

**Problem 1. Categories.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a category.

- (a) Define what it means for two objects  $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$  to be isomorphic.

We say that  $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$  are isomorphic if there exist morphisms  $\alpha : X \rightarrow Y$  and  $\beta : Y \rightarrow X$  such that  $\alpha \circ \beta = \text{id}_Y$  and  $\beta \circ \alpha = \text{id}_X$ .

- (b) Define initial objects in  $\mathcal{C}$ .

We say that  $X \in \mathcal{C}$  is an initial object if for all objects  $Y \in \mathcal{C}$  we have  $|\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)| = 1$ .

- (c) Prove that any two initial objects  $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$  are isomorphic.

Let  $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$  be initial objects. By definition there exist (unique) morphisms  $\alpha : X \rightarrow Y$  and  $\beta : Y \rightarrow X$ . Now consider the morphism  $\alpha \circ \beta : Y \rightarrow Y$ . Since  $|\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y, Y)| = 1$  we must have  $\alpha \circ \beta = \text{id}_Y$ . Similarly, since  $|\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, X)| = 1$  we have  $\beta \circ \alpha = \text{id}_X$ . We conclude that  $X$  and  $Y$  are isomorphic.

**Problem 2. Quotients.** Let  $\sim$  be an equivalence relation on a set  $S$ .

- (a) Define what it means for  $\pi : S \rightarrow Q$  to be a  $\sim$ -quotient map.

We say that a function  $\pi : S \rightarrow Q$  is a  $\sim$ -quotient map if

- For all  $x, y \in S$  we have  $(x \sim y) \Rightarrow (\pi(x) = \pi(y))$ .
- Given a function  $\varphi : S \rightarrow T$  satisfying  $(x \sim y) \Rightarrow (\varphi(x) = \varphi(y))$  for all  $x, y \in S$ , there exists a unique function  $\bar{\varphi} : Q \rightarrow T$  such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & S & \\ \pi \swarrow & & \searrow \varphi \\ Q & & T \\ & \xrightarrow{\bar{\varphi}} & \end{array}$$

- (b) Prove that a  $\sim$ -quotient map exists and say in what sense it is unique.

Given  $x \in S$  we define the equivalence class  $[x] := \{y \in S : x \sim y\}$ . Now consider the set of equivalence classes  $S/\sim := \{[x] : x \in S\}$ . Since  $(x \sim y) \Rightarrow ([x] = [y])$ , the prescription  $\pi(x) := [x]$  determines a well-defined function  $\pi : S \rightarrow S/\sim$  satisfying the first property of a  $\sim$ -quotient map.

To establish the second property, let  $\varphi : S \rightarrow T$  be any function satisfying  $(x \sim y) \Rightarrow (\varphi(x) = \varphi(y))$ . If there exists a function  $\bar{\varphi} : S/\sim \rightarrow T$  satisfying the commutative diagram it must satisfy the prescription  $\bar{\varphi}([x]) = \varphi(x)$  for all  $x \in S$ . Then since  $([x] = [y]) \Rightarrow (x \sim y) \Rightarrow (\varphi(x) = \varphi(y))$ , this prescription **does** define a function. ///

A quotient map is unique in the following sense: Let  $\pi_1 : S \rightarrow Q_1$  and  $\pi_2 : S \rightarrow Q_2$  be two  $\sim$ -quotient maps. Then there exists a unique bijection  $Q_1 \xleftrightarrow{\sim} Q_2$  such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & S & \\ \pi_1 \swarrow & & \searrow \pi_2 \\ Q_1 & \xleftrightarrow{\sim} & Q_2 \end{array}$$

The uniqueness follows from Problem 1(c).

**Problem 3. First Isomorphism Theorem.** Let  $N \trianglelefteq G$  be a normal subgroup.

- (a) Define the universal property of a group quotient  $\pi : G \rightarrow G/N$  and say in what sense a quotient is unique.

If  $\varphi : G \rightarrow G'$  is any group homomorphism such that  $N \subseteq \ker \varphi$ , then there exists a unique group homomorphism  $\bar{\varphi} : G/N \rightarrow G'$  such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & G & \\ \pi \swarrow & & \searrow \varphi \\ G/N & \xrightarrow{\bar{\varphi}} & G' \end{array}$$

If  $p : G \rightarrow Q$  is any other “ $N$ -quotient” satisfying this universal property then there exists a unique group isomorphism  $G/N \xleftrightarrow{\sim} Q$  such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & G & \\ \pi \swarrow & & \searrow p \\ G/N & \xleftrightarrow{\sim} & Q \end{array}$$

- (b) Now let  $\varphi : G \rightarrow G'$  be a group homomorphism. Use the universal property from part (a) to prove that  $G/\ker \varphi \approx \text{im } \varphi$ . [Hint: You can assume that the quotient  $\pi : G \rightarrow G/\ker \varphi$  from part (a) exists.]

Since  $\ker \varphi \trianglelefteq G$  we have the standard quotient map  $\pi : G \rightarrow G/\ker \varphi$ . I claim that the homomorphism  $\varphi : G \rightarrow \text{im } \varphi$  is another “ $\ker \varphi$ -quotient” map. Indeed, if  $p : G \rightarrow G'$  is any group homomorphism such that  $\ker \varphi \subseteq \ker p$  then any homomorphism  $\bar{p} : \text{im } \varphi \rightarrow G'$  such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & G & \\ \varphi \swarrow & & \searrow p \\ \text{im } \varphi & \xrightarrow{\bar{p}} & G' \end{array}$$

must satisfy the prescription  $\bar{p}(\varphi(g)) = p(g)$  for all  $g \in G$ . Certainly this  $\bar{p}$  will be a homomorphism if it is well-defined, and it is well-defined because for all  $g, h \in G$  we have

$$(\varphi(g) = \varphi(h)) \Rightarrow (gh^{-1} \in \ker \varphi) \Rightarrow (gh^{-1} \in \ker p) \Rightarrow (p(g) = p(h)).$$

Now the isomorphism  $G/\ker \varphi \approx \text{im } \varphi$  follows from the uniqueness of quotients. ///

**Problem 4. Group Products.** Consider a group  $G$  with subgroups  $H, K \subseteq G$ .

- (a) Prove that  $HK := \{hk : h \in H, k \in K\}$  is a subgroup of  $G$  if and only if  $HK = KH$ .

First assume that we have  $HK = KH$ . To show that  $HK$  is a subgroup consider any two elements  $h_1k_1, h_2k_2 \in HK$ . Since  $k_1k_2^{-1}h_2^{-1} \in KH \subseteq HK$ , there exist  $h \in H$  and  $k \in K$  such that  $k_1k_2^{-1}h_2^{-1} = hk$ . Then we have

$$(h_1k_1)(h_2k_2)^{-1} = h_1(k_1k_2^{-1}h_2^{-1}) = h_1hk \in HK,$$

as desired.

Conversely, assume that  $HK \subseteq G$  is a subgroup. To prove that  $HK = KH$ , first consider an element  $hk \in HK$ . Since  $HK$  is a group there exists  $h'k' \in HK$  such that  $hkh'k' = 1$ , hence  $hk = (k')^{-1}(h')^{-1} \in KH$  as desired. Next, consider any element  $kh \in KH$ . Since  $k = 1k \in HK$  and  $h = h1 \in HK$  and since  $HK$  is a subgroup we obtain  $kh \in HK$  as desired. ///

- (b) Prove that the multiplication map  $\mu : H \times K \rightarrow HK$  is injective if and only if  $H \cap K = 1$ .

First assume that multiplication  $\mu : H \times K \rightarrow HK$  is injective and consider any element  $g \in H \cap K$ . Note that  $g \in H$  and  $g^{-1} \in K$  so we can apply multiplication to get  $\mu(g, g^{-1}) = gg^{-1} = 1$ . But we also have  $\mu(1, 1) = 1$ , so injectivity of  $\mu$  implies that  $(1, 1) = (g, g^{-1})$ , hence  $g = 1$ .

Conversely, assume that  $H \cap K = 1$  and suppose that  $\mu(h_1, k_1) = \mu(h_2, k_2)$  (i.e.,  $h_1k_1 = h_2k_2$ ) for some  $(h_1, k_1), (h_2, k_2) \in H \times K$ . Then we have

$$h_1k_1 = h_2k_2 \implies h_2^{-1}h_1 = k_2k_1^{-1} \in H \cap K.$$

Since  $H \cap K = 1$  this implies that  $h_2^{-1}h_1 = k_2k_1^{-1} = 1$ , hence  $h_1 = h_2$  and  $k_1 = k_2$ . It follows that  $(h_1, k_1) = (h_2, k_2)$  and we conclude that  $\mu$  is injective. ///

**Problem 5. Short Exact Sequences.** Let  $K$  be a field and consider the following short exact sequence of groups:

$$\mathbf{1} \longrightarrow \mathrm{SL}_n(K) \xrightarrow{i} \mathrm{GL}_n(K) \xrightarrow{\det} K^\times \longrightarrow \mathbf{1}.$$

- (a) Find an explicit section of the determinant map  $\mathrm{GL}_n(K) \rightarrow K^\times$  and conclude that  $\mathrm{GL}_n(K) \approx \mathrm{SL}_n(K) \rtimes K^\times$ .

Given  $\alpha \in K^\times$  we will define the matrix

$$s(\alpha) := \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & & & 0 \\ & 1 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that for all  $\alpha, \beta \in K^\times$  we have  $s(\alpha)s(\beta) = s(\alpha\beta)$  and  $\det(s(\alpha)) = \alpha$ , so that  $s : K^\times \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$  is a section. We conclude from the splitting lemma on HW3 that

$$\mathrm{GL}_n(K) \approx \mathrm{SL}_n(K) \rtimes K^\times.$$

- (b) Now assume that  $K = \mathbb{R}$  and  $n$  is odd. In this case find an explicit retraction of the inclusion map  $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$  and conclude that  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R}) \approx \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{R}^\times$ . [Hint: Since  $n$  is odd, every  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^\times$  has an obvious  $n$ -th root.]

First note that since  $n$  is odd, every  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^\times$  has a **unique**  $n$ -th root in  $\mathbb{R}^\times$ . This defines a **function**  $\sqrt[n]{\cdot} : \mathbb{R}^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\times$ . Then since the product of  $n$ -th roots is an  $n$ -th root, uniqueness implies that  $\sqrt[n]{\cdot}$  is a **group homomorphism**. [For a general field  $K$  and general  $n$  this is not possible.]

Now given an invertible matrix  $A \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$  we will define

$$r(A) := \frac{1}{\sqrt[n]{\det(A)}} \cdot A.$$

Since  $A$  is an  $n \times n$  matrix we have

$$\begin{aligned} \det(r(A)) &= \det\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt[n]{\det(A)}} \cdot A\right) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt[n]{\det(A)}}\right)^n \det(A) \\ &= \frac{1}{\det(A)} \cdot \det(A) \\ &= 1, \end{aligned}$$

hence  $r(A) \in \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ . Since  $\sqrt[n]{\cdot}$  is a function we obtain a function  $r : \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ . Then since  $\sqrt[n]{\cdot}$  is a homomorphism we have

$$\begin{aligned} r(A)r(B) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt[n]{\det(A)}} \cdot A \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt[n]{\det(B)}} \cdot B \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt[n]{\det(A)}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt[n]{\det(B)}} \cdot AB \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt[n]{\det(A)\det(B)}} \cdot AB \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt[n]{\det(AB)}} \cdot AB \\ &= r(AB), \end{aligned}$$

for all  $A, B \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ , hence  $r$  is a homomorphism. Finally, since  $r(i(A)) = r(A) = A$  for all  $A \in \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$  we conclude that  $r$  is a retraction of the inclusion map  $i : \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ . It follows from the splitting lemma proved in class that

$$\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R}) \approx \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{R}^\times.$$

[Remark: This is a pretty special isomorphism. I asked MathOverflow for a topological or geometric interpretation but I didn't get one yet.]