

Problems on Number Theory

The first problem substitutes for the proof of FLT(3), which was too hard.

1. Prove that the equation  $y^3 = x^2 + 2$  has exactly two integer solutions:  $(x, y) = (\pm 5, 3)$ .
  - (a) If  $y^3 = x^2 + 2$  is an integer solution, show that  $x$  is odd. [Hint: Reduce mod 4.]
  - (b) If  $x$  is odd, show that  $x + \sqrt{-2}$  and  $x - \sqrt{-2}$  are coprime in  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-2}]$ . [Hint: If  $\alpha$  is a common divisor then  $\alpha$  divides the sum  $2x$  and the difference  $2\sqrt{-2}$ . Taking norms gives  $N(\alpha)|4x^2$  and  $N(\alpha)|8$ , hence  $N(\alpha)|4$ . Show that  $\alpha$  must be  $\pm 1$ .]
  - (c) If  $y^3 = x^2 + 2$  is an integer solution then we have  $y^3 = (x + \sqrt{-2})(x - \sqrt{-2})$ . Use part (b) and the fact that  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-2}]$  is a UFD (proved on the last homework) to conclude that  $x + \sqrt{-2} = (a + b\sqrt{-2})^3$  for some  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ . [Hint: The units of  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-2}]$  are  $\pm 1$ .]
  - (d) If  $y^3 = x^2 + 2$  and  $(x + \sqrt{-2}) = (a + b\sqrt{-2})^3$ , show that  $(a, b) = (\pm 1, 1)$ , hence  $(x, y) = (\pm 5, 3)$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $y^3 = x^2 + 2$  with  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We wish to show that  $(x, y) = (\pm 5, 3)$ . If  $x$  is even then  $x^2 = 0 \pmod 4$ . But then  $y^3 = 2 \pmod 4$ , which has no solution, hence  $x$  is odd.

Next suppose that  $\alpha = a + \sqrt{-2}$  is a common divisor of  $x + \sqrt{-2}$  and  $x - \sqrt{-2}$ . Since the norm is multiplicative this implies that  $N(\alpha)$  divides  $N(x \pm \sqrt{-2}) = x^2 + 2$  as integers. We also know that  $\alpha$  divides  $(x + \sqrt{-2}) - (x - \sqrt{-2}) = 2\sqrt{-2}$  and hence  $N(\alpha)$  divides  $N(2\sqrt{-2}) = 8$  as integers.

We conclude that  $N(\alpha) = a^2 + 2b^2 = 1$  and hence  $\alpha = \pm 1$ . That is,  $x \pm \sqrt{-2}$  are coprime elements of  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-2}]$ .

We can factor  $y^3 = (x + \sqrt{-2})(x - \sqrt{-2})$  in the ring  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-2}]$ . Note that the prime factors of  $y^3$  come in threes. Then since  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-2}]$  is a UFD and since  $x \pm \sqrt{-2}$  are coprime, the prime factors of  $x + \sqrt{-2}$  must also come in threes. In other words, we have  $x + \sqrt{-2} = u(a + b\sqrt{-2})^3$  where  $u \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-2}]$  is a unit and  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Since the units of  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-2}]$  are  $\pm 1$ , we can just say that  $x + \sqrt{-2} = (a + b\sqrt{-2})^3$  for some  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Thus we have

$$x + \sqrt{-2} = a^3 + 3a^2b\sqrt{-2} + 3a(b\sqrt{-2})^2 + (b\sqrt{-2})^3 = (a^3 - 6ab) + (3a^2b - 2b)\sqrt{-2}.$$

Comparing coefficients gives  $x = a^3 - 6ab = a(a^2 - 6b)$  and  $1 = 3a^2b - 2b^3 = b(3a^2 - 2b^2)$ . The second equation requires  $(a, b) = (\pm 1, 1)$ , which then implies that  $x = \pm 5$ . Finally we have  $y^3 = x^2 + 2 = 27$  which implies  $y = 3$ . We conclude that  $(x, y) = (\pm 5, 3)$ .  $\square$

[This result is attributed to Euler (1770), and explains why number theorists care about UFDs. I promise that I won't make you do any more Diophantine equations.]

2. Recall that the product of ideals  $I, J \subseteq R$  is given by  $IJ := (\{uv : u \in I, v \in J\})$ . Given the **non-principal** ideal  $A = (2) + (1 + \sqrt{-5}) = (2, 1 + \sqrt{-5}) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$  and its conjugate  $\bar{A} = (2, 1 - \sqrt{-5})$ , prove that  $A\bar{A} = (2)$  (which is principal).

*Proof.* First note that  $2 \in A\bar{A}$  because  $2 = 6 - 4 = (1 + \sqrt{-5})(1 - \sqrt{-5}) - 2 \cdot 2$ . Since  $A\bar{A}$  is an ideal this implies  $(2) \subseteq A\bar{A}$ . Conversely, note that the general element of  $A\bar{A}$  look like

$$\begin{aligned} (2a + (1 + \sqrt{-5})b)(2c + (1 - \sqrt{-5})d) &= 4ac + 2(1 - \sqrt{-5})ad + 2(1 + \sqrt{-5})bc + 6bd \\ &= 2[(2ac + ad + bc + 3bd) + (bc - ad)\sqrt{-5}]. \end{aligned}$$

Since this is divisible by 2 in  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$  we get  $A\bar{A} \subseteq (2)$ .  $\square$

[It's a general fact that for any ideal  $I \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$  we have  $I\bar{I} = (n)$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  and this is exactly what's needed to prove that  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$  has unique factorization of ideals.]

### Problems on Polynomials

3. Consider the ring of polynomials  $R[x]$  with coefficients in an integral domain  $R$ .

- Prove that  $R[x]$  is an integral domain.
- Prove that for all  $f, g \in R[x]$  with  $fg \neq 0$  we have  $\deg(fg) = \deg(f) + \deg(g)$ . If you want the statement to remain true for  $fg = 0$  how should you define  $\deg(0)$ ?
- We can identify  $R \subseteq R[x]$  as the constant polynomials. Prove that  $R[x]^\times = R^\times$ .

*Proof.* Note that a polynomial in  $R[x]$  is zero if and only if its leading coefficient is zero. Consider  $f(x) \neq 0$  and  $g(x) \neq 0$  in  $R[x]$  with leading coefficients  $a \neq 0$  and  $b \neq 0$ , respectively. Then  $f(x)g(x)$  has leading coefficient  $ab \neq 0$ , hence  $f(x)g(x) \neq 0$ . We conclude that  $R[x]$  is an integral domain. Next suppose that  $f(x)$  and  $g(x)$  have leading terms  $ax^m$  and  $bx^n$ , respectively. Then the leading term of  $f(x)g(x)$  is  $ax^m bx^n = abx^{m+n}$ , which is nonzero since  $a, b \neq 0$ . We conclude that  $\deg(fg) = m + n = \deg(f) + \deg(g)$ . What if  $fg = 0$ ? Without loss of generality this implies that  $f = 0$ . How could we define  $\deg(0)$  so that the equation  $\deg(0) = \deg(0) + \deg(g)$  is true for all  $g$ ? Answer:  $\deg(0) = -\infty$ . Or you could just avoid defining  $\deg(0)$  at all. Finally, we will show that  $R[x]^\times = R^\times$ . First note that  $R^\times \subseteq R[x]^\times$  since if  $ab = 1$  in  $R$ , then  $ab = 1$  in  $R[x]$  also. Conversely, suppose that  $f \in R[x]^\times$  so there exists  $g \in R[x]$  with  $fg = 1$ . Applying the degree map gives  $\deg(fg) = \deg(f) + \deg(g) = \deg(1) = 0$ . Since  $\deg(f), \deg(g)$  are non-negative integers this implies  $\deg(f) = \deg(g) = 0$ . In other words,  $f, g \in R^\times$ . Hence  $R[x]^\times \subseteq R^\times$ .  $\square$

4. If  $R$  is not an integral domain then  $(R[x])^\times$  will be bigger than  $R^\times$ . In particular, if  $a \in R$  is nilpotent (say  $a^n = 0$ ), prove that  $1 + ax \in R[x]$  is a unit. [Hint: You can write  $1 = 1 + a^n x^n$ .] Find the inverse of  $1 + 3x$  in  $\mathbb{Z}/(27)[x]$ .

*Proof.* We have  $1 = 1 + a^n x^n = (1 + ax)(1 - ax + a^2 x^2 - \dots + (-1)^{n-1} a^{n-1} x^{n-1})$ . Hence the inverse of  $1 + 3x$  in  $\mathbb{Z}/(27)[x]$  is  $1 - 3x + 9x^2$ . (Check:  $(1 + 3x)(1 - 3x + 9x^2) = 1 + 27x^3 = 1$ .)  $\square$

[The general theorem says that  $f(x) = \sum a_i x^i \in R[x]$  is a unit if and only if  $a_0 \in R^\times$  and  $a_i$  is nilpotent for all  $i \geq 1$ . Give it a try if you want.]

### Problems on Fields

5. We say that an ideal  $I \subseteq R$  is **maximal** if there does **not** exist an ideal  $J \subseteq R$  with  $I < J < R$ . Prove that  $I \subseteq R$  is maximal if and only if  $R/I$  is a field. Describe the maximal ideals of a PID.

*Proof.* I will give two proofs. First the fancy proof. By the correspondence theorem there is a 1-1 correspondence between nontrivial ideals of  $R/I$  and ideals strictly between  $I$  and  $R$ . Note that  $R/I$  is a field if and only if it has no nontrivial ideals (proved on the first homework) if and only if there are no ideals strictly between  $I$  and  $R$  if and only if  $I$  is maximal.

Now an explicit proof. Let  $I \subseteq R$  be maximal and consider an element  $a + I \in R/I$ . If  $a + I \neq 0 + I$  then  $a \notin I$ . But then the ideal  $(a) + I$  is strictly larger than  $I$ . By maximality of  $I$  this implies that  $(a) + I = R$ . Since  $1 \in R = (a) + I$ , there exist  $b \in R$  and  $u \in I$  such that  $1 = ab + u$ . But then  $(a + I)(b + I) = ab + I = 1 - u + I = 1 + I$ . Hence  $(a + I)^{-1} = (b + I)$  and  $R/I$  is a field.

Conversely, suppose that  $R/I$  is a field and let  $\varphi : R \rightarrow R/I$  be the natural map. If  $J \subseteq R$  is an ideal with  $I < J$  then  $\varphi(J)$  is a nonzero ideal of  $R/I$ . (Proof: Consider  $(u + I), (v + I) \in \varphi(J)$  and  $(a + I) \in R/I$ . Then we have  $(u + I) + (a + I)(v + I) = (u + av) + I \in \varphi(J)$  because  $u + av \in J$ . The ideal  $\varphi(J)$  is nonzero because it contains  $\varphi(a)$  for some  $a \in J$  but not in  $I = \ker \varphi$ .) But you showed on the first homework that the only nonzero ideal of a field is the field itself, hence

$\varphi(J) = R/I$ . Now since  $1 + I \in \varphi(J) = R/I$ , there exists  $a \in J$  such that  $\varphi(a) = 1 + I$ , and then  $\varphi(1 - a) = \varphi(1) - \varphi(a) = (1 + I) - (1 + I) = 0 + I$  implies that  $1 - a \in \ker \varphi = I < J$ . Since  $J$  is an ideal this implies  $1 = a + (1 - a) \in J$  and hence  $J = R$  (you showed on the first homework that any ideal containing a unit is the full ring). We conclude that  $I$  is maximal.

In a PID, note that  $(a) \subseteq R$  is maximal if and only if the element  $a \in R$  is irreducible. And since a PID is a domain, this happens if and only if  $a \in R$  is prime.  $\square$

**6.** Let  $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$  be a root of the polynomial  $f(x) = x^3 - 2$ .

- (a) Prove that  $f(x)$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and hence  $\mathbb{Q}[x]/(f) \approx \mathbb{Q}(\gamma)$  is a field.
- (b) Compute the inverse of  $1 + 2\gamma + \gamma^2$  in  $\mathbb{Q}(\gamma)$ . [Hint: Apply the Euclidean algorithm to express 1 as a linear combination of  $1 + 2x + x^2$  and  $x^3 - 2$  with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ . Plug in  $\gamma$ .]

*Proof.* If  $x^3 - 2$  is reducible then it has a factor of degree 1 and by the factor theorem this implies that  $x^3 - 2$  has a root in  $\mathbb{Q}$ , say  $\delta^3 - 2 = 0$  for  $\delta \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Write  $\delta = a/b$  with  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  coprime and note that  $\delta^3 = 2$  implies  $a^3 = 2b^3$ . This implies that  $a^3$  and hence  $a$  is even, say  $a = 2k$ . But then  $2b^3 = a^3 = 8k^3$  implies  $b^3 = 4k^3$ , hence  $b$  is even. This contradicts our assumption that  $a, b$  are coprime. Hence  $x^3 - 2$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . By Problem 5 this implies that  $\mathbb{Q}[x]/(x^3 - 2) \approx \mathbb{Q}(\gamma)$  is a field.

To compute the inverse of  $1 + 2\gamma + \gamma^2 \in \mathbb{Q}(\gamma)$  we will express 1 as a combination of  $x^2 + x + 1$  and  $x^3 - 2$  in  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ . First divide  $x^3 - 2$  by  $x^2 + 2x + 1$  to get  $(x^3 - 2) = (x - 2)(x^2 + 2x + 1) + 3x$ . Then divide  $x^2 + 2x + 1$  by  $3x$  to get  $(x^2 + 2x + 1) = (x/3 + 2/3)(3x) + 1$ . Finally, back-substitute:

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= (x^2 + 2x + 1) - (x/3 + 2/3)(3x) \\ &= (x^2 + 2x + 1) - (x/3 + 2/3)[(x^3 - 2) - (x - 2)(x^2 + 2x + 1)] \\ &= [1 + (x/3 + 2/3)(x - 2)](x^2 + 2x + 1) - (x/3 + 2/3)(x^3 - 2) \\ &= (x^2/3 - 1/3)(x^2 + 2x + 1) - (x/3 + 2/3)(x^3 - 2). \end{aligned}$$

Plugging in  $x \mapsto \gamma$  gives  $1 = (\gamma^2/3 - 1/3)(\gamma^2 + 2\gamma + 1)$ , hence  $(1 + 2\gamma + \gamma^2)^{-1} = \gamma^2/3 - 1/3$ .

One could follow exactly the same procedure to compute  $(a + b\gamma + c\gamma^2)^{-1}$  for general  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Q}$ . I did this on my computer and got

$$(a + b\gamma + c\gamma^2)^{-1} = \left( \frac{a^2 - 2bc}{\Delta} \right) + \left( \frac{2c^2 - ab}{\Delta} \right) \gamma + \left( \frac{b^2 - ac}{\Delta} \right) \gamma^2,$$

where  $\Delta = a^3 + 2b^3 + 4c^3 - 6abc$ . Check that  $(a, b, c) = (1, 2, 1)$  gives the right answer. (If you want to do it by hand, it's probably easier to expand  $(a + b\gamma + c\gamma^2)(X + Y\gamma + Z\gamma^2) = 1 + 0\gamma + 0\gamma^2$  and compare coefficients of  $\gamma$  to get a  $3 \times 3$  linear system in  $X, Y, Z$ . Then solve using Gaussian elimination.)  $\square$

[Note that the three (complex) roots of  $x^3 - 2$  are indistinguishable over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , so I chose not to say  $\gamma = \sqrt[3]{2} \in \mathbb{R}$ . The field  $\mathbb{Q}$  doesn't really know what  $\gamma$  "is"; it only knows that  $\gamma^3 - 2 = 0$ .]