

The Nov 13

Another example of a "torsor":

Let  $(V, \mathbb{F})$  be a vector space and let  $AV$  be the set  $V$  (of "points") with structure forgotten.

Then  $(V, +, 0) \curvearrowright AV$  regularly by "translation", hence  $AV$  is a  $V$ -torsor, called "affine space".

Think:

$AV = \text{manifold} = \text{"points"}$

$V = \text{tangent space} = \text{"vectors"}$

Now consider quad. form  $Q: V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$  with  $Q(x) = 0 \Rightarrow x = 0$ , so we can think of  $Q(x) = \|x\|^2$  as a length/norm. Let

$\text{Isom}(AV, Q)$

$:= \left\{ \text{functions } \varphi: V \rightarrow V \text{ such that} \right.$   
 $\left. Q(\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)) = Q(x - y) \quad \forall x, y \in V \right\}$

" $\text{dist}_Q(\varphi(x), \varphi(y)) = \text{dist}_Q(x, y)$ "

Translation subgroup :

For  $\alpha \in V$  define  $t_\alpha : AV \rightarrow AV$   
 $x \mapsto x + \alpha$

Then  $(V, +, 0) \cong \{t_\alpha : \alpha \in V\} \leq \text{Isom}(AV)$   
 $\alpha + \beta \iff t_\alpha \circ t_\beta$

Abuse notation :  $V \leq \text{Isom}(AV, Q)$ .

Now let  $\varphi \in \text{Isom}(AV)$  and define  
 $\alpha := \varphi(0)$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}(t_{-\alpha} \circ \varphi)(0) &= t_{-\alpha}(\varphi(0)) \\ &= t_{-\alpha}(\alpha) = \alpha - \alpha = 0\end{aligned}$$

Hence  $t_{-\alpha} \circ \varphi =: \mu \in \text{Isom}_0(AV)$

Then  $\varphi = t_\alpha \circ \mu$

$$\implies \text{Isom}(AV) = V \text{Isom}_0(AV)$$

and  $V \cap \text{Isom}_0(AV) = \{\text{id}\}$ .

$$\implies \text{Isom}(AV) = \text{Isom}_0(AV) \times V$$

↑  
structure?

Now here's a surprise:

$$\text{Isom}_0(V, Q) \approx O(V, Q).$$

i.e. if  $\varphi$  preserves  $Q$  and  $\varphi(0) = 0$   
then  $\varphi$  is linear ( $\varphi(x+cy) = \varphi(x) + c\varphi(y)$ ).

Proof following M. Artin's "Algebra" pg. 156

Again let

$$B(x, y) := \frac{1}{2} [Q(x+y) - Q(x) - Q(y)]$$

Lemma: Given  $x, y \in V$ . If  
 $B(x, x) = B(x, y) = B(y, y)$  then  $x = y$ .

Proof:  $Q(x-y) = B(x-y, x-y)$   
 $= B(x, x) - 2B(x, y) + B(y, y) = 0$

Then  $Q(x-y) = 0 \implies x-y = 0$

we assumed  
 $Q$  is "anisotropic"



Proof of Theorem :

Suppose  $\varphi(0) = 0$  and

$$Q(\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)) = Q(x - y) \quad \forall x, y \in V.$$

Then also have  $B(\varphi(x), \varphi(y)) = B(x, y) \quad \forall x, y \in V$

since

$$(1) \quad Q(\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)) = Q(\varphi(x)) - 2B(\varphi(x), \varphi(y)) + Q(\varphi(y))$$

$$(2) \quad Q(x - y) = Q(x) - 2B(x, y) + Q(y).$$

Since  $\text{char } F \neq 2$ ,  $(1) - (2) + (\varphi(0) = 0)$  gives

$$B(\varphi(x), \varphi(y)) = B(x, y) \quad \checkmark$$

Now let  $x, y \in V$ ,  $c \in F$ . Want to show

$$(i) \quad \varphi(x + y) = \varphi(x) + \varphi(y) \quad \text{and} \quad (ii) \quad \varphi(cx) = c\varphi(x).$$

Notation: let  $w'$  stand for  $\varphi(w)$ .

$$(i) \quad \text{Let } z = x + y. \text{ Want } z' = x' + y'.$$

By Lemma, enough to show

$$B(z', z') = B(z', x' + y') = B(x' + y', x' + y').$$

}

$$\begin{aligned} \text{i.e. } B(z', z') &= B(z', x') + B(z', y') \\ &= B(x', x') + 2B(x', y') + B(y', y') \end{aligned}$$

But is because  $B(u', w') = B(u, w) \forall u, w$ .  $\checkmark$

(ii) Want to show  $(cx)' = cx'$ .

By lemma, enough to show

$$B((cx)', (cx)') = B(cx', (cx)') = B(cx', cx').$$

But

$$- B((cx)', (cx)') = B(cx, cx) = c^2 B(x, x).$$

$$\begin{aligned} - B(cx', (cx)') &= c B(x', (cx)') \\ &= c B(x, cx) = c^2 B(x, x) \end{aligned}$$

$$- B(cx', cx') = c^2 B(x', x') = c^2 B(x, x).$$



We showed

$$\text{Isom}_0(AV, Q) = O(V, Q)$$

I think that's rather cool!

Now we know

$$\text{Isom}(AV, Q) = O(V, Q) \times V$$

? structure ?

Let  $\mu \in O(V, Q)$  and  $t_\alpha \in V$ . Then  $\forall x \in V$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}(\mu \circ t_\alpha)(x) &= \mu(t_\alpha(x)) \\ &= \mu(x + \alpha) \\ &= \mu(x) + \mu(\alpha) && \mu \text{ is linear!} \\ &= t_{\mu(\alpha)}(\mu(x)) \\ &= (t_{\mu(\alpha)} \circ \mu)(x)\end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\mu \circ t_\alpha \circ \mu^{-1} = t_{\mu(\alpha)}}$$

Finally, consider any  $\varphi \circ t_\beta \in \text{Isom}(AV)$   
and any  $t_\alpha \in V$ .

Conjugating gives

$$\begin{aligned}(\varphi \circ t_\beta) \circ t_\alpha \circ (\varphi \circ t_\beta)^{-1} &= \varphi \circ t_\beta \circ t_\alpha \circ t_\beta^{-1} \circ \varphi^{-1} \\ &= \varphi \circ t_\alpha \circ \varphi^{-1} \\ &= t_{\varphi(\alpha)} \in V.\end{aligned}$$

hence we have

★ Theorem ★ : Given quad. form  $Q: V \rightarrow F$   
with  $Q(x) = 0 \Rightarrow x = 0$  and  $\text{char } F \neq 2$ ,  
we have

$$\text{Isom}(AV, Q) = V \rtimes O(V, Q)$$

↖  
natural action

i.e. "isometry" = (vector, orth. trans.)

with multiplication

$$(\alpha_1, \varphi_1) \circ (\alpha_2, \varphi_2) = t_{\alpha_1} \circ \varphi_1 \circ t_{\alpha_2} \circ \varphi_2$$

$$= t_{\alpha_1} \circ t_{\varphi_1(\alpha_2)} \circ \varphi_1 \circ \varphi_2$$

$$= t_{\alpha_1 + \varphi_1(\alpha_2)} \circ (\varphi_1 \circ \varphi_2)$$

$$= (\alpha_1 + \varphi_1(\alpha_2), \varphi_1 \circ \varphi_2)$$

This can be expressed nicely in  
coordinates as matrix multiplication.

Fix a basis for  $V$  and consider  
 $A \in O(V, \mathcal{Q}) \subseteq \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{F})$  and  $\alpha \in V = \mathbb{F}^n$

Identify  $(\alpha, A) = \left( \begin{array}{c|c} A & \alpha \\ \hline 0 \cdots 0 & 1 \end{array} \right)$

Note that

$$\left( \begin{array}{c|c} A_1 & \alpha_1 \\ \hline 0 \cdots 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c|c} A_2 & \alpha_2 \\ \hline 0 \cdots 0 & 1 \end{array} \right)$$

$$= \left( \begin{array}{c|c} A_1 A_2 & \alpha_1 + A_1 \alpha_2 \\ \hline 0 \cdots 0 & 1 \end{array} \right)$$



Weird idea:

$\text{Isom}(AV, \mathcal{Q})$  acts linearly on  $V \oplus \mathbb{F}$ ,  
preserving the form

$$\left( \begin{array}{c|c} \mathcal{Q} & \begin{matrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{matrix} \\ \hline 0 \cdots 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) \text{ on } V \oplus \mathbb{F}$$

Hmm...

Homework Problem for Later.

Given degenerate  $Q: V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ , split the radical

$$V = V^\perp \oplus W.$$

Q: What is the relation between

$$O(V, Q) \text{ and } O(W, Q). ?$$

Note that  $O(V^\perp, Q)$  is just  $GL(V^\perp)$ .

Prove that

$$O(V, Q) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & M \\ \hline & G \end{pmatrix} \right\} \leq GL(V)$$

where  $A \in O(W, Q)$

$G \in GL(V^\perp)$

$M \in \text{Hom}(V^\perp, W)$

$$\text{Prove: } O(V, Q) = [O(W, Q) \times GL(V^\perp)]$$

$\times \text{Hom}(V^\perp, W)_+$   
(additive group)