## ON q-DERANGEMENT NUMBERS

## MICHELLE L. WACHS

(Communicated by Thomas Brylawski)

ABSTRACT. We derive a q-analogue of the classical formula for the number of derangements of an n element set. Our derivation is entirely analogous to the classical derivation, but relies on a descent set preserving bijection between the set of permutations with a given derangement part and the set of shuffles of two permutations.

A classical application of binomial inversion (more generally the principle of inclusion-exclusion) is the derivation of the formula for the number of derangements (permutations with no fixed points) of an n element set:

$$d_n = n! \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!}.$$

This is obtained by counting permutations according to their number of fixed points and then inverting the resulting equation.

In this note we shall derive a formula of I. Gessel [G] for q-counting derangements by the major index statistic in a way entirely analogous to the classical q=1 case. That is, we shall q-count permutations with k fixed points and then use Gauss inversion (q-binomial inversion or more generally Möbius inversion on the lattice of subspaces of a vector space) to derive the following formula for q-derangement numbers:

$$d_n(q) = [n]! \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{(-1)^k}{[k]!} q^{\binom{k}{2}}.$$

A key step in our derivation and an interesting result in its own right is a descent-preserving bijection between the set of permutations with a given derangement part and the set of shuffles of two permutations. This bijection enables us to use a formula of A. Garsia and I. Gessel for q-counting shuffles.

Gessel [G] obtained the formula for q-derangement numbers as a corollary of an Eulerian generating function formula for counting permutations by descents, major index, and cycle structure, which is proved via a correspondence

Research partially supported by NSF grant DMS:8503700.

Received by the editors February 6, 1988.

<sup>1980</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification (1985 Revision). Primary 05A30; Secondary 05A19, 05A15, 05A05.

between partitions and permutations. q-Derangement numbers have also been interpreted combinatorially on sets of permutations bijectively associated with derangements by A. Garsia and J. Remmel [GR] using the inversion index statistic and by J. Désarménien [D<sub>2</sub>] (see [D<sub>1</sub>] and [DW]) using the major index and inversion index statistics.

We shall briefly review some permutation statistic notation and terminology. For each integer  $n \ge 1$ , let [n] denote the polynomial  $1 + q + q^2 + \cdots + q^{n-1}$  and let [n]! denote the polynomial  $[n][n-1]\cdots[1]$ . Also [0]! is taken to be 1. The *q-binomial coefficients* are given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} = \frac{[n]!}{[k]![n-k]!}$$

for  $0 \le k \le n$ .

For any positive integer n, let  $\langle n \rangle$  denote the set  $\{1,2,\ldots,n\}$ . We shall think of permutations in the symmetric group  $\mathcal{S}_n$  as words with n distinct letters in  $\langle n \rangle$ . More generally, for a set A of n positive integers,  $\mathcal{S}_A$  denotes the set of permutations of A or words with n distinct letters in A. The descent set of a permutation  $\sigma = \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_n$  is  $\operatorname{des}(\sigma) = \{i \in \langle n-1 \rangle | \sigma_i > \sigma_{i+1}\}$ . The major index of  $\sigma$  is  $\operatorname{maj}(\sigma) = \sum_{i \in \operatorname{des}(\sigma)} i$ . Let us recall MacMahon's [M] formula for  $\operatorname{maj-}q$ -counting permutations in  $\mathcal{S}_n$ :

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathscr{S}_n} q^{\operatorname{maj}(\sigma)} = [n]!.$$

A letter  $i \in A$  is said to be a fixed point of  $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_A$  if  $\sigma(i) = i$ . A permutation with no fixed points is called a *derangement*. Let  $D_n$  denote the set of all derangements in  $\mathcal{S}_n$ . The *q-derangement* numbers are defined by

$$d_n(q) = \sum_{\sigma \in D_n} q^{\operatorname{maj}(\sigma)}.$$

It will be convenient to view the empty word  $\Lambda$  as a derangement and to define  $D_0$  to be the set  $\{\Lambda\}$ . We also let  $\operatorname{maj}(\Lambda)=0$  and  $d_0(q)=1$ .

For any permutation  $\alpha \in \mathscr{S}_A$ , where  $A = \{a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_k\}$ , define the reduction of  $\alpha$  to be the permutation in  $\mathscr{S}_k$  obtained from  $\alpha$  by replacing each letter  $a_i$  by i,  $i=1,2,\ldots,k$ . The derangement part of a permutation  $\sigma \in \mathscr{S}_n$ , denoted  $dp(\sigma)$ , is the reduction of the subword of nonfixed points of  $\sigma$ . For example, dp(5,3,1,4,7,6,2) = reduction of 5,3,1,7,2=4,3,1,5,2. We shall use the convention that the derangement part of the identity permutation is the empty word  $\Lambda$ . Note that the derangement part of a permutation is a derangement, and that conversely, any derangement in  $D_k$  and k element subset of  $\langle n \rangle$  determines a permutation in  $\mathscr{S}_n$  with n-k fixed points. Hence, the number of permutations in  $\mathscr{S}_n$  with a given derangement part in  $D_k$  is  $\binom{n}{k}$ . Our goal is to q-count permutations with a given derangement part.

Let  $\alpha \in D_k$ . There is an obvious bijection between the set  $\{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n | dp(\sigma) = \alpha\}$  and the set  $Sh(\alpha, \beta)$  of all shuffles of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta = k+1, k+2, \ldots, n$ ,

i.e. permutations in  $\mathcal{S}_n$  which contain  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  as complementary subwords. Indeed, for each permutation  $\sigma$  in the former set, replace the subword of nonfixed points of  $\sigma$  by  $\alpha$  and the complementary subword of fixed points by  $\beta$ . A very useful result of Garsia and Gessel [GG, Theorem 3.1] allows us to q-count the latter set. Unfortunately, since the above-mentioned bijection does not preserve the major index, it does not help us in q-counting the former set. However, we shall show that there is another bijection between these two sets of permutations which, in fact, preserves descent sets.

Define a letter  $\sigma_i$  of  $\sigma = \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_n \in \mathcal{S}_n$  to be an excedant of  $\sigma$  if  $\sigma_i > i$  and a subcedant of  $\sigma$  if  $\sigma_i < i$ . Let  $s(\sigma)$  and  $e(\sigma)$  be the number of subcedants and excedants, respectively, of  $\sigma$ . We now fix n and let  $k \leq n$ . For  $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_k$ , let  $\tilde{\sigma}$  be the permutation of k letters obtained from  $\sigma$  by replacing its ith smallest subcedant by i,  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, s(\sigma)$ , its ith smallest fixed point by  $s(\sigma) + i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k - s(\sigma) - e(\sigma)$ , and its ith largest excedant by n - i + 1,  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, e(\sigma)$ . Note that  $\tilde{\sigma}$  depends on n as well as  $\sigma$ . For example, if  $\sigma = \overline{326541}$  (with subcedants underlined and excedants overlined) and n = 8 then  $\tilde{\sigma} = 638721$ . If k = n then  $\tilde{\sigma} \in \mathcal{S}_n$ . If  $\sigma$  is a derangement then  $\tilde{\sigma} \in \mathcal{S}_A$ , where  $A = \{1, 2, \ldots, s(\sigma)\} \cup \{n - e(\sigma) + 1, n - e(\sigma) + 2, \ldots, n\}$ .

**Lemma 1.** Let  $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_k$ ,  $k \leq n$ . Then  $des(\sigma) = des(\tilde{\sigma})$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $\sigma = \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_k$  and  $\tilde{\sigma} = \tilde{\sigma}_1, \tilde{\sigma}_2, \ldots, \tilde{\sigma}_k$ . For each  $i \in \langle k-1 \rangle$ , we shall show  $i \in \operatorname{des}(\sigma)$  if and only if  $i \in \operatorname{des}(\tilde{\sigma})$ , by considering the nine possible designations of subcedant (s), excedant (e), and fixed point (f) to  $\sigma_i$  and  $\sigma_{i+1}$ . First note that if  $\sigma_i$  is a subcedant of  $\sigma$  then  $\tilde{\sigma}_i \leq \sigma_i$  and if  $\sigma_i$  is an excedant of  $\sigma$  then  $\tilde{\sigma}_i \geq \sigma_i$ .

Cases 1-3. Suppose  $(\sigma_i, \sigma_{i+1})$  is an (s, s), (e, e), or (f, f) pair. It is then clear that  $\sigma_i < \sigma_{i+1}$  if and only if  $\tilde{\sigma}_i < \tilde{\sigma}_{i+1}$ .

Case 4. Suppose  $(\sigma_i, \sigma_{i+1})$  is a (s, e) pair. Then we have

$$\tilde{\sigma}_i \leq \sigma_i < i < i+1 < \sigma_{i+1} < \tilde{\sigma}_{i+1} \,,$$

which shows that  $i \notin des(\sigma)$  and  $i \notin des(\tilde{\sigma})$ .

Case 5. Suppose  $(\sigma_i, \sigma_{i+1})$  is a (s, f) pair. Now we have

$$\sigma_i < i < i + 1 = \sigma_{i+1}$$
 and  $\tilde{\sigma}_i \le s(\sigma) < \tilde{\sigma}_{i+1}$ ,

which shows that  $i \notin des(\sigma)$  and  $i \notin des(\tilde{\sigma})$ .

Case 6. Suppose  $(\sigma_i, \sigma_{i+1})$  is a (f, s) pair. Then since  $\sigma_{i+1} < i+1$  and  $\sigma_i = i$ , we have

$$\sigma_{i+1} < \sigma_i \quad \text{ and } \quad \tilde{\sigma}_{i+1} \le s(\sigma) < \tilde{\sigma}_i \,.$$

This shows that  $i \in des(\sigma)$  and  $i \in des(\tilde{\sigma})$ .

Cases 7-9. The remaining three cases are that  $(\sigma_i, \sigma_{i+1})$  is a (f, e), (e, s), or (e, f) pair. These cases are handled similarly to the previous three cases and are left to the reader.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 2.** Let  $\alpha \in D_k$ ,  $k \leq n$ , and  $\gamma = s(\alpha) + 1$ ,  $s(\alpha) + 2$ , ...,  $n - e(\alpha)$ . Then the map  $\varphi \colon \{\sigma \in \mathscr{S}_n | dp(\sigma) = \alpha\} \to \operatorname{Sh}(\tilde{\alpha}, \gamma)$  defined by  $\varphi(\sigma) = \tilde{\sigma}$  is a bijection which preserves descent sets, i.e.  $\operatorname{des}(\sigma) = \operatorname{des}(\varphi(\sigma))$ . Consequently, for all  $J \subseteq \langle n-1 \rangle$ ,

$$|\{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n | dp(\sigma) = \alpha, \operatorname{des}(\sigma) = J\}| = |\{\sigma \in \operatorname{Sh}(\tilde{\alpha}, \gamma) | \operatorname{des}(\sigma) = J\}|.$$

**Proof.** In view of Lemma 1, we need only show that  $\varphi$  is an invertible map whose image is  $\operatorname{Sh}(\tilde{\alpha},\gamma)$ . First, we claim that if  $dp(\sigma)=\alpha$  then  $\tilde{\sigma}$  is obtained from  $\sigma$  by replacing the subword of nonfixed points of  $\sigma$  by  $\tilde{\alpha}$  and the subword of fixed points of  $\sigma$  by  $\gamma$ . Indeed, the subword of fixed points of  $\sigma$  is replaced by the word  $s(\sigma)+1$ ,  $s(\sigma)+2$ , ...,  $n-e(\sigma)$ , which is precisely  $\gamma$  since  $s(\sigma)=s(\alpha)$  and  $e(\sigma)=e(\alpha)$ . Also since  $\alpha$  is the reduction of the subword of nonfixed points of  $\sigma$ , the position of the *i*th smallest subcedant of  $\alpha$  is the same as the position of the *i*th smallest subcedant of  $\sigma$  in the subword of nonfixed points. The same is true for the *i*th smallest excedant. Hence each subcedant and excedant of  $\sigma$  is replaced by the same letter that replaces the corresponding subcedant or excedant of  $\alpha$ . This means that the subword of subcedants and excedants of  $\sigma$  is replaced by  $\tilde{\alpha}$ . We may now conclude that  $\tilde{\sigma} \in \operatorname{Sh}(\tilde{\alpha},\gamma)$ .

The above description of  $\tilde{\sigma}$  as a shuffle of  $\tilde{\alpha}$  and  $\gamma$  also implies that  $\varphi$  is invertible. Indeed, if we replace the  $\tilde{\alpha}$  subword of any  $\tau \in \operatorname{Sh}(\tilde{\alpha}, \gamma)$  by the permutation, of the subword positions, whose reduction is  $\alpha$ , and the letters of the  $\gamma$  subword by their positions, we obtain a unique permutation  $\sigma \in \mathscr{S}_n$  such that  $dp(\sigma) = \alpha$  and  $\varphi(\sigma) = \tau$ .  $\square$ 

Remark. Although a descent set preserving bijection between  $\{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n | dp(\sigma) = \alpha\}$  and  $\mathrm{Sh}(\alpha,\beta)$ , where  $\beta=k+1,k+2,\ldots,n$ , will not be needed in the sequel, we should point out here that one can be constructed by composing the bijection  $\varphi$  with a bijection between  $\mathrm{Sh}(\alpha,\beta)$  and  $\mathrm{Sh}(\tilde{\alpha},\gamma)$  described in [BW, Proof of Proposition 4.1].

Corollary 3. Let  $\alpha \in D_k$  and  $k \le n$ . Then

and 
$$k \leq n$$
. Then
$$\sum_{\substack{dp(\sigma) = \alpha \\ \sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n}} q^{\text{maj}(\sigma)} = q^{\text{maj}(\alpha)} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}.$$

*Proof.* Since maj( $\sigma$ ) depends only on des( $\sigma$ ), it follows from Theorem 2 that

$$\sum_{dp(\sigma)=\alpha} q^{\operatorname{maj}(\sigma)} = \sum_{\sigma \in \operatorname{Sh}(\tilde{\alpha}, \gamma)} q^{\operatorname{maj}(\sigma)}$$
$$= q^{\operatorname{maj}(\tilde{\alpha})} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix},$$

with the last step following from Garsia-Gessel [GG, Theorem 3.1]. (For a bijective alternative proof and generalization of the Garsia-Gessel result, see [BW].) By Lemma 1,  $maj(\tilde{\alpha}) = maj(\alpha)$ , which completes the proof.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 4.** For all  $n \ge 0$ ,

$$d_n(q) = [n]! \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{(-1)^k}{[k]!} q^{\binom{k}{2}}.$$

*Proof.* By maj-q-counting the permutations in  $\mathcal{S}_n$  according to derangement part and applying Corollary 3, we obtain

$$[n]! = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n} q^{\operatorname{maj}(\sigma)}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{\alpha \in D_k} \sum_{dp(\sigma) = \alpha} q^{\operatorname{maj}(\sigma)}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{\alpha \in D_k} q^{\operatorname{maj}(\alpha)} {n \choose k}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^n {n \choose k} d_k(q).$$

Gauss inversion [A, p. 96] on the resulting equation yields,

$$d_{n}(q) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} {n \brack k} (-1)^{n-k} q^{\binom{n-k}{2}} [k]!$$
$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{[n]!}{[n-k]!} (-1)^{n-k} q^{\binom{n-k}{2}},$$

which is equivalent to the desired formula.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I am grateful to Adriano Garsia for the stimulating conversations which lead me to consider q-derangement numbers.

## REFERENCES

- [A] M. Aigner, Combinatorial theory, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1979.
- [BW] A. Björner and M. Wachs, q-Hook length formulas for forests, J. Combin. Th. Ser A (to appear).
- [D<sub>1</sub>] J. Désarménien, Une autre interprétation du nombre de dérangements, Actes 8<sup>e</sup> Séminaire Lotharingien de Combinatoire, I.R.M.A. Strasbourg, 1984, pp. 11-16.
- [D<sub>2</sub>] J. Désarménien, personal communication.
- [G] I. Gessel, Counting permutations by descents, greater index, and cycle structure, unpublished work.
- [GG] A. M. Garsia and I. Gessel, Permutation statistics and partitions, Adv. in Math. 31 (1979), 288-305.
- [GR] A. M. Garsia and J. Remmel, A combinatorial interpretation of q-derangement and q-Laguerre numbers, European J. Combin. 1 (1980), 47-59.

- [M] P. A. MacMahon, The indices of permutations and the derivation therefrom of functions of a single variable associated with permutations of any assemblage of objects, Amer. J. Math. 35 (1913), 281-322; reprinted in Percy Alexander MacMahon: Collected papers, vol. 1 (G. E. Andrews, ed.), M.I.T. Press, Cambridge MA., 1978, pp. 508-549.
- [DW] J. Désarménien and M. Wachs, *Descentes des dérangements et mots circulaires*, Actes 19 Séminaire Lotharinjien de Combinatoire, I.R.M.A. Strasbourg, 1988, 13-21.

Department of Mathematics, University of Miami, Coral Gables, Florida 33124

Current address: Department of Mathematics, 201 Walker Hall, University of Florida, Gainsville, Florida 32611