Problems from 4th edition of Gilbert Strang's Introduction to Linear Algebra.

Section 1.1 solutions are included in the Week 1 Notes.

For the Section 1.2 problems, we recall the rules of vector arithmetic: for all vectors  $\vec{u}, \vec{v}, \vec{w}$  and scalars a, b we have

$$\begin{split} \vec{u} + \vec{v} &= \vec{v} + \vec{u} \\ \vec{u} + (\vec{v} + \vec{w}) &= (\vec{u} + \vec{v}) + \vec{w} \\ a(\vec{u} + \vec{v}) &= a\vec{u} + a\vec{v} \\ (a + b)\vec{u} &= a\vec{u} + b\vec{u} \\ \vec{u} \bullet \vec{v} &= \vec{v} \bullet \vec{u} \\ a(\vec{u} \bullet \vec{v}) &= (a\vec{u}) \bullet \vec{v} = \vec{u} \bullet (a\vec{v}) \\ \vec{u} \bullet (\vec{v} + \vec{w}) &= \vec{u} \bullet \vec{v} + \vec{u} \bullet \vec{w}. \end{split}$$

More succinctly, the dot product distributes over arbitrary *linear combinations*:

$$\vec{u} \bullet (a\vec{v} + b\vec{w}) = a(\vec{u} \bullet \vec{v}) + b(\vec{u} \bullet \vec{w}).$$

**1.2.4.** For this problem we assume that  $\vec{v}$  and  $\vec{w}$  are *unit vectors*, i.e., that  $||v|| = ||\vec{w}|| = 1$ . This implies that

$$\vec{v} \bullet \vec{v} = \|\vec{v}\|^2 = 1$$
 and  $\vec{w} \bullet \vec{w} = \|\vec{w}\|^2 = 1.$ 

Then we use the rules of vector arithmetic to compute the desired dot products.

(a)

$$\vec{v} \bullet (-\vec{v}) = \vec{v} \bullet (-1\vec{v}) = -1(\vec{v} \bullet \vec{v}) = -1(1) = -1.$$

(b)

$$(\vec{v} + \vec{w}) \bullet (\vec{v} - \vec{w}) = \vec{v} \bullet \vec{v} + \vec{w} \bullet \vec{v} + \vec{v} \bullet (-\vec{w}) + \vec{w} \bullet (-\vec{w})$$
$$= \vec{v} \bullet \vec{v} + \vec{w} \bullet \vec{v} - \vec{v} \bullet \vec{w} - \vec{w} \bullet \vec{w}$$
$$= \vec{v} \bullet \vec{v} + \vec{v} \bullet \vec{w} - \vec{v} \bullet \vec{w} - \vec{w} \bullet \vec{w}$$
$$= \vec{v} \bullet \vec{v} - \vec{w} \bullet \vec{w}$$
$$= 1 - 1$$
$$= 0.$$

(c)

$$\begin{aligned} (\vec{v}+2\vec{w}) \bullet (\vec{v}-2\vec{w}) &= \vec{v} \bullet \vec{v} + (2\vec{w}) \bullet \vec{v} + \vec{v} \bullet (-2\vec{w}) + (2\vec{w}) \bullet (-2\vec{w}) \\ &= \vec{v} \bullet \vec{v} + 2(\vec{w} \bullet \vec{v}) - 2(\vec{v} \bullet \vec{w}) - 4(\vec{w} \bullet \vec{w}) \\ &= \vec{v} \bullet \vec{v} + 2(\vec{v} \bullet \vec{w}) - 2(\vec{v} \bullet \vec{w}) - 4(\vec{w} \bullet \vec{w}) \\ &= \vec{v} \bullet \vec{v} - 4(\vec{w} \bullet \vec{w}) \\ &= 1 - 4 \\ &= -3. \end{aligned}$$

**1.2.5.** Consider the vectors  $\vec{v} = (3, 1)$  and  $\vec{w} = (2, 1, 2)$ . We can find unit vectors in the same directions as follows:

$$\vec{u}_1 = \frac{\pm 1}{\|\vec{v}\|}\vec{v} = \frac{\pm 1}{\sqrt{10}} \begin{pmatrix} 3\\1 \end{pmatrix}$$
 and  $\vec{u}_2 = \frac{\pm 1}{\|\vec{w}\|}\vec{w} = \frac{\pm 1}{\sqrt{9}} \begin{pmatrix} 2\\1\\2 \end{pmatrix}$ 

To find vectors that are **perpendicular** to  $\vec{u}_1$  and  $\vec{u}_2$  we first observe that (-1,3) is perpendicular to  $\vec{v} = (3,1)$  (and also to  $\vec{u}_1$ ) because their dot product is zero:

$$\begin{pmatrix} -1\\ 3 \end{pmatrix} \bullet \begin{pmatrix} 3\\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = (-1)3 + 3(1) = 0.$$

Then to find a **unit** vector perpendicular to  $\vec{u}_1$  we take

$$\vec{U}_1 = \frac{\pm 1}{\left\| \begin{pmatrix} -1\\ 3 \end{pmatrix} \right\|} \begin{pmatrix} -1\\ 3 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\pm 1}{\sqrt{10}} \begin{pmatrix} -1\\ 3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

To find a vector perpendicular to  $\vec{w} = (2, 1, 2)$  consider any  $\vec{x} = (x, y, z)$  and suppose that we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2\\1\\2 \end{pmatrix} \bullet \begin{pmatrix} x\\y\\z \end{pmatrix} = 0$$
$$2x + y + 2z = 0.$$

There is a whole plane of possible solutions to we just pick one at random: say  $\vec{x} = (1, 0, -1)$ . Then we define

$$\vec{U}_2 = \frac{\pm 1}{\left\| \begin{pmatrix} 1\\0\\-1 \end{pmatrix} \right\|} \begin{pmatrix} 1\\0\\-1 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\pm 1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1\\0\\-1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

1.2.6.

- (a) Describe every vector  $\vec{w} = (w_1, w_2)$  that is perpendicular to  $\vec{v} = (2, -1)$ . They form a line. The equation of the line is  $2w_1 - w_2 = 0$ .
- (b) The vectors that are pendicular to  $\vec{V} = (1, 1, 1)$  lie on a plane.
- (c) The vectors that are perpendicular to (1, 1, 1) and (1, 2, 3) lie on a line.

Reason: The vectors perpendicular to (1,1,1) form a plane and the vectors perpendicular to (1,2,3) form a different plane. The vectors perpendicular to both form the intersection of these two planes, which is a line. In class we showed that this line can be described as t(1,-2,1), where the vector (1,-2,1) is called the *cross product* of (1,1,1) and (1,2,3).

**1.2.7.** Find the angle  $\theta$  between each pair of vectors  $\vec{v}, \vec{w}$ . Recall that the angle satisfies the equation

$$\cos \theta = \frac{\vec{v} \bullet \vec{w}}{\|v\| \cdot \|\vec{w}\|} = \frac{\vec{v} \bullet \vec{w}}{\sqrt{\vec{v} \bullet \vec{v}} \cdot \sqrt{\vec{w} \bullet \vec{w}}}$$

(a) For  $\vec{v} = (1, \sqrt{3})$  and  $\vec{w} = (1, 0)$  we have  $\vec{v} \bullet \vec{v} = 4$ ,  $\vec{w} \bullet \vec{w} = 1$  and  $\vec{v} \bullet \vec{w} = 1$ . Hence we get

$$\cos\theta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4} \cdot \sqrt{1}} = \frac{1}{2} \implies \theta = \pm 60^\circ$$

(b) For  $\vec{v} = (2, 2, -1)$  and  $\vec{w} = (2, -1, 2)$  we have  $\vec{v} \bullet \vec{v} = 9$ ,  $\vec{w} \bullet \vec{w} = 9$  and  $\vec{v} \bullet \vec{w} = 0$ . Hence we get

$$\cos\theta = \frac{0}{\sqrt{9} \cdot \sqrt{9}} = 0 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \theta = \pm 90^{\circ}$$

(c) For  $\vec{v} = (1, \sqrt{3})$  and  $\vec{w} = (-1, \sqrt{3})$  we have  $\vec{v} \bullet \vec{v} = 4$ ,  $\vec{w} \bullet \vec{w} = 4$  and  $\vec{v} \bullet \vec{w} = 2$ . Hence we get

$$\cos \theta = \frac{2}{\sqrt{4} \cdot \sqrt{4}} = \frac{1}{2} \implies \theta = \pm 60^{\circ}$$

(d) For  $\vec{v} = (3,1)$  and  $\vec{w} = (-1,-2)$  we have  $\vec{v} \bullet \vec{v} = 10$ ,  $\vec{w} \bullet \vec{w} = 5$  and  $\vec{v} \bullet \vec{w} = -5$ . Hence we get

$$\cos\theta = \frac{-5}{\sqrt{10} \cdot \sqrt{5}} = \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}} \implies \theta = \pm 135^{\circ}$$

- 1.2.8. True or False?
  - (a) If  $\vec{u}$  is perpendicular (in three dimensions) to  $\vec{v}$  and  $\vec{w}$ , those vectors  $\vec{v}$  and  $\vec{w}$  are parallel. Algebraically we assume that  $\vec{u} \bullet \vec{v} = 0$  and  $\vec{u} \bullet \vec{w} = 0$  and the statement is that there exists some scalar t such that  $\vec{v} = t\vec{w}$ .

FALSE. For example, consider the standard basis vectors  $\vec{u} = (1, 0, 0)$ ,  $\vec{v} = (0, 1, 0)$ and  $\vec{w} = (0, 0, 1)$ . Then  $\vec{u}$  is perpendicular to both  $\vec{v}$  and  $\vec{w}$  because

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1\\0\\0 \end{pmatrix} \bullet \begin{pmatrix} 0\\1\\0 \end{pmatrix} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1\\0\\0 \end{pmatrix} \bullet \begin{pmatrix} 0\\0\\1 \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

However,  $\vec{v}$  and  $\vec{w}$  are not parallel because there is no scalar t for which

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0\\1\\0 \end{pmatrix} = t \begin{pmatrix} 0\\0\\1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0\\0\\t \end{pmatrix}.$$

The reason is because  $1 \neq 0$ . [Remark: The same statement is TRUE for vectors in the plane. Can you prove it?]

(b) If  $\vec{u}$  is perpendicular to  $\vec{v}$  and  $\vec{w}$ , then  $\vec{u}$  is perpendicular to  $\vec{v} + 2\vec{w}$ . Algebraically we assume that  $\vec{u} \bullet \vec{v} = 0$  and  $\vec{u} \bullet \vec{w} = 0$  and the statement says that  $\vec{u} \bullet (\vec{v} + 2\vec{w}) = 0$ . This is TRUE because

$$\vec{u} \bullet (\vec{v} + 2\vec{w}) = (\vec{u} \bullet \vec{v}) + 2(\vec{u} \bullet \vec{w}) = 0 + 2(0) = 0.$$

(c) If  $\vec{u}$  and  $\vec{v}$  are perpendicular unit vectors then  $\|\vec{u} - \vec{v}\| = \sqrt{2}$ . TRUE. The fact that  $\vec{u}$  and  $\vec{v}$  are perpendicular unit vectors means that  $\vec{u} \bullet \vec{u} = 1$ ,  $\vec{u} \bullet \vec{v} = 0$  and  $\vec{v} \bullet \vec{v} = 0$ . Then we have

$$\|\vec{u} - \vec{v}\|^2 = (\vec{u} - \vec{v}) \bullet (\vec{u} - \vec{v})$$
  
=  $\vec{u} \bullet \vec{u} - 2(\vec{u} \bullet \vec{v}) + \vec{v} \bullet \vec{v}$   
=  $1 - 2(0) + 1$   
= 2.