \\ & a_0 - \cdot ad & \\ b_0 - \cdot be & & \\ & b_0 - \cdot be & \end{pmatrix} \in R[\vec{x}_i'].$$

Some useful facts:

(a) $\text{Res}_{x_i}(f, g) = (-1)^{de} \text{Res}_{x_i}(g, f)$

(b) $\exists \tilde{f}, \tilde{g} \in R[\vec{x}]$ such that

$$\text{Res}_{x_i}(f, g) = f \tilde{f} + g \tilde{g}.$$

(c) If f & g are homogeneous of degrees d & e , then a_k & b_k are homogeneous of degree k . Then one of the following holds:

- $\text{Res}_{x_i}(f, g) = 0$.

- $\text{Res}_{x_1}(f, g)$ is homogeneous of degree $de = \deg(f) \cdot \deg(g)$.

(d) If $f, g \in R[x]$ split

$$f = \prod (x - \lambda_i) \quad \& \quad g = \prod (x - \mu_j)$$

then $\text{Res}(f, g) = \prod_{i,j} (\lambda_i - \mu_j)$

(e) For any f_1, f_2, g we have

$$\text{Res}(f_1, f_2, g) = \text{Res}(f_1, g) \text{Res}(f_2, g).$$

Proof: (\Leftarrow): Cyclic permutation of the rows multiplies det by $(-1)^{d+e-1}$, since it can be achieved by sequence of $d+e-1$ adjacent row swaps. To put b -rows at the top we need to do this e times:

$$(-1)^{(d+e-1)e} = (-1)^{de} \cancel{(-1)^{e(e-1)}} = (-1)^{de} \checkmark$$

(b) Replace 1st column by

$$\sum_{k=1}^{d+e} x_i^{k-1} \text{ (} k\text{th column}),$$

which does not change the determinant.

The new 1st column is

$$(f(\vec{x}), x_i f(\vec{x}), \dots, x_i^{d-1} f(\vec{x}), \\ g(\vec{x}), x_i g(\vec{x}), \dots, x_i^{e-1} g(\vec{x}))$$

Expand determinant along 1st column:

$$\text{Res}_{x_i}(f, g) = f \tilde{f} + g \tilde{g} \quad \checkmark$$

(c) If $a_k, b_k \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}[\vec{x}_i']$ are hom.

of degree k then

$$a_k(\lambda \vec{x}_i') = \lambda^k a_k(\vec{x}_i')$$

$$b_k(\lambda \vec{x}_i') = \lambda^k b_k(\vec{x}_i')$$

Thus:

$$\text{Res}_{x_i}(f, g)(\lambda \vec{x}_i') = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 \lambda^{a_1} - \lambda^{a_d} & & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \lambda^{a_d} \\ b_0 - \cdots - \lambda^{b_e} & \cdots & \cdots & - \lambda^{b_e} \end{pmatrix}$$

TRICK: Multiply first e rows by $\lambda, \lambda^2, \dots, \lambda^e$ & multiply final d rows by $\lambda, \lambda^2, \dots, \lambda^d$. Net result is to multiply k th column of the original Sylvester matrix by λ^k . Hence

$$\lambda \cdots \lambda^d \lambda \cdots \lambda^e \text{Res}(\lambda \vec{x}_i') = \lambda \cdots \lambda^{d+e} \text{Res}(\vec{x}_i')$$

$$\lambda^{d(d+1)/2} \lambda^{e(e+1)/2} \text{Res}(\lambda \vec{x}_i') = \lambda^{\frac{(d+e)(d+e+1)}{2}} \text{Res}(\vec{x}_i')$$

$$\text{Res}(\lambda \vec{x}_i') = \lambda^{de} \text{Res}(\vec{x}_i')$$

MAGIC!