#### SYMMETRIC GROUP TILINGS

# The Waldspurger and Mienrenken Decompositions for Type A

#### James McKeown

October 2016

University of Miami

- · Background, Waldspurger and Meinrenken Theorems
- · Geometry  $\Rightarrow$  Permutations
- $\cdot$  Permutations  $\Rightarrow$  Alternating Sign Matrices
- · Alternating Sign Matrices  $\Rightarrow$  Geometry

An element  $g \in O(n)$  is a <u>reflection</u> if it sends some nonzero vector  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^n$  to its negative and fixes the hyperplane orthogonal to  $\alpha$  pointwise.

An element  $g \in O(n)$  is a <u>reflection</u> if it sends some nonzero vector  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^n$  to its negative and fixes the hyperplane orthogonal to  $\alpha$  pointwise.

Let  $G \subset O(n)$  be a finite group generated by reflections.







Theorem (Coxeter): Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an arrangement in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  of reflecting hyperplanes for the reflection group G. Then  $G \sim (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \cup_{H \in \mathcal{A}} H)$  freely and transitively on the chambers.



Figure:  $\mathfrak{S}_3 \sim \mathbb{R}^2 = \mathbb{R}^3/(1, 1, 1)$ 

Theorem (Coxeter): Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an arrangement in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  of reflecting hyperplanes for the reflection group G. Then  $G \sim (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \cup_{H \in \mathcal{A}} H)$  freely and transitively on the chambers.

We pick one such chamber and call it the "weight cone" denoted  $C_W$ . The cone dual to  $C_W$  we call the "root cone" and denote  $C_R$ .

#### A FIRST EXAMPLE- WEIGHTS AND ROOTS



Fact (Coxeter):  $C_w$  is a simplicial cone.

Let  $w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_n$  be vectors generating the rays of  $C_W$  [Jargon: called the "fundamental weights"] Then the dual cone is defined as

$$C_R := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : (x, y) \le 0 \,\forall y \in C_W\}$$

Let  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n$  be vectors which generate rays of  $C_R$  [Jargon: called the "simple roots"]

## The first example $\overline{A_2}$ ( $\mathfrak{S}_3$ )



 $3W_1 = -2\alpha_2 - 1\alpha_1$  $3W_2 = -1\alpha_2 - 2\alpha_1$   $-\alpha_2 = -1W_2 + 2W_1$ 

In type A, it is conventional to let  $\alpha_i = e_i - e_{i+1}$  so  $(\alpha_i, \alpha_i) = 2 \forall i$ 

In type A, it is conventional to let  $\alpha_i = e_i - e_{i+1}$  so  $(\alpha_i, \alpha_i) = 2 \forall i$ 

Lengths of weights are then normalized so that

$$-\begin{pmatrix} | & | & | & | \\ | & | & | & | \\ \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \dots & \alpha_n \\ | & | & | & | \\ | & | & | & | \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} | & | & | & | \\ | & | & | & | \\ W_1 & W_2 & \dots & W_n \\ | & | & | & | \\ | & | & | & | \end{pmatrix}^{-1}$$

In type A, it is conventional to let  $\alpha_i = e_i - e_{i+1}$  so  $(\alpha_i, \alpha_i) = 2 \forall i$ 

Lengths of weights are then normalized so that

$$-\begin{pmatrix} | & | & | & | \\ | & | & | & | \\ \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \dots & \alpha_n \\ | & | & | & | \\ | & | & | & | \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} | & | & | & | \\ | & | & | & | \\ W_1 & W_2 & \dots & W_n \\ | & | & | & | \\ | & | & | & | \end{pmatrix}^{-1}$$

The matrix of  $\alpha$ 's is called the <u>Cartan Matrix</u>. It gives the coordinates of the simple roots in the basis of fundamental weights.

Consider the reflection representation of the symmetric group

$$\phi:\mathfrak{S}_n\longrightarrow GL_{n-1}(\mathbb{R})$$

Let *D* be the matrix with columns the fundamental weights in basis of the simple roots (i.e. the  $n - 1 \times n - 1$  inverse of the Cartan matrix).

 $\mathsf{W}(g) \coloneqq [\phi(1) - \phi(g)]D$ 

expressed in the coordinates of simple roots we will call the Waldspurger Matrix of *g*.

For *G* a finite reflection group acting on a Euclidean vector space *V*,  $C_R$  the (closed) cone over the positive roots, and  $\mathring{C}_W \subset V$  the interior of a fundamental domain for the action of *G* (sometimes called the weight cone), one has the following decomposition:

$$C_R = \bigsqcup_{g \in G} (1 - g) \mathring{C}_W$$

Meinrenken's Theorem (2007):

For *G* a finite reflection group acting on a Euclidean vector space *V*,  $C_R$  the (closed) cone over the positive roots, and  $\mathring{C}_W \subset V$  the interior of a fundamental domain for the action of *G* (sometimes called the weight cone), one has the following decomposition:

$$C_R = \bigsqcup_{g \in G} (1 - g) \mathring{C}_W$$

Take away: Waldspurger matrices give a tiling of the root cone!

Meinrenken's Theorem (2007):

Translation: Cones over columns of Waldspurger matrices give a tiling of the root cone!

Meinrenken's Theorem (2007):

Translation: Cones over columns of Waldspurger matrices give a tiling of the root cone!

Meinrenken's Theorem (2007):

For G an <u>affine</u> reflection group acting on a Euclidean vector space V, and  $\mathring{A}_W \subset V$  the interior of a fundamental domain for the action of G (sometimes called a fundamental alcove) one has the following decomposition:

 $V = \bigsqcup_{g \in G} (1 - g) \mathring{A}_W$ 

Translation: Cones over columns of Waldspurger matrices give a tiling of the root cone!

Meinrenken's Theorem (2007):

For G an <u>affine</u> reflection group acting on a Euclidean vector space V, and  $\mathring{A}_W \subset V$  the interior of a fundamental domain for the action of G (sometimes called a fundamental alcove) one has the following decomposition:

$$V = \bigsqcup_{g \in G} (1 - g) \mathring{A}_W$$

Take away: Convex hulls of columns of Waldspurger matrices (and the zero vector) give a tiling of the  $\mathbb{R}^n$ !













### The Mienrenken Decomposition for $A_2$ ( $\mathfrak{S}_3$ )



### The Mienrenken Decomposition for $A_2$ ( $\mathfrak{S}_3$ )



### The Mienrenken Decomposition for $A_2$ ( $\mathfrak{S}_3$ )





#### **MEINRENKEN TILE FOR** $A_3 = \mathfrak{S}_4$



#### **MEINRENKEN TILE FOR** $A_3 = \mathfrak{S}_4$



## Part 2: Geometry $\Rightarrow$ Permutations








































four copies of
S<sub>3</sub> picture



four copies of
S<sub>3</sub> picture

Codimension
↓
# cycles (c(n, k))



• four copies of  $\mathfrak{S}_3$  picture

· NOT A CW- complex!

There are exactly  $2^n$  possible columns of Waldspurger matrices of type  $A_n$ . We will call them UM vectors.

There are exactly  $2^n$  possible columns of Waldspurger matrices of type  $A_n$ . We will call them UM vectors.

There are exactly  $2^n$  possible columns of Waldspurger matrices of type  $A_n$ . We will call them UM vectors.

Interesting Bijections:

· Unimodal Motzkin Paths.

There are exactly 2<sup>*n*</sup> possible columns of Waldspurger matrices of type A<sub>n</sub>. We will call them UM vectors.

- · Unimodal Motzkin Paths.
- $\cdot\,$  Elements of the root lattice inside a certain polytope

There are exactly 2<sup>*n*</sup> possible columns of Waldspurger matrices of type A<sub>n</sub>. We will call them UM vectors.

- · Unimodal Motzkin Paths.
- $\cdot\,$  Elements of the root lattice inside a certain polytope
- $\cdot\,$  Abelian ideals in the nilradical of  $\mathfrak{sl}_n$

There are exactly 2<sup>*n*</sup> possible columns of Waldspurger matrices of type A<sub>n</sub>. We will call them UM vectors.

- · Unimodal Motzkin Paths.
- $\cdot\,$  Elements of the root lattice inside a certain polytope
- $\cdot\,$  Abelian ideals in the nilradical of  $\mathfrak{sl}_n$
- · Young diagrams with hooklength less than *n*.



$$\mathcal{WT}(M)_{i,j} := \begin{cases} \sum_{\substack{a \leq i \\ b > j}} M_{a,b} & i \leq j \\ \sum_{\substack{a > i \\ b < i}} M_{a,b} & i \geq j \\ \end{cases}.$$

$$\mathcal{WT}(M)_{i,j} \coloneqq \begin{cases} \sum_{\substack{a \leq i \\ b > j}} M_{a,b} & i \leq j \\ \sum_{\substack{a > i \\ b \leq j}} M_{a,b} & i \geq j \end{cases}.$$

**Warning:** Note that WT(M) may be "over-determined" on the diagonal. In the case where M is a permutation matrix, but in general this need not be the case.

$$\mathcal{WT}(M)_{i,j} \coloneqq \begin{cases} \sum_{\substack{a \leq i \\ b > j}} M_{a,b} & i \leq j \\ \sum_{\substack{a > i \\ b \leq j}} M_{a,b} & i \geq j \end{cases}.$$

**Proposition:** WT(M) is well-defined if and only if the *i*th row sum of M equals the *i*th column sum of M, for  $1 \le i \le n$ .

$$\mathcal{WT}(M)_{i,j} \coloneqq \begin{cases} \sum_{\substack{a \leq i \\ b > j}} M_{a,b} & i \leq j \\ \sum_{\substack{a > i \\ b \leq j}} M_{a,b} & i \geq j \end{cases}.$$

**Proposition:** WT(M) is well-defined if and only if the *i*th row sum of M equals the *i*th column sum of M, for  $1 \le i \le n$ .

If an *n* × *n* matrix *M* has this property, we will say it is **sum-symmetric** 

 $M\in SS_n$ 

$$\mathcal{WT}(M)_{i,j} := \begin{cases} \sum_{\substack{a \leq i \\ b > j}} M_{a,b} & i \leq j \\ \sum_{\substack{a > i \\ b \leq j}} M_{a,b} & i \geq j \end{cases}.$$

**Proposition:** WT(M) is well-defined if and only if the *i*th row sum of M equals the *i*th column sum of M, for  $1 \le i \le n$ .

If an *n* × *n* matrix *M* has this property, we will say it is **sum-symmetric** 

 $M\in SS_n$ 

The map is linear and surjective, with kernel the diagonal matrices.

 $\mathcal{WT}: SS_n \twoheadrightarrow Mat_{n-1}$ 

## WALDSPURGER, MEINRENKEN AND ???



### WALDSPURGER, MEINRENKEN AND ???



# Part 2: Permutations ⇒ Alternating Sign Matrices

An alternating sign matrix (or ASM) is a square matrix of 0s, 1s, and -1s such that the sum of each row and column is 1 and the nonzero entries in each row and column alternate in sign.

An alternating sign matrix (or ASM) is a square matrix of 0s, 1s, and -1s such that the sum of each row and column is 1 and the nonzero entries in each row and column alternate in sign.

These matrices generalize permutation matrices and arise naturally when using Dodgson condensation to compute a determinant.

An alternating sign matrix (or ASM) is a square matrix of 0s, 1s, and -1s such that the sum of each row and column is 1 and the nonzero entries in each row and column alternate in sign.

These matrices generalize permutation matrices and arise naturally when using Dodgson condensation to compute a determinant.

 $n - 1 \times n - 1$  matrices with UM columns and rows with their maxes on the diagonal are in bijection with  $n \times n$  ASMs via the WT map!

$$\sum_{\substack{|\leq i,j\leq n-1}} \mathcal{WT}(g) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (g(i) - i)^2$$





Fact (A. Lascoux, M. Schützenberger): Half the entropy of a permutation is its rank in the MacNeille completion of the Bruhat order– a distributive lattice with elements the alternating sign matrices, or ASMs.




### THE MACNIELLE COMPLETION OF THE BRUHAT ORDER



## The MacNielle Completion for $\mathfrak{S}_4$



## Part 3: ASMs $\Rightarrow$ Geometry

### A GEOMETRIC REALIZATION OF THE HASSE DIAGRAM OF AMS LATTICE



 $\cdot$  Does this embedding of the Hasse diagram extend to other types?

- $\cdot$  Does this embedding of the Hasse diagram extend to other types?
- · Is there a good way to study the Meinrenken tile as a polytopal complex?

- $\cdot\,$  Does this embedding of the Hasse diagram extend to other types?
- · Is there a good way to study the Meinrenken tile as a polytopal complex?
- Is there always a way to rearrange the pieces in the Mienrenken tile to get a convex polytopal complex?

- · Does this embedding of the Hasse diagram extend to other types?
- · Is there a good way to study the Meinrenken tile as a polytopal complex?
- Is there always a way to rearrange the pieces in the Mienrenken tile to get a convex polytopal complex?
- The domain of the WT map is  $SS_n$  If we restrict ourselves to  $Gl_n$  what is the image? What is its topology?

- $\cdot\,$  Does this embedding of the Hasse diagram extend to other types?
- · Is there a good way to study the Meinrenken tile as a polytopal complex?
- Is there always a way to rearrange the pieces in the Mienrenken tile to get a convex polytopal complex?
- The domain of the WT map is  $SS_n$  If we restrict ourselves to  $Gl_n$  what is the image? What is its topology?
- $\cdot$  In experimentation, the  $\mathcal{WT}$  map seems to preserve both the Birkhoff polytope and the ASM polytope. Is this true in general?



# Thank You!