

Inverse Matrices

MTH 210

2/16/23

①

A : $n \times n$ matrix (square matrix)

an $n \times n$ matrix B is an inverse of A if

$$AB = I$$

$n \times n$ identity

and $BA = I$.

If A has an inverse, the inverse is unique (there is only one). To see this, suppose B, C are both inverses for A . This means B, C are $n \times n$ and:

$$BA = I = AB$$

(*)

$$CA = I = AC$$

(**)

We then compute:

$$B = IB = (CA)B = C(AB) = CI = C$$

So $B = C$. Thus any two inverses are equal, as claimed.

Therefore we can speak of the inverse of A (if it exists!).

If A has an inverse we write A^{-1} for it.

If A has an inverse we also say A is invertible.

So if A is invertible we have a unique A^{-1} satisfying: (2)

$$AA^{-1} = I_{n \times n \text{ identity}} = A^{-1}A$$

Note: to show a matrix A^{-1} is in fact the inverse of A , you only need to check one of $AA^{-1} = I$ or $I = A^{-1}A$.

Inverses are very useful! Suppose we want to solve

$$A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$$

where $A_{n \times n}$ and \vec{b} in \mathbb{R}^n are given, with \vec{x} in \mathbb{R}^n the unknown. If A is invertible, multiply both sides (using left multiplication) by A^{-1} :

$$A^{-1}(A\vec{x}) = A^{-1}\vec{b}$$

$$(A^{-1}A)\vec{x} = A^{-1}\vec{b}$$

$$I\vec{x} = A^{-1}\vec{b}$$

$$\vec{x} = A^{-1}\vec{b}$$

Conclusion:

If A is an $n \times n$ invertible matrix then there is a unique solution to

$$A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$$

for any given \vec{b} in \mathbb{R}^n . The solution is $\vec{x} = A^{-1}\vec{b}$.

Ex.

3

1) $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$ claim: $A^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 1 \\ 3/2 & -1/2 \end{bmatrix}$

To verify claim, compute:

$$AA^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 1 \\ 3/2 & -1/2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \mathbb{I}_{2 \times 2} \text{ identity}$$

2) $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$ claim: A has no inverse

One way to verify:

Do elimination for $A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$:

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc|c} 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 4 & 0 \end{array} \right] \rightarrow \left[\begin{array}{cc|c} 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right] \rightsquigarrow x + 2y = 0, \text{ a line of solutions.}$$

So there is not a unique (one) solution.

We saw above that if A were invertible, there'd be one solution.

So A is not invertible.

3) Here's a formula for A^{-1} in the 2×2 case:

if $A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$ then $A^{-1} = \frac{1}{ad-bc} \begin{bmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{bmatrix}$

$ad-bc$ is called the determinant of A .

In particular, for A^{-1} to exist we need

(4)

$$ad - bc \neq 0 \quad (\text{non-zero det})$$

In previous example,

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{matrix} a=1 & b=2 \\ c=2 & d=4 \end{matrix} \quad \text{so } ad - bc = (1)(4) - (2)(2) = 0.$$

So again we see a reason for why A is not invertible.

Inverses of products:

If A, B are invertible $n \times n$ matrices then

AB is invertible and

$$(AB)^{-1} = B^{-1}A^{-1}$$

let's prove this. Need to check $(B^{-1}A^{-1})(AB) = I$

$$\begin{aligned} (B^{-1}A^{-1})(AB) &= B^{-1}(A^{-1}A)B = B^{-1}IB \\ &= B^{-1}B = I. \quad \text{Done.} \end{aligned}$$

Iterating this identity, we get:

if A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k
are invertible
 $n \times n$ matrices

then

$$(A_1 A_2 \dots A_k)^{-1} = A_k^{-1} A_{k-1}^{-1} \dots A_1^{-1}$$

note the order reversal!

Ex. $n \times n$ Elimination matrix $E_{ij, \ell}$ ($i \neq j$)

(5)

$E_{ij, \ell}$ = $n \times n$ identity matrix with one extra entry: the (i, j) -entry is ℓ .

3x3 case:

$$E_{31, 2} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

(3,1)-entry

$$E_{31, 2} \vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z + 2x \end{bmatrix}$$

one of our elementary row operations!

So $E_{31, 2}$ has effect of "adding $2 \times (\text{row}_1)$ to row_3 "

Generally: $E_{ij, \ell}$ "adds $\ell \times (\text{row}_j)$ to row_i ."

Inverse of $E_{31, 2}$ should be "add $(-2) \times (\text{row}_1)$ to row_3 " which is $E_{31, -2}$. And it is!

$$E_{31, 2} E_{31, -2} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -2 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = I$$

Thus $E_{31, 2}^{-1} = E_{31, -2}$. In general, $E_{ij, \ell}^{-1} = E_{ij, -\ell}$.

Ex $n \times n$ Permutation matrix P_{ij} is the $n \times n$ identity I but w/ the i^{th} and j^{th} rows swapped.

3x3 case: $P_{12} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ $P_{23} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

$$P_{12} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} y \\ x \\ z \end{bmatrix}$$

P_{12} acts on vectors by swapping 1st & 2nd coordinates (corresponds to "row exchange" operation)

Note $P_{ij} = P_{ji}$.

Swapping rows i & j twice has no effect.

Interpretation: $P_{ij}^2 = P_{ij} P_{ij} = I$. (so $P_{ij}^{-1} = P_{ij}$!)

ex:

$$P_{12} P_{12} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = I.$$

More generally, a permutation matrix is any product of P_{ij} 's.

ex. $P_{12} P_{23} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ ($\neq P_{ij}$ for any i, j !)

Ex. Diagonal matrices

(7)

$D(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ = diagonal matrix w/ entries a_1, \dots, a_n
 $n \times n$ along the diagonal

$$= \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & a_2 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & & & a_n \end{bmatrix}$$

ex.

$$D(1, 2, 3) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \quad D(1, 2, 3) \vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ 2y \\ 3z \end{bmatrix}$$

($D(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ scales row $_i$ by a_i)

$$\text{Note } D(1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}) D(1, 2, 3) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{3} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{I}$$

In general:

diagonal $n \times n$

$D(a_1, \dots, a_n)$

is invertible $\Leftrightarrow a_1, \dots, a_n$ all non-zero.

and in this case,

$$D(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)^{-1} = D\left(\frac{1}{a_1}, \frac{1}{a_2}, \dots, \frac{1}{a_n}\right)$$