

**1. Working with Ring Axioms.** Let  $(R, +, \cdot, 0, 1)$  be a ring.<sup>1</sup> Recall that for any element  $a \in R$  there exists a unique element  $-a \in R$  such that  $a + (-a) = 0$ .

- (a) Show that  $0a = 0$ . [Hint: Multiply both sides of  $0 + 0 = 0$  by  $a$ .]
- (b) Show that  $-(-a) = a$ . [Hint: Uniqueness.]
- (c) Show that  $a(-b) = (-a)b = -(ab)$ . [Hint: Multiply both sides of  $b + (-b) = 0$  by  $a$ .]
- (d) Show that  $(-a)(-b) = ab$ . [Hint: Combine parts (b) and (c).]

(a): From the definition of 0 we have  $0 + 0 = 0$ . Multiplying both sides by  $a$  and using the distributive axiom gives

$$\begin{aligned}0 + 0 &= 0 \\(0 + 0)a &= 0a \\0a + 0a &= 0a.\end{aligned}$$

Then we add the element  $-0a$  to both sides to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}0a + 0a &= 0a \\(0a + 0a) + (-0a) &= 0a + (-0a) \\0a + [0a + (-0a)] &= 0 \\0a + 0 &= 0 \\0a &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

(b): By definition we have  $a + (-a) = 0$  and rearranging gives  $(-a) + a = 0$ . But we know that there exists a unique element  $b \in R$  such that  $(-a) + b = 0$  and this element is called  $-(-a)$ . Since  $a$  is one such element then by uniqueness we must have  $-(-a) = a$ .<sup>2</sup>

(c): We multiply both sides of the equation  $b + (-b) = 0$  by  $a$  to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}b + (-b) &= 0 \\a[b + (-b)] &= 0a \\ab + a(-b) &= 0. && 0a = 0 \text{ from part (a)}\end{aligned}$$

Then from the uniqueness of additive inverses it follows that  $a(-b) = -(ab)$ . The identity  $(-a)b = -(ab)$  follows by reversing the roles of  $a$  and  $b$ .

(d): By combining parts (b) and (c) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}(-a)(-b) &= -[a(-b)] && \text{part (c)} \\&= -[-(ab)] && \text{part (c)} \\&= ab. && \text{part (b)}\end{aligned}$$

[Remark: We could have taken these basic properties as axioms, but we didn't because it's not necessary. There is a general principle when it comes to axioms that we should use the minimum possible. I was very careful in this proof because this is the first homework problem of the course. As we go along I will not attempt to reduce every proof to the axioms.]

<sup>1</sup>We always assume that a ring has commutative multiplication.

<sup>2</sup>Full Details:  $-(-a) = -(-a) + 0 = -(-a) + [(-a) + a] = [-(-a) + (-a)] + a = 0 + a = a$ .

**2. Complex Conjugation.** Given a complex number  $\alpha = a + bi \in \mathbb{C}$  we define the complex conjugate by  $\alpha^* = a - bi$ .

- (a) For all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  show that  $\alpha^* = \alpha$  if and only if  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- (b) For all  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$  show that  $(\alpha + \beta)^* = \alpha^* + \beta^*$  and  $(\alpha\beta)^* = \alpha^*\beta^*$ .
- (c) If  $f(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x]$  is a polynomial with real coefficients, show that the non-real complex roots of  $f$  come in conjugate pairs. [Hint: For all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  show that  $f(\alpha)^* = f(\alpha^*)$ .]
- (d) For any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ , show that the polynomial  $(x - \alpha)(x - \alpha^*)$  has real coefficients.

(a): In class we showed that  $a + bi = c + di$  implies that  $a = c$  and  $b = d$ .<sup>3</sup> If  $\alpha = a + bi$  and  $\alpha = \alpha^*$  then we have  $a + bi = a - bi$ , which implies that  $a = a$  and  $b = -b$ . The first of these equations tells us nothing; the second equation tells us that  $2b = 0$  and hence  $b = 0$ . It follows that  $\alpha = a + 0i$  is real. Conversely, if  $\alpha = a + 0i$  is real then  $\alpha^* = a - 0i = a + 0i = \alpha$ .

(b): Let  $\alpha = a + bi$  and  $\beta = c + di$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}(\alpha + \beta)^* &= [(a + bi) + (c + di)]^* \\ &= [(a + c) + (b + d)i]^* \\ &= (a + c) - (b + d)i \\ &= (a - bi) + (c - di) \\ &= \alpha^* + \beta^*\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha^*\beta^* &= (a - bi)(c - di) \\ &= (ac - bd) - (ad + bc)i \\ &= [(ac - bd) + (ad + bc)i]^* \\ &= [(a + bi)(c + di)]^* \\ &= (\alpha\beta)^*.\end{aligned}$$

(c): Consider a polynomial  $f(x) = a_nx^n + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \dots + a_1x + a_0$  with real coefficients  $a_0, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{R}$ . For any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  we want to show that  $f(\alpha) = 0$  if and only if  $f(\alpha^*) = 0$ . In order to show this we first observe that for any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  we have<sup>4</sup>

$$\begin{aligned}f(\alpha)^* &= (a_n\alpha^n + a_{n-1}\alpha^{n-1} + \dots + a_1\alpha + a_0)^* \\ &= a_n^*(\alpha^*)^n + a_{n-1}^*(\alpha^*)^{n-1} + \dots + a_1^*\alpha^* + a_0^* && \text{part (b)} \\ &= a_n(\alpha^*)^n + a_{n-1}(\alpha^*)^{n-1} + \dots + a_1\alpha^* + a_0 && \text{part (a)} \\ &= f(\alpha^*).\end{aligned}$$

If  $f(\alpha) = 0$  then this implies that  $f(\alpha^*) = f(\alpha)^* = 0^* = 0$  and if  $f(\alpha^*) = 0$  then this implies that  $f(\alpha) = [f(\alpha^*)]^* = f(\alpha^*)^* = 0^* = 0$ .

(d): This problem was not assigned. I added it in the solutions because it is relevant for Problem 7. First we observe that

$$(x - \alpha)(x - \alpha^*) = x^2 - (\alpha + \alpha^*)x + \alpha\alpha^*.$$

<sup>3</sup>Proof: If  $b \neq d$  then  $i = (c - a)/(b - d)$  is real, which is a contradiction.

<sup>4</sup>Strictly speaking, we should use induction on top of part (b) to see that  $(\alpha^n)^* = (\alpha^*)^n$ .

There are two ways to show that these coefficients are real. *Direct Proof:* If  $\alpha = a + bi$  then we have

$$\alpha + \alpha^* = (a + bi) + (a - bi) = 2a + 0i \in \mathbb{R}$$

and

$$\alpha\alpha^* = (a + bi)(a - bi) = (a^2 + b^2) + 0i \in \mathbb{R}.$$

*Elegant Proof:* From part (b) we have

$$(\alpha + \alpha^*)^* = \alpha^*\alpha^{**} = \alpha^* + \alpha = \alpha + \alpha^*$$

and

$$(\alpha\alpha^*)^* = \alpha^*\alpha^{**} = \alpha^*\alpha = \alpha\alpha^*,$$

hence from part (a) we have  $\alpha + \alpha^* \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\alpha\alpha^* \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**3. Absolute Value of Complex Numbers.** Given a complex number  $\alpha = a + bi \in \mathbb{C}$  we define the absolute value by  $|\alpha| = +\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}$ .

- (a) Show that  $\alpha = 0$  if and only if  $|\alpha| = 0$ . [Hint: For all  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  we have  $a^2 \geq 0$ .]
- (b) Show that  $\alpha\alpha^* = |\alpha|^2$ .
- (c) For all  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$  show that  $|\alpha\beta| = |\alpha||\beta|$ . [Hint: Part (b) gives a shortcut.]
- (d) For all  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$  show that  $\alpha\beta = 0$  implies  $\alpha = 0$  or  $\beta = 0$ . [Hint: Use parts (a,c).]

(a): If  $\alpha = 0 + 0i$  then  $|\alpha|^2 = 0^2 + 0^2 = 0$  and hence  $|\alpha| = 0$ . Conversely, let  $\alpha = a + bi$ . If  $|\alpha| = 0$  then  $0 = |\alpha|^2 = a^2 + b^2$ , which implies that  $a^2 = -b^2$ . If  $a \neq 0$  then since  $a, b$  are real this shows that a strictly positive number  $a^2$  is equal to a non-negative number  $-b^2$ , which is a contradiction. It follows that  $a = 0$  hence also  $b^2 = -a^2 = -0^2 = 0$  and  $b = 0$ . We conclude that  $\alpha = 0 + 0i$  as desired.

(b): If  $\alpha = a + bi$  then we have

$$\alpha\alpha^* = (a + bi)(a - bi) = (a^2 + b^2) + 0i = |\alpha|^2.$$

(c): For all  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ , part (b) and 2(b) imply that

$$|\alpha\beta|^2 = (\alpha\beta)(\alpha\beta)^* = \alpha\beta\alpha^*\beta^* = (\alpha\alpha^*)(\beta\beta^*) = |\alpha|^2|\beta|^2.$$

Then taking positive real square roots gives  $|\alpha\beta| = |\alpha||\beta|$ .

(d): The hint that I gave for this problem is a bit silly because we already know from class that  $\mathbb{C}$  is a field. *Here is the proof using the hint:* Suppose that  $\alpha\beta = 0$  so from part (c) we have that  $|\alpha||\beta| = |\alpha\beta| = 0$ . Since  $|\alpha|$  and  $|\beta|$  are real numbers this implies that  $|\alpha| = 0$  or  $|\beta| = 0$ , which from part (a) shows that  $\alpha = 0$  or  $\beta = 0$ . *And here is the proof using the fact that  $\mathbb{C}$  is a field:* Suppose that  $\alpha\beta = 0$ . If  $\beta \neq 0$  then there exists  $\beta^{-1} \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\beta\beta^{-1} = 1$ , and it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha\beta &= 0 \\ \alpha\beta\beta^{-1} &= 0\beta \\ \alpha &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

If  $\alpha \neq 0$  then a similar argument shows that  $\beta = 0$ . Hence we must have  $\alpha = 0$  or  $\beta = 0$ .<sup>5</sup>

**4. Descartes' Factor Theorem.** Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be a field and let  $\mathbb{F}[x]$  be the ring of polynomials

$$\mathbb{F}[x] = \{a_0 + a_1x + \cdots + a_nx^n : a_0, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{F}, n \geq 0\}.$$

---

<sup>5</sup>The key observation I want to make is that this property is not obvious from the definition of  $\mathbb{C}$ . It relies on properties of complex conjugation and absolute value.

If  $f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \cdots + a_nx^n$  with  $a_n \neq 0$  then we write  $\deg(f) = n$ . The zero polynomial does not have a degree.

- (a) Show that  $\deg(fg) = \deg(f) + \deg(g)$  for all nonzero polynomials  $f(x), g(x) \in \mathbb{F}[x]$ .
- (b) Suppose that a nonzero polynomial  $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}[x]$  satisfies  $f(\alpha) = 0$  for some  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$ . In this case prove that we have  $f(x) = (x - \alpha)g(x)$  for some polynomial  $g(x)$  with  $\deg(g) = \deg(f) - 1$ . [Hint: By long division there exist polynomials  $q(x), r(x) \in \mathbb{F}[x]$  with  $f(x) = (x - \alpha)q(x) + r(x)$ , such that  $r(x)$  is a constant.]
- (c) Use part (b) to prove that a polynomial  $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}[x]$  of degree  $n$  has **at most  $n$  distinct roots in  $\mathbb{F}$** . [Hint: If  $f(\alpha) = 0$  then  $f(x) = (x - \alpha)g(x)$  for some polynomial of degree  $n - 1$ . What happens if  $f(\beta) = 0$  for some  $\beta \neq \alpha$ ? Use induction.]

(a): Suppose that  $\deg(f) = m$  and  $\deg(g) = n$  so that

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= a_mx^m + \cdots + a_1x + a_0, \\ g(x) &= b_nx^n + \cdots + b_1x + b_0, \end{aligned}$$

for some coefficients  $a_0, \dots, a_m, b_0, \dots, b_n \in \mathbb{F}$  with  $a_m \neq 0$  and  $b_n \neq 0$ . By definition of polynomial multiplication we have<sup>6</sup>

$$f(x)g(x) = a_mb_nx^{m+n} + \text{lower degree terms.}$$

Then since  $a_m \neq 0$  and  $b_n \neq 0$  we have  $a_mb_n \neq 0$  which implies that

$$\deg(fg) = m + n = \deg(f) + \deg(g).$$

(b): Consider a polynomial  $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}[x]$  and a constant  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$ . If  $f(x) = (x - \alpha)g(x)$  for some polynomial  $g(x) \in \mathbb{F}[x]$  then we must have

$$f(\alpha) = (\alpha - \alpha)g(\alpha) = 0g(\alpha) = 0.$$

Conversely, let us suppose that  $f(\alpha) = 0$ . First we apply long division to obtain a quotient and remainder  $q(x), r(x) \in \mathbb{F}[x]$  such that  $f(x) = (x - \alpha)q(x) + r(x)$ , where either  $r(x) = 0$  or  $r(x)$  has degree strictly less than  $(x - \alpha)$ . Since  $x - \alpha$  has degree 1 this implies that either  $r(x) = 0$  or  $r(x)$  has degree zero, i.e., is a non-zero constant. In either case we have  $r(x) = c$  for some  $c \in \mathbb{F}$ . Now we substitute  $x = \alpha$  to obtain

$$0 = f(\alpha) = (\alpha - \alpha)q(\alpha) + c = c,$$

so that  $f(x) = (x - \alpha)q(x)$  as desired. The fact that  $\deg(q) = \deg(f) - 1$  follows from (a).

(c): **Theorem:** Any polynomial  $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}[x]$  of degree  $n \geq 0$  has at most  $n$  distinct roots in  $\mathbb{F}$ . **Proof by Induction:** If  $n = 0$  then  $f(x) = c$  for some nonzero constant  $c \in \mathbb{F}$ , which implies that  $f(x)$  has no roots, as desired. So let us suppose that  $n \geq 1$  and assume for induction that every polynomial of degree  $n - 1$  has at most  $n - 1$  roots in  $\mathbb{F}$ . If  $f(x)$  has no roots then we are done. Otherwise, we may suppose that  $f(\alpha) = 0$  for some  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$ , so from part (b) we have  $f(x) = (x - \alpha)g(x)$  for some  $g(x) \in \mathbb{F}[x]$  of degree  $n - 1$ . If  $\beta \in \mathbb{F}$  is **any other root** of  $f(x)$  (i.e., if  $f(\beta) = 0$  and  $\beta \neq \alpha$ ) then substituting gives

$$\begin{aligned} f(\beta) &= (\beta - \alpha)g(\beta) \\ 0 &= \cancel{(\beta - \alpha)}g(\beta) \\ 0 &= g(\beta), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $\beta$  is a root of  $g(x)$ . But  $g(x)$  has at most  $n - 1$  roots in  $\mathbb{F}$ . Therefore  $f(x)$  has at most  $1 + (n - 1) = n$  roots in  $\mathbb{F}$  □

<sup>6</sup>It is possible to be more precise about this but I don't want to.

[Remark: This theorem goes back to Descartes' *Geometry* (1631) and is one of the most fundamental results in algebra. I'm sure you've seen it before but you may not have seen a proof.]

**5. Leibniz' Mistake.** In 1702 Gottfried Leibniz claimed that the polynomial  $x^4 + 1$  cannot be factored as a product of smaller polynomials with real coefficients.

- (a) Use the polar form to find all of the complex 4th roots of  $-1$ .
- (b) Use this to factor the polynomial  $x^4 + 1$  and show that Leibniz was wrong. [Hint: Group the four roots into complex conjugate pairs.]

[Remark: It follows from Problem 4 that a complex number can have **at most four 4th roots** in  $\mathbb{C}$ . If we can find four distinct complex 4th roots then we will have all of them.]

(a): First note that  $\alpha := -1 = re^{i\theta}$  with  $r = 1 > 0$  and  $\theta = \pi$ . Note that 1 is the unique positive 4th root of 1. Thus the "principal" 4th root of  $\alpha$  is  $\alpha' := 1e^{i\theta/4} = e^{i\pi/4}$ . If  $\omega = e^{2\pi i/4} = e^{\pi i/2}$  then I claim that the 4th roots of  $-1$  are<sup>7</sup>

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha' &= e^{\pi i/4} = \cos(\pi/4) + i \sin(\pi/4) = (1 + i)/\sqrt{2}, \\ \alpha'\omega &= e^{3\pi i/4} = \cos(3\pi/4) + i \sin(3\pi/4) = (-1 + i)/\sqrt{2}, \\ \alpha'\omega^2 &= e^{5\pi i/4} = \cos(5\pi/4) + i \sin(5\pi/4) = (-1 - i)/\sqrt{2}, \\ \alpha'\omega^3 &= e^{7\pi i/4} = \cos(7\pi/4) + i \sin(7\pi/4) = (1 - i)/\sqrt{2}.\end{aligned}$$

Indeed, since  $(\alpha')^n = \alpha$  and  $\omega^4 = e^{2\pi i} = 1$  we have

$$(\alpha'\omega^k)^n = (\alpha')^n(\omega^n)^k = \alpha \cdot 1^k = \alpha \quad \text{for any integer } k.$$

We have four distinct 4roots of  $-1$ , and hence all of them.

(b): From Descartes' Theorem we may use these fourth roots to factor the polynomial over the complex numbers:

$$x^4 + 1 = \left(x - \frac{1+i}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \left(x - \frac{-1+i}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \left(x - \frac{-1-i}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \left(x - \frac{1-i}{\sqrt{2}}\right).$$

We observe that these roots come in complex-conjugate pairs, as predicted by Problem 2(c). By grouping these pairs and expanding, we obtain a factorization of  $x^4 + 1$  over the **real numbers**.<sup>8</sup>

$$\begin{aligned}x^4 + 1 &= \left[\left(x - \frac{1+i}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \left(x - \frac{1-i}{\sqrt{2}}\right)\right] \left[\left(x - \frac{-1+i}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \left(x - \frac{-1-i}{\sqrt{2}}\right)\right] \\ &= (x^2 - \sqrt{2}x + 1)(x^2 + \sqrt{2}x + 1).\end{aligned}$$

[Remark: Leibniz (1702) did not find this factorization because he did not have a geometric understanding of the complex numbers.]

<sup>7</sup>Geometrically, these four points in the complex plane form a square centered at the origin.

<sup>8</sup>For any complex number  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  we observe that the polynomial  $(x - \alpha)(x - \alpha^*) = x^2 - (\alpha + \alpha^*)x + \alpha\alpha^*$  has real coefficients because  $\alpha + \alpha^*$  and  $\alpha\alpha^*$  are real.