

5.1. I claimed in the notes that the direct product of groups is the categorical product in \mathbf{Grp} . Check that this is true.

5.2. I claimed in the notes that a ring is a ringoid (i.e., a small \mathbf{Ab} -category) with one object. Check that this agrees with the usual definition of rings.

5.3. Let R be a **commutative** ring and consider the category $R\text{-Mod}$ of left R -modules.

- (a) State the definitions of $R\text{-Mod}$ -category and $R\text{-Mod}$ -functor.
- (b) Verify that $R\text{-Mod}$ is an $R\text{-Mod}$ -category.
- (c) Try to guess definitions for R -algebroid, R -algebra, and R -algebra representation.

5.4. Let $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbf{Set}$ be a concrete category and consider any arrow $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ in \mathcal{C} .

- (a) Copy the proof from the case of $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{Set}$ to show that

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi \text{ is injective} &\implies \varphi \text{ is monic,} \\ \varphi \text{ is surjective} &\implies \varphi \text{ is epic.}\end{aligned}$$

- (b) Let $U : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ be the “forgetful functor” that assigns to each object $X \in \mathcal{C}$ its underlying set $U(X) \in \mathbf{Set}$, and assume that U has a left adjoint “free functor” $F : \mathbf{Set} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. Use the “free object” $F(\{*\}) \in \mathcal{C}$ to prove that

$$\varphi \text{ is monic} \implies \varphi \text{ is injective.}$$

- (c) It is quite common for epic arrows to be non-surjective. For example, prove that the inclusion ring homomorphism $\iota : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ is epic even though it is clearly not surjective. The proof from the case $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{Set}$ doesn’t generalize because the category of rings doesn’t have a “subobject classifier” such as $\Omega = \{0, 1\}$.