

**Problem 1. Yoneda's Lemma.** We have seen that the bifunctor  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, -) : \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Set}$  is analogous to a bilinear form on a  $K$ -vector space  $\langle -, - \rangle : V \times V \rightarrow K$ . Recall that a bilinear form  $\langle -, - \rangle$  is called non-degenerate if for all vectors  $x, y \in V$  we have

$$\langle x, z \rangle = \langle y, z \rangle \text{ for all } z \in V \implies x = y.$$

The Yoneda Lemma tells us that the Hom bifunctor is “non-degenerate” in a similar way.

- For each object  $X \in \mathcal{C}$  verify that  $h^X := \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, -)$  defines a functor  $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Set}$ .
- Given two objects  $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$  state what it means to have  $h^X \approx h^Y$  as functors.
- Given two objects  $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$  and an isomorphism of functors  $h^X \approx h^Y$ , prove that we have an isomorphism of objects  $X \approx Y$ . [Hint: Let  $\Phi : h^X \xrightarrow{\sim} h^Y$  be a natural isomorphism. Now consider the morphisms  $\Phi_X(\text{id}_X) : Y \rightarrow X$  and  $(\Phi_Y)^{-1}(\text{id}_Y) : X \rightarrow Y$ .]

**Problem 2. The Tower Law.** Let  $R$  be a ring and let  $A, B$  be any sets. In this problem we will investigate the isomorphism of  $R$ -modules

$$(R^{\oplus A})^{\oplus B} \approx R^{\oplus(A \times B)}.$$

- For all sets  $C \in \text{Set}$  prove that there is a bijection

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Set}}(A \times B, C) \leftrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\text{Set}}(B, \text{Hom}_{\text{Set}}(A, C)).$$

- Given an  $R$ -module  $M$  we will define the  $R$ -module  $M^{\oplus A}$  as a coproduct as in HW1.1(b). Prove that for all  $R$ -modules  $N$  there is a bijection

$$\text{Hom}_R(M^{\oplus A}, N) \leftrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\text{Set}}(A, \text{Hom}_R(M, N)).$$

- Use parts (a) and (b) together with Yoneda's Lemma to prove the isomorphism of  $R$ -modules  $(R^{\oplus A})^{\oplus B} \approx R^{\oplus(A \times B)}$ . [Hint: You can assume without proof that the bijections from (a) and (b) are “natural” in their arguments.]
- Given a field extension  $K \subseteq L$  prove that we can view  $L$  as a  $K$ -vector space. We will denote the dimension of this  $K$ -vector space by  $[L : K]$ . Now consider a chain of field extensions  $K_1 \subseteq K_2 \subseteq K_3$ . Use the isomorphism from part (c) to prove that

$$[K_3 : K_1] = [K_3 : K_2] \cdot [K_2 : K_1].$$

[Hint: Don't get your hands dirty.]

Problems 3–5 use the following definitions. Recall that a commutative  $R$ -algebra is a homomorphism  $i : R \rightarrow S$  of commutative rings and an  $R$ -algebra morphism from  $i_1 : R \rightarrow S_1$  to  $i_2 : R \rightarrow S_2$  is a ring homomorphism  $\varphi : S_1 \rightarrow S_2$  satisfying  $\varphi \circ i_1 = i_2$ . If  $i : R \rightarrow S$  is an  $R$ -algebra, recall that for each element  $a \in S$  there exists a unique  $R$ -algebra morphism  $\varphi_a : R[x] \rightarrow S$  satisfying  $\varphi_a(r) = i(r)$  for all  $r \in R$  and  $\varphi_a(x) = a$ . [In other words,  $R[x]$  is the free commutative  $R$ -algebra generated by one element.] We will say that

- $a \in S$  is transcendental over  $R$  if  $\varphi_a$  is injective,
- $a \in S$  is algebraic over  $R$  if  $\varphi_a$  is not injective,

and we will sometimes denote the image by  $R[a] := \text{im } \varphi_a$ . More generally, given an  $n$ -tuple of elements  $A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\} \subseteq S$  there exists a unique  $R$ -algebra morphism  $\varphi_A : R[x_1, \dots, x_n] \rightarrow S$  such that  $\varphi_A(r) = i(r)$  for all  $r \in R$  and  $\varphi_A(x_i) = a_i$  for all  $a_i \in A$ . [In other words,  $R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is the free commutative  $R$ -algebra generated by  $n$  elements.] We will say that

- $A \subseteq S$  is an  $R$ -algebraically independent set if  $\varphi_A$  is injective,

- $A \subseteq S$  is an  $R$ -algebraic generating set if  $\varphi_A$  is surjective.

We will denote the image by  $R[A] := \text{im } \varphi_A$  or  $R[a_1, \dots, a_n] := \text{im } \varphi_A$ , depending on context.

**Problem 3. Algebraic Closure is Sometimes a Ring.** Given an extension of commutative rings  $R \subseteq S$  we will write  $\text{Alg}_R(S) \subseteq S$  for the set of elements of  $S$  that are algebraic over  $R$ . If  $\text{Alg}_R(S) = S$  we will say that  $S$  is algebraic over  $R$ . In this case we will also say that  $R \subseteq S$  is an algebraic extension.

- Let  $K \subseteq L$  be an extension of fields. If  $[L : K] < \infty$ , prove that  $L$  is algebraic over  $K$ .
- If  $K \subseteq L$  is an extension of fields, prove that  $\text{Alg}_K(L)$  is a **subfield** of  $L$ . [Hint: Given  $a, b \in \text{Alg}_K(L)$ , you want to show that  $a - b$  and  $a/b$  are both in  $\text{Alg}_K(L)$ . Let  $K(a, b) \subseteq L$  be the intersection of all subfields of  $L$  that contain  $K \cup \{a, b\}$ . Use Problem 2(d) to show that  $[K(a, b) : K] < \infty$ . Then use part (a).]
- Now let  $R \subseteq S$  be an extension of integral domains. Prove that  $\text{Alg}_R(S)$  is a **subring** of  $S$ . [Hint: Let  $K \subseteq L$  be the corresponding fields of fractions. Prove that  $\text{Alg}_R(S) = S \cap \text{Alg}_K(L)$  and then use part (b).]

**Problem 4. Algebraic Over Algebraic is Sometimes Algebraic.**

- Let  $K \subseteq L$  be an extension of fields and consider an element  $a \in \text{Alg}_K(L)$ . Prove that  $K[a]$  is a field and that  $[K[a] : K] < \infty$ . [Hint: Since  $K[x]$  is a PID, the kernel of the evaluation map  $\varphi_a : K[x] \rightarrow S$  is generated by a single polynomial  $m_a(x) \in K[x]$  called the **minimal polynomial** of  $a$  over  $K$ . Show that  $m_a(x)$  is irreducible, hence  $(m_a(x)) \subseteq K[x]$  is a maximal ideal, hence  $K[a] \approx K[x]/(m_a(x))$  is a field. Then show that  $[K[a] : K] = \deg m_a(x)$ .]
- Let  $K \subseteq L$  be an algebraic extension of fields such that  $L$  is finitely generated as a  $K$ -algebra. In this case prove that  $L$  is finite dimensional as a  $K$ -vector space. [Hint: Suppose that  $L = K[a_1, \dots, a_n]$  as a  $K$ -algebra and define  $L_i := K[a_1, \dots, a_i]$ . Prove using part (a) and induction that  $L_{i+1}$  is a field and that  $[L_{i+1} : L_i] < \infty$ . Then use Problem 2(d).]
- Let  $R$  and  $S$  be integral domains. Prove that  $R \subseteq S$  is an algebraic extension if and only if  $\text{Frac}(R) \subseteq \text{Frac}(S)$  is an algebraic extension. [Hint: One direction uses Problem 3(b).]
- Now let  $R_1 \subseteq R_2 \subseteq R_3$  be integral domains such  $R_1 \subseteq R_2$  and  $R_2 \subseteq R_3$  are algebraic extensions. In this case prove that  $R_1 \subseteq R_3$  is also algebraic. [Hint: Let  $K_1 \subseteq K_2 \subseteq K_3$  be the corresponding fields of fractions. By part (c) we know that  $K_1 \subseteq K_2$  and  $K_2 \subseteq K_3$  are algebraic. Now consider an arbitrary element  $\alpha \in K_3$ . We know that  $\beta_0 + \beta_1\alpha + \dots + \beta_n\alpha^n = 0$  for some elements  $\beta_i \in K_2$ , and hence  $\alpha$  is algebraic over the subring  $K_1[\beta_0, \dots, \beta_n]$ . Now use 4(b), 4(a), 2(d) and 3(a).]

**Problem 5. Transcendence Degree Sometimes Exists.** In this problem we will prove a version of “Steinitz Exchange” for algebras. Let  $R \subseteq S$  be an extension of commutative rings. Given a subset  $A \subseteq S$  of size  $n$ , let  $\varphi_A : R[x_1, \dots, x_n] \rightarrow S$  be the evaluation homomorphism with image  $R[A] \subseteq S$ . We will say that

- $A \subseteq S$  is  $R$ -algebraically independent if  $\varphi_A$  is injective,
- $A \subseteq S$  is  $R$ -almost generating if  $R[A] \subseteq S$  is algebraic.

If  $A \subseteq S$  is  $R$ -algebraically independent **and**  $R$ -almost generating we will call it a transcendence basis for the algebra  $R \subseteq S$ . Our goal is to prove that (for certain kinds of algebras) all transcendence bases have the same size.

- Let  $R \subseteq S$  be an extension of **integral domains**. Let  $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_m\} \subseteq S$  be  $R$ -algebraically independent and let  $B = \{b_1, \dots, b_n\} \subseteq S$  be  $R$ -almost generating. Show that we can reorder the elements of  $B$  so that the set  $\{a_1, b_2, \dots, b_n\}$  is  $R$ -almost generating. [Hint: Since  $a_1$  is algebraic over  $R[b_1, \dots, b_n]$  there exists a nontrivial polynomial relation  $f(a_1, b_1, \dots, b_n) = 0$ . Since  $A$  is algebraically independent, at least one of the  $b_i$  must appear in this relation; without loss we can assume that  $b_1$  appears. Now use Problem 3(c) and Problem 4(d).]
- If  $m > n$ , use induction on part (a) to obtain a contradiction.