CHROMATIC QUASISYMMETRIC FUNCTIONS

JOHN SHARESHIAN[1] AND MICHELLE L. WACHS[2]

ABSTRACT. We introduce a quasisymmetric refinement of Stanley's chromatic symmetric function. We derive refinements of both Gasharov's Schur-basis expansion of the chromatic symmetric function and Chow's expansion in Gessel's basis of fundamental quasisymmetric functions. We present a conjectural refinement of Stanley's power sum basis expansion, which we prove in special cases. We describe connections between the chromatic quasisymmetric function and both the q-Eulerian polynomials introduced in our earlier work and, conjecturally, representations of symmetric groups on cohomology of regular semisimple Hessenberg varieties, which have been studied by Tymoczko and others. We discuss an approach, using the results and conjectures herein, to the e-positivity conjecture of Stanley and Stembridge for incomparability graphs of $(3 + 1)$-free posets.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction

We study a quasisymmetric refinement of Stanley's chromatic symmetric function. We present refined results for our quasisymmetric functions, some proved herein and some conjectured, analogous to known results and conjectures of Chow, Gasharov, Stanley and Stanley-Stembridge on chromatic symmetric functions. We present also a conjecture relating our work to work of Tymoczko and others on representations of symmetric groups on the cohomology of regular semisimple Hessenberg varieties. Some of the results in this paper were presented, without proof, in our survey paper [ShWa3]. We assume throughout that the reader is familiar with basic properties of symmetric and quasisymmetric functions, as discussed in [St3, Chapter 7].

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph. Given a subset S of the set $\mathbb{P}$ of positive integers, a *proper S-coloring* of G is a function $\kappa : V \to S$ such that $\kappa(i) \neq \kappa(j)$ whenever $\{i, j\} \in E$. Let $\mathcal{C}(G)$ be the set of proper $\mathbb{P}$-colorings of G. In [St4], Stanley defined the *chromatic symmetric function* of G as

$$X_G(\mathbf{x}) := \sum_{\kappa \in \mathcal{C}(G)} \mathbf{x}_\kappa,$$

where $\mathbf{x} := (x_1, x_2, \dots)$ is a sequence of commuting indeterminants and $\mathbf{x}_\kappa := \prod_{v \in V} x_{\kappa(v)}$.

It is straightforward to confirm that $X_G(\mathbf{x})$ lies in the $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra $\Lambda_\mathbb{Q}$ of symmetric functions in $x_1, x_2, \dots$ with rational coefficients. The chromatic symmetric function gives more information about proper colorings than the well-studied chromatic polynomial $\chi_G : \mathbb{P} \to \mathbb{N}$. (Recall that $\chi_G(m)$ is the number of proper $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$-colorings of G.) Indeed, $X_G(1^m) = \chi_G(m)$, where $X_G(1^m)$ is the specialization of $X_G(\mathbf{x})$ obtained by setting $x_i = 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $x_i = 0$ for $i > m$. Chromatic symmetric functions are studied in various papers, including [St4, St5, Ga1, Ga2, Ch1, Ch2, Wo, NW, MaMoWa, Hu, Gu1].

Some basic results on chromatic symmetric functions involve expansions in various bases for $\Lambda_\mathbb{Q}$. The bases in question are indexed naturally by the set Par of all integer partitions. If $B = (b_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \mathrm{Par}}$ is such a basis and the coefficients of $f \in \Lambda_\mathbb{Q}$ with respect to B are all nonnegative, we say that f is b-positive. Bases of this type appearing herein are the elementary basis $(e_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \mathrm{Par}}$, the complete homogeneous basis $(h_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \mathrm{Par}}$, the Schur basis $(s_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \mathrm{Par}}$ and the power sum basis $(p_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \mathrm{Par}}$.

A main motivation for our study is to understand the conjecture of Stanley-Stembridge stated below and a related theorem of Gasharov discussed in Section 6. Recall that the *incomparability graph* inc(P) of a poset P has as its vertices the elements of P, with edges connecting

pairs of incomparable elements, and that P is called $(r + s)$-*free* if P does not contain an induced subposet isomorphic to the direct sum of an r element chain and an s element chain.

Conjecture 1.1 (Stanley-Stembridge conjecture [StSte, Conjecture 5.5], [St4, Conjecture 5.1])**.** *Let* $G = (V, E)$ *be the incomparability graph of a* $(3 + 1)$-*free poset. Then* $X_G(\mathbf{x})$ *is e-positive.*

A weaker result stating that $X_G(\mathbf{x})$ is Schur-positive, when G is as in the conjecture, follows from results of Haiman [Ha]. Gasharov [Ga1] proves this result by finding a formula for the coefficients of the Schur functions in the Schur-expansion of $X_G(\mathbf{x})$. Schur-positivity means that $X_G(\mathbf{x})$ is the Frobenius characteristic of a representation of the symmetric group. The Stanley-Stembridge conjecture asserts that this representation is a direct sum of representations induced from sign characters of Young subgroups.

Our refinement is as follows.

Definition 1.2. Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph whose vertex set V is a finite subset of $\mathbb{P}$. The *chromatic quasisymmetric function* of G is

$$X_G(\mathbf{x}, t) := \sum_{\kappa \in \mathcal{C}(G)} t^{\mathrm{asc}(\kappa)} \mathbf{x}_\kappa,$$

where

$$\mathrm{asc}(\kappa) := |\{\{i, j\} \in E : i < j \text{ and } \kappa(i) < \kappa(j)\}|.$$

It is straightforward to confirm that $X_G(\mathbf{x}, t)$ lies in the ring $\mathrm{QSym}_{\mathbb{Q}}[t]$. That is, $X_G(\mathbf{x}, t)$ is a polynomial in t with coefficients in the ring $\mathrm{QSym}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ of quasisymmetric functions in $x_1, x_2, \ldots$ with rational coefficients. (We can also think of $X_G(\mathbf{x}, t)$ as lying in the ring $\mathrm{QSym}_{\mathbb{Q}[t]}$ of quasisymmetric functions with coefficients in the polynomial ring $\mathbb{Q}[t]$, but the first interpretation is more natural for our purposes.)

Note that the coefficients of $X_G(\mathbf{x}, t)$ need not be symmetric functions and that $X_G(\mathbf{x}, t)$ depends not only on the isomorphism type of G but on the pair (V, E). Indeed, we will see in Example 3.2(a) that if G is the path $1 - 3 - 2$ then the coefficients of $X_G(\mathbf{x}, t)$ are not symmetric, while in Example 3.2(b) we will see that if G is the path $1 - 2 - 3$ then the coefficients of $X_G(\mathbf{x}, t)$ are indeed symmetric functions.

The graph $1 - 2 - 3$ belongs to a class that will be of most interest herein. A *natural unit interval order* is obtained as follows. Choose a finite set of closed intervals $[a_i, a_i + 1]$ $(1 \leq i \leq n)$ of length one on the real line, with $a_i < a_{i+1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$. The associated natural unit interval order P is the poset on $[n] := \{1, \ldots, n\}$ in which $i <_P j$ if

$a_i+1 < a_j$. A natural unit interval order is both (3+1)-free and (2+2)-free. We show in Section 4 that if G is the incomparability graph of a natural unit interval order then the coefficients of $X_G(\mathbf{x}, t)$ are symmetric functions and form a palindromic sequence. This leads us to the following refinement of the unit interval order case of Conjecture 1.1. (This special case of Conjecture 1.1 is equivalent to a conjecture of Stembridge on immanants [Ste1, Conjecture 4.4]; see [StSte, (5.1)].)

Conjecture 1.3. *Let G be the incomparability graph of a natural unit interval order. Then the palindromic polynomial $X_G(\mathbf{x}, t)$ is e-positive and e-unimodal. That is, if $X_G(\mathbf{x}, t) = \sum_{j=0}^{m} a_j(\mathbf{x})t^j$ then $a_j(\mathbf{x})$ is e-positive for all j, and $a_{j+1}(\mathbf{x}) - a_j(\mathbf{x})$ is e-positive whenever $0 \leq j < \frac{m-1}{2}$.*

Conjecture 1.3 applies to posets that are both (3+1)-free and (2+2)-free, while Conjecture 1.1 applies to the much larger class of (3+1)-free posets. However, Guay-Paquet shows in [Gu1] that if Conjecture 1.1 holds for posets that are both (3+1)-free and (2+2)-free, then it holds for all $(3 + 1)$-free posets. So, Conjecture 1.3 implies Conjecture 1.1.

In Section 6, we prove the weaker result that each $a_j(\mathbf{x})$ in Conjecture 1.3 is Schur-positive by giving a formula for the coefficients of the Schur functions in the Schur-expansion of $a_j(\mathbf{x})$, which refines Gasharov's formula. It follows that each $a_j(\mathbf{x})$ is the Frobenius characteristic of a representation of the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_n$. As with Conjecture 1.1, our conjecture asserts that each such representation is the direct sum of representations induced from sign representations of Young subgroups. Thus we can consider $X_G(\mathbf{x}, t)$ to be a graded (by t) representation of $\mathfrak{S}_n$.

The palindromicity of $X_G(\mathbf{x}, t)$, when G is the incomparability graph of a natural unit interval order, suggests that $X_G(\mathbf{x}, t)$ might be the Frobenius characteristic of the representation of $\mathfrak{S}_n$ on the cohomology of some manifold. Conjecture 1.4 below says that this is essentially the case.

For any $n \in \mathbb{P}$, there is a natural bijection between the set of natural unit interval orders on the set $[n]$ and the set of regular semisimple Hessenberg varieties of type A_{n-1}. Such varieties were studied by De Mari and Shayman in [DeSh]. Regular semisimple Hessenberg varieties associated to arbitrary crystallographic root systems were studied by De Mari, Procesi and Shayman in [DePrSh]. A regular semisimple Hessenberg variety of type A_{n-1} admits an action of an n-dimensional torus. This action satisfies the conditions necessary to apply the theory given by Goresky, Kottwitz and MacPherson in [GoKoMacP], in order to determine the cohomology of the variety. As observed by Tymoczko

in [Ty3], the moment graph arising from this action admits an action of $\mathfrak{S}_n$, and this determines a representation of $\mathfrak{S}_n$ on the cohomology of the variety. This cohomology is concentrated in even dimensions.

As is standard, we write ω for the involution on $\Lambda_\mathbb{Q}$ that exchanges e_λ and h_λ.

Conjecture 1.4. [1] *Let P be a natural unit interval order with incomparability graph $G = (V, E)$, and let $\mathcal{H}(P)$ be the associated regular semisimple Hessenberg variety. Then*

$$(1.1) \qquad \omega X_G(\mathbf{x}, t) = \sum_{j=0}^{|E|} \mathrm{ch} H^{2j}(\mathcal{H}(P)) t^j,$$

where $\mathrm{ch} H^{2j}(\mathcal{H}(P))$ is the Frobenius characteristic of the representation of $\mathfrak{S}_n$ on the $2j^{th}$ cohomology group of $\mathcal{H}(P)$ described in [Ty3].

The truth of Conjecture 1.4 would give another proof of Schur-positivity of $X_G(\mathbf{x}, t)$. In addition, combined with the hard Lefschetz theorem, it would establish Schur-unimodality, which is still open. We have considerable evidence for Conjecture 1.4. As shown in Sections 9 and 10, $H^{2j}(\mathcal{H}(P))$ and the inverse Frobenius characteristic of the coefficient of t^j in $\omega X_G(\mathbf{x}, t)$ have the same dimension. Moreover, an interesting special case of the conjecture is known to be true.

For each positive integer n, the path $G_n := 1 - 2 - \cdots - n$ is the incomparability graph of a natural unit interval order. The chromatic quasisymmetric function $X_{G_n}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ has been studied in various guises and it is the motivating example behind our work. It is the descent enumerator for Smirnov words of length n, that is, words over the alphabet $\mathbb{P}$, with no equal adjacent letters. This is the context in which the formula

$$(1.2) \qquad \sum_{n \geq 0} X_{G_n}(\mathbf{x}, t) z^n = \frac{(1-t)E(z)}{E(zt) - tE(z)},$$

which refines a formula of Carlitz, Scoville, and Vaughan [CaScVa], was obtained by Stanley (see [ShWa2, Theorem 7.2]). (Here $E(z) := \sum_{n \geq 0} e_n(\mathbf{x}) z^n$.) It follows from (1.2) and an obeservation of Haiman [Ha] that $X_{G_n}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ is e-positive and e-unimodal.

In another direction, Stanley [St1, Proposition 7.7] used a recurrence of Procesi [Pr] to show that the right hand side of (1.2), with $E(z)$ replaced by $H(z) := \sum_{n \geq 0} h_n(\mathbf{x}) z^n$, is equal to the generating function for the Frobenius characteristic of the representation of $\mathfrak{S}_n$ on the cohomology of the toric variety $\mathcal{V}_n$ associated with the Coxeter

---

[1]See Section 11: *Recent developments*

complex of type A_{n-1}. As noted in [DePrSh], the regular semisimple Hessenberg variety associated to the natural unit interval order with incomparability graph G_n is $\mathcal{V}_n$. It follows that

$$(1.3) \qquad \omega X_{G_n}(\mathbf{x}, t) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \mathrm{ch} H^{2j}(\mathcal{V}_n) t^j,$$

as predicted by Conjecture 1.4.

For a graph $G = ([n], E)$ and a permutation $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, define $\mathrm{inv}_G(\sigma)$ to be the number of edges $\{\sigma(i), \sigma(j)\} \in E$ such that $1 \le i < j \le n$ and $\sigma(i) > \sigma(j)$. (So, inv_G generalizes the well-studied inversion statistic.) It follows from results in [DeSh] and [DePrSh] (see [St1, Proposition 7.7]) that if natural unit interval order P has incomparability graph G then the Poincaré polynomial for $\mathcal{H}(P)$ is

$$(1.4) \qquad \sum_{j=0}^{|E|} \dim H^{2j}(\mathcal{H}(P)) t^j = A_G(t) := \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} t^{\mathrm{inv}_G(\sigma)}.$$

When $G = G_n$, $\mathrm{inv}_G(\sigma)$ is the inverse descent number $\mathrm{des}(\sigma^{-1})$. Hence $A_{G_n}(t)$ is an Eulerian polynomial. For this reason $A_G(t)$ is referred to as a generalized Eulerian polynomial in [DeSh]. In this paper, we study a q-analog $A_G(q, t)$ of $A_G(t)$ defined by pairing inv_G with a classical Mahonian permutation statistic of Rawlings [Ra]. We prove that

$$(1.5) \qquad A_G(q, t) = (q; q)_n \mathbf{ps}(\omega X_G(\mathbf{x}, t)),$$

where $\mathbf{ps}$ denotes the stable principal specialization and $(p; q)_n = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1}(1 - pq^i)$. We use this and results in [ShWa2] to show that $A_{G_n}(q, t)$ equals the q-Eulerian polynomial

$$A_n(q, t) := \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} q^{\mathrm{maj}(\sigma) - \mathrm{exc}(\sigma)} t^{\mathrm{exc}(\sigma)}$$

introduced in [ShWa1, ShWa2].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we discuss some basic properties of $X_G(\mathbf{x}, t)$. While the easy results in this Section hold for arbitrary graphs on subsets of $\mathbb{P}$, the results in the remainder of the paper concern incomparability graphs of posets. In Section 3 we describe the expansion of $X_G(\mathbf{x}, t)$ in Gessel's basis of fundamental quasisymmetric functions. When t is set equal to 1, this reduces to Chow's [Ch2, Corollary 2] expansion of $X_G(\mathbf{x})$. The expansion in the basis of fundamental quasisymmetric functions is used in Section 9 to obtain (1.5) and other results on $A_G(q, t)$.

In Section 4 we give various characterizations of the natural unit interval orders and discuss some of their properties. Here we prove

that if G is the incomparability graph of a natural unit interval order then $X_G(\mathbf{x}, t)$ is symmetric in $\mathbf{x}$. In the remaining sections of the paper, G is assumed to be the incomparability graph of a natural unit interval order. In Section 5 we discuss Conjecture 1.3.

Section 6 contains the proof of our refinement of Gasharov's result giving the coefficients in the Schur basis expansion of $X_G(\mathbf{x}, t)$ in terms of a type of tableau called a P-tableau. From this we prove that the coefficient of s_{1^n} is $\prod_{i=1}^{n-1}[1 + a_i]_t$, where $a_i := |\{\{i, j\} \in E : i < j\}|$ and $[k]_t$ denotes the t-number $1 + t + \cdots + t^{k-1}$. Thus this coefficient is a palindromic, unimodal polynomial, as follows from a standard tool discussed in Appendix B.

Expansion in the power sum basis is discussed in Section 7. We use our refinement of Gasharov's expansion, the Murnaghan-Nakayama rule, and combinatorial manipulations to prove that the coefficient of $\frac{1}{n}p_n$ in the power sum basis expansion of $\omega X_G(\mathbf{x}, t)$ is $[n]_t \prod_{j=2}^{n}[b_j]_t$, where $b_j = |\{\{i, j\} \in E : i < j\}|$. Since this coefficient is the same as the coefficient of e_n in the e-basis expansion of $X_G(\mathbf{x}, t)$, this product formula gives some additional support for Conjecture 1.3. Again we can conclude that the coefficient is a palindromic, unimodal polynomial. We also present two versions of a conjectured combinatorial formula for the coefficient of p_λ in the p-basis expansion for arbitrary partitions λ. It can be shown that upon setting $t = 1$, this formula reduces to a result of Stanley in [St4].

In Section 8 we consider certain natural unit interval orders P having very few pairs of comparable elements, and obtain explicit formulae for all the coefficients in the Schur basis and e-basis expansions of $X_{\mathrm{inc}(P)}$ as sums of products of t-numbers. Once again, e-unimodality (and palindromicity) are evident from these formulas.

In Section 9 we examine specializations of $\omega X_G(\mathbf{x}, t)$. The stable principal specialization yields, by (1.5), the generalized q-Eulerian polynomials $A_G(q, t)$ and the nonstable principal specialization yields the more general generalized (q, p)-Eulerian polynomials. We observe that Conjecture 1.3 (or the weaker Schur-unimodality conjecture[2]) implies the conjecture that $A_G(q, t)$ is q-unimodal, an assertion that is true for $G = G_n$. The assertion is also true when we set $q = 1$ by (1.4) and the hard Lefschetz theorem. We prove that when we set q equal to any *primitive* nth root of unity ξ_n, $A_G(q, t)$ is a unimodal (and palindromic) polynomial in t with nonnegative integer coefficients by deriving an explicit formula for $A_G(\xi_n, t)$. We conjecture that the same is true for any nth root of unity, which was shown to be true for $G = G_n$ in [SaShWa].

----

[2]See Section 11: *Recent developments*

In Section 10 we describe the connection with Hessenberg varieties. In particular Conjecture 1.4 and its consequences are discussed.

In the appendices we give background information on permutation statistics, q-unimodality of the q-Eulerian numbers, and e-unimodality of the Smirnov word enumerators. In Appendix C we derive an explicit formula for the q-Eulerian numbers from which palindromicity and q-unimodality are evident. An analogous formula for the Smirnov word enumerator is also given.

2. Basic properties and examples

All terms used but not defined in this paper are defined in [St2] or [St3]. For any ring R, let QSym_R be the R-algebra of quasisymmetric functions in variables $x_1, x_2, \ldots$ with coefficients in R and let QSym_R^n denote the R-submodule of QSym_R consisting of homogeneous quasisymmetric functions of degree n.

The following results are immediate consequences of Definition 1.2.

Proposition 2.1. *Let G be a graph on a subset of $\mathbb{P}$ of size n. Then $X_G(\mathbf{x}, t) \in \mathrm{QSym}_{\mathbb{Z}}^n[t]$.*

Proposition 2.2. *Let G and H be graphs on disjoint finite subsets of $\mathbb{P}$ and let $G + H$ be the disjoint union of G and H. Then*

$$X_{G+H}(\mathbf{x}, t) = X_G(\mathbf{x}, t) X_H(\mathbf{x}, t).$$

Suppose $G = (V, E)$, where $V = \{v_1 < \cdots < v_n\} \subseteq \mathbb{P}$. Then the isomorphic graph $G' = ([n], E')$, where $E' = \{\{i, j\} \in \binom{[n]}{2} : \{v_i, v_j\} \in E\}$, has the same chromatic quasisymmetric function as G; that is

$$X_G(\mathbf{x}, t) = X_{G'}(\mathbf{x}, t).$$

Hence, we may, without loss of generality, restrict our attention to graphs on vertex set $[n]$.

For $n \geq 0$, the t-analogs of n and $n!$ are defined as,

$$[n]_t := 1 + t + t^2 + \cdots + t^{n-1} \quad \text{and} \quad [n]_t! := \prod_{i=1}^n [i]_t.$$

Example 2.3. Let $G = ([n], \emptyset)$. Since every map $\kappa : [n] \to \mathbb{P}$ is a proper coloring, we have

$$(2.1) \qquad\qquad X_G(\mathbf{x}, t) = e_1^n.$$

Example 2.4. Let $G = ([n], \binom{[n]}{2})$. Since G is the complete graph, each proper coloring κ is an injective map and there is a unique permutation

$\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ whose letters appear in the same relative order as $\kappa(n), \kappa(n-1), \ldots, \kappa(1)$. Clearly $\mathrm{inv}(\sigma) = \mathrm{asc}(\kappa)$. It follows from (A.2) that

$$(2.2) \qquad X_G(\mathbf{x}, t) = e_n \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} t^{\mathrm{inv}(\sigma)} = [n]_t! \, e_n.$$

Example 2.5. Let $G_n = ([n], \{\{i, i+1\} : i \in [n-1]\})$. Then G_n is the path $1 - 2 - \cdots - n$. To each proper $\mathbb{P}$-coloring κ of G_n one can associate the word $w(\kappa) := \kappa(n), \kappa(n-1), \ldots, \kappa(1)$. Such words are characterized by the property that no adjacent letters are equal and are sometimes referred to as *Smirnov words*. Note that $\mathrm{asc}(\kappa)$ counts descents of $w(\kappa)$. The descent enumerator $W_n(\mathbf{x}, t)$ for Smirnov words is discussed in Appendix C.2. Since

$$(2.3) \qquad X_{G_n}(\mathbf{x}, t) = W_n(\mathbf{x}, t),$$

by Theorem C.3 we have,

$$(2.4) \qquad 1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} X_{G_n}(\mathbf{x}, t) z^n = \frac{(1-t)E(z)}{E(zt) - tE(z)},$$

where $E(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} e_n(\mathbf{x}) z^n$.

In the examples above, the polynomial $X_G(\mathbf{x}, t)$ is symmetric in $\mathbf{x}$. This is not always the case, see Example 3.2(a).

Let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k)$ be a composition and let α^{rev} denote the reverse composition $(\alpha_k, \ldots, \alpha_1)$. The monomial quasisymmetric function $M_\alpha(\mathbf{x})$ is defined by

$$M_\alpha(\mathbf{x}) := \sum_{i_1 < \cdots < i_k} x_{i_1}^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_{i_k}^{\alpha_k}.$$

Let $\rho : \mathrm{QSym}_{\mathbb{Z}} \to \mathrm{QSym}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ be the involution defined on the basis of monomial quasisymmetric functions by $\rho(M_\alpha) = M_{\alpha^{\mathsf{rev}}}$ for each composition α. Note that every symmetric function is fixed by ρ. Extend the involution ρ to $\mathrm{QSym}_{\mathbb{Z}}[t]$.

Proposition 2.6. *For all graphs $G = (V, E)$, where V is a finite subset of $\mathbb{P}$,*

$$\rho(X_G(\mathbf{x}, t)) = t^{|E|} X_G(\mathbf{x}, t^{-1}).$$

Proof. We construct an involution γ on $\mathcal{C}(G)$. For $\kappa \in \mathcal{C}(G)$, let $\gamma(\kappa) : V \to \mathbb{P}$ be the coloring defined by letting $\gamma(\kappa)(v) = m_1 + m_2 - \kappa(v)$, for each $v \in V$, where $m_1 = \min_{u \in V} \kappa(u)$ and $m_2 = \max_{u \in V} \kappa(u)$. It is clear that $\gamma(\kappa)$ is a proper coloring and that $\mathrm{asc}(\gamma(\kappa)) = |E| - \mathrm{asc}(\kappa)$. This implies that the coefficient of $x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_2} \ldots x_m^{\alpha_m} t^j$ in $X_G(\mathbf{x}, t)$ equals the coefficient of $x_m^{\alpha_1} x_{m-1}^{\alpha_2} \ldots x_1^{\alpha_m} t^{|E|-j}$ in $X_G(\mathbf{x}, t)$ for all $j \in$

$\{0, 1, \ldots, |E|\}$ and compositions $\alpha := (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_m)$ of $|V|$. It follows that the coefficient of $M_\alpha t^j$ in the expansion of $X_G(\mathbf{x}, t)$ in the basis $(M_\alpha t^j)_{\alpha,j}$ equals the coefficient of $M_{\alpha^{\mathrm{rev}}} t^{|E|-j}$ in the expansion of $X_G(\mathbf{x}, t)$, which equals the coefficient of $M_\alpha t^{|E|-j}$ in the expansion of $\rho(X_G(\mathbf{x}, t))$. Hence the desired result holds.   □

Corollary 2.7. *For all graphs* $G = (V, E)$*, where* V *is a finite subset of* $\mathbb{P}$*, we have*

$$\rho(X_G(\mathbf{x}, t)) = \sum_{\kappa \in \mathcal{C}(G)} t^{\mathrm{des}(\kappa)} \mathbf{x}_\kappa,$$

where

$$\mathrm{des}(\kappa) := |\{\{u, v\} \in E : u < v \ \text{and} \ \kappa(u) > \kappa(v)\}|.$$

Consequently if $X_G(\mathbf{x}, t) \in \Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}[t]$ *then*

(2.5)
$$X_G(\mathbf{x}, t) = \sum_{\kappa \in \mathcal{C}(G)} t^{\mathrm{des}(\kappa)} \mathbf{x}_\kappa.$$

Corollary 2.8. *If* $X_G(\mathbf{x}, t) \in \Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}[t]$ *then* $X_G(\mathbf{x}, t)$ *is palindromic in* t *with center of symmetry* $\frac{|E|}{2}$*, in the sense that* $X_G(\mathbf{x}, t) = t^{|E|} X_G(\mathbf{x}, t^{-1})$ *(see Definition B.2).*

3. Expansion in the fundamental basis

From now on we assume that a given graph G is the incomparability graph $\mathrm{inc}(P)$ of a poset P on some finite subset of $\mathbb{P}$. In this section we refine Chow's [Ch2, Corollary 2] expansion of $X_G(\mathbf{x})$ in Gessel's basis of fundamental quasisymmetric functions. Expansion in the basis of fundamental quasisymmetric functions is a useful tool for obtaining results about permutation statistics via specialization. This is discussed in Section 9.

For $n \in \mathbb{P}$ and $S \subseteq [n-1]$, let $D(S)$ be the set of all functions $f : [n] \to \mathbb{P}$ such that

- $f(i) \geq f(i+1)$ for all $i \in [n-1]$, and
- $f(i) > f(i+1)$ for all $i \in S$.

The *fundamental quasisymmetric function* associated with $S \subseteq [n]$ is defined as[3]

$$F_{n,S} := \sum_{f \in D(S)} \mathbf{x}_f,$$

---

[3]This is a nonstandard version of Gessel's fundamental quasisymmetric function. Our $F_{n,S}$ is equal to $L_{\alpha(S)}$ in [St3], where $\alpha(S)$ is the reverse of the composition associated with S.

where $\mathbf{x}_f := x_{f(1)}x_{f(2)}\cdots x_{f(n)}$. It is straightforward to confirm that $F_{n,S} \in \mathrm{QSym}_{\mathbb{Z}}^n$. In fact (see [St3, Proposition 7.19.1]), $\{F_{n,S} : S \subseteq [n-1]\}$ is a basis for $\mathrm{QSym}_{\mathbb{Z}}^n$.

For a graph $G := ([n], E)$, a G-inversion of a permutation $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ is an edge $\{\sigma(i), \sigma(j)\} \in E$ such that $i < j$ and $\sigma(i) > \sigma(j)$. Let $\mathrm{inv}_G(\sigma)$ be the number of G-inversions of σ; that is

$$(3.1) \quad \mathrm{inv}_G(\sigma) := |\{\{\sigma(i), \sigma(j)\} \in E : 1 \le i < j \le n, \ \sigma(i) > \sigma(j)\}|.$$

For a poset P on $[n]$ and $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, define the P-descent set of σ as

$$(3.2) \qquad \mathrm{DES}_P(\sigma) := \{i \in [n-1] : \sigma(i) >_P \sigma(i+1)\}.$$

Clearly, when G is the complete graph, inv_G is the usual inv statistic and when P is the chain $1 <_P \cdots <_P n$, DES_P is the usual descent set DES (see Appendix A).

We define ω to be the involution on $\mathrm{QSym}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ that maps $F_{n,S}$ to $F_{n,[n-1]\setminus S}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $S \subseteq [n-1]$.

Note that the restriction of ω to $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is the involution mapping h_n to e_n for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let G be the incomparability graph of a poset P on $[n]$. Then*

$$\omega X_G(\mathbf{x}, t) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} t^{\mathrm{inv}_G(\sigma)} F_{n,\mathrm{DES}_P(\sigma)}.$$

Proof. Our proof follows the same path as the proof of Corollary 2 in [Ch2]. We use the terminology *sequencing* and *labeling* from [Ch2]. Both a sequencing and a labeling of G are bijections from $[n]$ to $[n]$, but for a sequencing, the codomain $[n]$ is viewed as the vertex set of G, and for a labeling, the domain $[n]$ is viewed as the vertex set of G.

Let $O(G)$ be the set of acyclic orientations of G. For $\bar{\mathbf{o}} \in O(G)$, let $\mathrm{asc}(\bar{\mathbf{o}})$ be the number of directed edges (i, j) of $\bar{\mathbf{o}}$ for which $i < j$, and $\mathcal{C}(\bar{\mathbf{o}})$ be the set of proper colorings $\kappa : [n] \to \mathbb{P}$ of G that are compatible with the orientation $\bar{\mathbf{o}}$ in the sense that $\kappa(i) < \kappa(j)$ whenever (i, j) is a directed edge of $\bar{\mathbf{o}}$. We have,

$$(3.3) \qquad\qquad X_G(\mathbf{x}, t) = \sum_{\bar{\mathbf{o}} \in O(G)} t^{\mathrm{asc}(\bar{\mathbf{o}})} \sum_{\kappa \in \mathcal{C}(\bar{\mathbf{o}})} \mathbf{x}_\kappa,$$

since each proper coloring κ of G is compatible with a unique acyclic orientation $\bar{\mathbf{o}}$ of G, and $\mathrm{asc}(\kappa) = \mathrm{asc}(\bar{\mathbf{o}})$.

We view each acyclic orientation $\bar{\mathbf{o}}$ of G as a poset on $[n]$ by taking the transitive closure of the relations given by the directed edges of $\bar{\mathbf{o}}$. For any labeling α of G, let $\mathcal{L}(\bar{\mathbf{o}}, \alpha)$ denote the set of all linear extensions of the labeled poset $(\bar{\mathbf{o}}, \alpha)$; that is, $\mathcal{L}(\bar{\mathbf{o}}, \alpha)$ is the set of permutations

$[\alpha(v_1),\ldots,\alpha(v_n)]$ (written in one line notation) such that if $v_i <_{\bar{\mathbf{o}}} v_j$ then $i < j$.

For each $\bar{\mathbf{o}} \in O(G)$, choose a decreasing labeling $w_{\bar{\mathbf{o}}}$ of the poset $\bar{\mathbf{o}}$, that is, choose $w_{\bar{\mathbf{o}}}$ so that $w_{\bar{\mathbf{o}}}(x) > w_{\bar{\mathbf{o}}}(y)$ if $x <_{\bar{\mathbf{o}}} y$. Given a subset $S \subseteq [n-1]$, define $n - S := \{i : n - i \in S\}$. It follows from Stanley's theory of P-partitions (see [St3, Corollary 7.19.5]) that

$$(3.4) \qquad \sum_{\kappa \in \mathcal{C}(\bar{\mathbf{o}})} \mathbf{x}_\kappa = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{L}(\bar{\mathbf{o}}, w_{\bar{\mathbf{o}}})} F_{n, n-\mathrm{DES}(\sigma)}.$$

Let e be the identity labeling of G (or $\bar{\mathbf{o}}$). Each element of $\mathcal{L}(\bar{\mathbf{o}}, e)$ is a sequencing of G. For $s \in \mathcal{L}(\bar{\mathbf{o}}, e)$, let $w_{\bar{\mathbf{o}}}s$ denote the product of $w_{\bar{\mathbf{o}}}$ and s in the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_n$. We have $\sigma \in \mathcal{L}(\bar{\mathbf{o}}, w_{\bar{\mathbf{o}}})$ if and only if $\sigma = w_{\bar{\mathbf{o}}}s$ for some sequencing $s \in \mathcal{L}(\bar{\mathbf{o}}, e)$. Hence (3.4) becomes

$$(3.5) \qquad \sum_{\kappa \in \mathcal{C}(\bar{\mathbf{o}})} \mathbf{x}_\kappa = \sum_{s \in \mathcal{L}(\bar{\mathbf{o}}, e)} F_{n, n-\mathrm{DES}(w_{\bar{\mathbf{o}}}s)}.$$

Combining (3.5) and (3.3), we obtain

$$(3.6) \qquad X_G(\mathbf{x}, t) = \sum_{\bar{\mathbf{o}} \in O(G)} t^{\mathrm{asc}(\bar{\mathbf{o}})} \sum_{s \in \mathcal{L}(\bar{\mathbf{o}}, e)} F_{n, n-\mathrm{DES}(w_{\bar{\mathbf{o}}}s)}.$$

Each sequencing s of G determines a unique acyclic orientation $\bar{\mathbf{o}}$ of G for which $s \in \mathcal{L}(\bar{\mathbf{o}}, e)$. Denote this acyclic orientation by $\bar{\mathbf{o}}(s)$. We can therefore rewrite (3.6) as

$$X_G(\mathbf{x}, t) = \sum_s t^{\mathrm{asc}(\bar{\mathbf{o}}(s))} F_{n, n-\mathrm{DES}(w_{\bar{\mathbf{o}}(s)}s)},$$

where s ranges over all sequencings of G. Note that

$$\mathrm{asc}(\bar{\mathbf{o}}(s)) = \mathrm{inv}_G(s^{\mathsf{rev}}),$$

where s^{rev} denotes the reverse of the sequence s. Hence

$$(3.7) \qquad X_G(\mathbf{x}, t) = \sum_{s \in \mathfrak{S}_n} t^{\mathrm{inv}_G(s^{\mathsf{rev}})} F_{n, n-\mathrm{DES}(w_{\bar{\mathbf{o}}(s)}s)}.$$

Up to this point, $w_{\bar{\mathbf{o}}}$ was an arbitrary decreasing labeling of the poset $\bar{\mathbf{o}}$. Now we need to use a specific decreasing labeling. For each acyclic orientation $\bar{\mathbf{o}}$ of G, we construct a decreasing labeling $\widetilde{w_{\bar{\mathbf{o}}}}$ of $\bar{\mathbf{o}}$ as follows: Since all maximal elements of $\bar{\mathbf{o}}$ are comparable in P there will always be an element among these elements that is larger than the others under P. Label this element with 1. Assuming that k elements have already been labeled, label the P-largest element in the set of maximal unlabeled elements of $\bar{\mathbf{o}}$ with $k+1$. Do this for $k = 1$ to $n-1$.

Claim: For all x, y that are incomparable in $\bar{\mathbf{o}}$, if $x <_P y$ then $\widetilde{w_{\bar{\mathbf{o}}}}(x) > \widetilde{w_{\bar{\mathbf{o}}}}(y)$.

Suppose the claim is false. Then there are incomparable x and y in $\bar{\mathbf{o}}$ such that $x <_P y$ and $\widetilde{w_{\bar{\mathbf{o}}}}(x) < \widetilde{w_{\bar{\mathbf{o}}}}(y)$. Consider the step in the construction of $\widetilde{w_{\bar{\mathbf{o}}}}$ in which x is labeled. Since $x <_P y$ and x is labeled ahead of y, there must be an unlabeled element z such that $z >_{\bar{\mathbf{o}}} y$ and $z <_P x$. Choose an $\bar{\mathbf{o}}$-minimal such z. Let

$$y <_{\bar{\mathbf{o}}} y_1 <_{\bar{\mathbf{o}}} y_2 <_{\bar{\mathbf{o}}} \cdots <_{\bar{\mathbf{o}}} y_k = z$$

be an unrefinable chain of the poset $\bar{\mathbf{o}}$.

Since z is unlabeled, the y_i's are unlabeled. By the minimality of z we have that

$$y_i \not<_P x$$

for each $i \in [k-1]$. Since x is labeled before each y_i, we have $x \not<_{\bar{\mathbf{o}}} y_i$. Since x and y are incomparable in $\bar{\mathbf{o}}$ and $y <_{\bar{\mathbf{o}}} y_i$, we have $y_i \not<_{\bar{\mathbf{o}}} x$. Hence x and y_i are incomparable in $\bar{\mathbf{o}}$ for each i. This implies that there is no edge of G joining x to any of the y_i's. It follows that x is comparable to each y_i in P. In particular x and y_{k-1} are comparable in P. Since $y_{k-1} \not<_P x$, we have $x \leq_P y_{k-1}$. Combining this with $z <_P x$ yields $z <_P y_{k-1}$, which contradicts the fact that z and y_{k-1} are joined by an edge in G. Therefore we have established the claim.

Next we use the claim to show that for any sequencing s of G,

$$(3.8) \qquad \mathrm{DES}_P(s) = [n-1] \setminus \mathrm{DES}(\widetilde{w_{\bar{\mathbf{o}}(s)}}s).$$

Let $i \in \mathrm{DES}_P(s)$. This means that $s(i) >_P s(i+1)$. Clearly $s(i)$ and $s(i+1)$ are incomparable in $\bar{\mathbf{o}}(s)$ since $s \in \mathcal{L}(\bar{\mathbf{o}}(s), e)$. Thus the claim implies that $\widetilde{w_{\bar{\mathbf{o}}(s)}}(s(i)) < \widetilde{w_{\bar{\mathbf{o}}(s)}}(s(i+1))$, which means that $i \in [n-1] \setminus \mathrm{DES}(\widetilde{w_{\bar{\mathbf{o}}(s)}}s)$. Hence, $\mathrm{DES}_P(s) \subseteq [n-1] \setminus \mathrm{DES}(\widetilde{w_{\bar{\mathbf{o}}(s)}}s)$. Conversely, if $i \in [n-1] \setminus \mathrm{DES}(\widetilde{w_{\bar{\mathbf{o}}(s)}}s)$ then $\widetilde{w_{\bar{\mathbf{o}}(s)}}(s(i)) < \widetilde{w_{\bar{\mathbf{o}}(s)}}(s(i+1))$. Since $\widetilde{w_{\bar{\mathbf{o}}(s)}}$ is a decreasing labeling, $s(i) \not\leq_{\bar{\mathbf{o}}(s)} s(i+1)$. Since $s \in \mathcal{L}(\bar{\mathbf{o}}(s), e)$, we also have $s(i+1) \not\leq_{\bar{\mathbf{o}}(s)} s(i)$. Hence $s(i)$ and $s(i+1)$ are incomparable in $\bar{\mathbf{o}}(s)$. Therefore the claim can again be applied yielding $i \in \mathrm{DES}_P(s)$. Hence $[n-1] \setminus \mathrm{DES}(\widetilde{w_{\bar{\mathbf{o}}(s)}}s) \subseteq \mathrm{DES}_P(s)$. We conclude that (3.8) holds.

By (3.7) and (3.8) we have,

$$\omega X_G(\mathbf{x}, t) = \sum_{s \in \mathfrak{S}_n} t^{\mathrm{inv}_G(s^{\mathrm{rev}})} F_{n, n - \mathrm{DES}_P(s)}.$$

For all $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, we have $n - \mathrm{DES}_P(\sigma) = \mathrm{DES}_{P^*}(\sigma^{\mathrm{rev}})$, where P^* denotes the dual of P. It follows that

$$\omega X_G(\mathbf{x}, t) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} t^{\mathrm{inv}_G(\sigma)} F_{n, \mathrm{DES}_{P^*}(\sigma)}.$$

The result now follows from $\mathrm{inc}(P^*) = \mathrm{inc}(P)$. $\qquad\qquad\square$

Example 3.2 (a)**.** Let G be the graph with vertex set $[3]$ and edge set $\{\{1,3\},\{2,3\}\}$. In other words G is the path $1 - 3 - 2$. Then $G = \text{inc}(P)$, where P is the poset on $[3]$ whose only order relation is $1 <_P 2$. We have

$\mathfrak{S}_3$	inv_G	DES_P
123	0	$\emptyset$
132	1	$\emptyset$
213	0	$\{1\}$
231	1	$\emptyset$
312	2	$\emptyset$
321	2	$\{2\}$

Hence by Theorem 3.1

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega X_G(\mathbf{x},t) &= (F_\emptyset + F_{3,\{1\}}) + 2F_\emptyset t + (F_\emptyset + F_{3,\{2\}})t^2 \\
&= (h_3 + F_{3,\{1\}}) + 2h_3 t + (h_3 + F_{3,\{2\}})t^2.
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $X_G(\mathbf{x},t)$ is not symmetric in $\mathbf{x}$ and that $X_G(\mathbf{x},t)$ is not palindromic as a polynomial in t; cf. Corollary 2.8.

(b). Now let G be the graph with vertex set $[3]$ and edge set $\{\{1,2\},\{2,3\}\}$. In other words G is the path $1-2-3$. Then $G = \text{inc}(P)$ where P is the poset on $[3]$ whose only order relation is $1 <_P 3$. We have

$\mathfrak{S}_3$	inv_G	DES_P
123	0	$\emptyset$
132	1	$\emptyset$
213	1	$\emptyset$
231	1	$\{2\}$
312	1	$\{1\}$
321	2	$\emptyset$

Hence by Theorem 3.1

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega X_G(\mathbf{x},t) &= F_{3,\emptyset} + (2F_{3,\emptyset} + F_{3,\{1\}} + F_{3,\{2\}})t + F_{3,\emptyset}t^2 \\
&= h_3 + (h_3 + h_{2,1})t + h_3 t^2.
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $X_G(\mathbf{x},t)$ is symmetric in $\mathbf{x}$ and that $X_G(\mathbf{x},t)$ is a palindromic polynomial in t; cf. Corollary 2.8.

4. Natural unit interval orders

In this section we describe a general class of posets P for which $X_{\text{inc}(P)}(\mathbf{x},t)$ is symmetric in $\mathbf{x}$.

A *unit interval order* is a poset that is isomorphic to a finite collection $\mathcal{I}$ of intervals $[a, a+1]$ on the real line, partially ordered by the relation $[a, a + 1] <_{\mathcal{I}} [b, b + 1]$ if $a + 1 < b$. A well known characterization of the unit interval orders is that they are $(2 + 2)$-free and $(3 + 1)$-free (see [ScSu]). The isomorphism classes of unit interval orders form a Catalan class, see [St3, Exercise 6.19 ddd].

Define a *natural unit interval order* to be a poset P on a finite subset of $\mathbb{P}$ that satisfies both conditions

(1) $x <_P y$ implies $x < y$ in the natural order on $\mathbb{P}$, and
(2) if the direct sum $\{x <_P z\} + \{y\}$ is an induced subposet of P then $x < y < z$ in the natural order on $\mathbb{P}$.

We provide now a useful characterization of natural unit interval orders and show that our formal definition is equivalent to the informal definition of natural unit interval orders given in the introduction.

Given a sequence $\mathbf{m} := (m_1, \ldots, m_{n-1})$ of positive integers satisfying

(a) $m_1 \leq m_2 \leq \cdots \leq m_{n-1} \leq n$, and
(b) $m_i \geq i$ for all i,

let $P(\mathbf{m})$ denote the poset on $[n]$ with order relation given by $i <_{P(\mathbf{m})} j$ if $i < n$ and $j \in \{m_i+1, m_i+2, \ldots, n\}$. (It is straightforward to confirm that the given relation does indeed make $P(\mathbf{m})$ a poset.)

Proposition 4.1. *Let P be a poset on $[n]$. The following conditions on P are equivalent.*

(A) *P is a natural unit interval order.*
(B) *$P = P(\mathbf{m})$ for some $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \ldots, m_{n-1})$ satisfying conditions (a) and (b) above.*
(C) *There exist n real numbers $y_1 < \ldots < y_n$ such that, for $i, j \in [n]$, $y_i + 1 < y_j$ if and only if $i <_P j$.*

Proof. (A) $\implies$ (B). Suppose that P is a natural unit interval order on $[n]$. For each $i \in [n-1]$, let $m_i = \max\{j \in [n] : j \not>_P i\}$. Since $i \not>_P i$, we have $m_i \geq i$. Let $x < y \in [n-1]$. We need to show $m_x \leq m_y$. Suppose $m_x > m_y$. Then by construction of m_y, we have $y <_P m_x$. We claim x is comparable to neither y nor m_x. If x is comparable to y then $x <_P y$ by condition (1) of the definition of natural unit interval order. By transitivity this implies $x <_P m_x$, which is impossible. Hence x is not comparable to y. If x is comparable to m_x then $x = m_x$, which implies $y <_P x$, contradicting condition (1). Now since x is comparable to neither y nor m_x, by condition (2) of the definition of natural unit interval order we have $y < x < m_x$, which is a contradiction. We conclude that $m_x \leq m_y$. We have shown that $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \ldots, m_{n-1})$ satisfies the conditions (a) and (b).

To show $P = P(\mathbf{m})$, we only need to show that for all $x \in [n-1]$, if y is such that $x < y < m_x$ then $x \not<_P y$. Suppose $x <_P y$. Then by condition (2) of the definition of natural unit interval order, m_x is comparable to x or y. Since m_x is not comparable to x, it must be comparable to y. By condition (1), $m_x >_P y$. By transitivity $m_x >_P x$, which is impossible. Hence our assumption $x <_P y$ is false.

(B) $\implies$ (C). Now suppose that $P = P(\mathbf{m})$ for some $\mathbf{m}$ satisfying conditions (a) and (b). We prove by induction on n that P satisfies condition (C), the base case $n = 1$ being trivial. Assume that $n > 1$. Let $\mathbf{m}' = (m'_1, \dots, m'_{n-2})$, where $m'_i = \min\{n-1, m_i\}$ for all $i \in [n-2]$. Note that the subposet of P induced on $[n-1]$ is $P(\mathbf{m}')$. By inductive hypothesis, we can find $y_1 < \dots < y_{n-1}$ such that $y_i + 1 < y_j$ if and only if $i <_{P(\mathbf{m}')} j$. Let us choose and fix such $y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}$. We may assume that there do not exist i, j with $y_j - y_i = 1$. Indeed, if such i, j exist, we may subtract some small number from y_k for each $k \geq j$ to obtain another sequence satisfying the desired conditions.

If $m_1 = n$, then P is an antichain. We can ignore our original choices and set $y_i = \frac{i}{n}$ in this case. If $m_{n-1} = n-1$ then $i <_P n$ for all $i \in [n-1]$. In this case, we can choose $y_n = y_{n-1} + 2$. If $m_1 < m_{n-1} = n$, find the smallest i such that $m_i = n$. Then i and j are incomparable in P whenever $i < j < n$, as are j and n. In particular, $y_i + 1 > y_{n-1}$. On the other hand, $j <_P n$ for all $j \in [i-1]$. In this case, we pick y_n strictly between $\max\{y_{i-1} + 1, y_{n-1}\}$ and $y_i + 1$.

(C) $\implies$ (A). Assume that P satisfies (C). If $i <_P j$ then $y_i < y_i + 1 < y_j$ and $i < j$ in the natural order. If $i <_P k$ and neither i nor k is comparable to j in P then $y_i + 1 < y_k$ and $y_j \in [y_i - 1, y_i + 1] \cap [y_k - 1, y_k + 1]$. It follows that $y_i < y_j < y_k$ and $i < j < k$ in the natural order. Therefore, P is a natural unit interval order. $\qquad\square$

It follows from the equivalence of (A) and (C) in Theorem 4.1 that every natural unit interval order is a unit interval order and that every unit interval order is isomorphic with some natural unit interval order. As mentioned above, the number of isomorphism classes of unit interval orders on n elements is the Catalan number $C_n := \frac{1}{n+1}\binom{2n}{n}$. The number of sequences of length $n-1$ satisfying conditions (a) and (b) above is also C_n. Indeed, this follows quickly from [St3, Exercise 6.19 s]. Thus we have the following result.

Proposition 4.2. *Every unit interval order is isomorphic with a unique natural unit interval order.*

Example 4.3. For each $r \in [n]$, define $P_{n,r}$ to be the poset on $[n]$ with order relation given by $i <_{P_{n,r}} j$ if $j - i \geq r$. The poset $P_{n,r}$ is an

example of a natural unit interval order. Indeed using the notation of Proposition 4.1,

$$P_{n,r} = P(r, r+1, r+2, \ldots, n, \ldots, n).$$

The incomparabilty graph $G_{n,r}$ of $P_{n,r}$ is the graph with vertex set $[n]$ and edge set $\{\{i, j\} : 0 < j - i < r\}$. Note that $G_{n,2}$ is the path $G_n := 1 - 2 - \cdots - n$. This class of examples will appear throughout the paper.

We show now that chromatic quasisymmetric functions of incomparability graphs of natural unit interval orders are symmetric functions.

Lemma 4.4. *Let G be the incomparability graph of a natural unit interval order P and let κ be a proper $\mathbb{P}$-coloring of G. For every integer a, each connected component of the induced subgraph $G_{\kappa,a}$ of G consisting of vertices colored by a or $a+1$, is a path of the form $i_1 - i_2 - \cdots - i_j$, where $i_1 < \cdots < i_j$.*

Proof. Note first that $G_{\kappa,a}$ is bipartite and therefore contains no cycle of length three. If $\{x, y\}$ and $\{y, z\}$ are edges in G and $\{x, z\}$ is not then condition (2) in the definition of natural unit interval order implies that either $x < y < z$ or $z < y < x$. It follows that if $i_1 - i_2 - \cdots - i_j$ is a path of $G_{\kappa,a}$ then either $i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_j$ or $i_1 > i_2 > \cdots > i_j$, which implies that $G_{\kappa,a}$ contains no cycle. So, every connected component of $G_{\kappa,a}$ is a tree. We claim that no vertex of $G_{\kappa,a}$ has degree larger than two, from which it follows that every connected component of $G_{\kappa,a}$ is a path with increasing vertices, as claimed. Indeed, assume for contradiction that x, y, z are all neighbors of w in $G_{\kappa,a}$. Suppose $x < w$. Then $x < w < y$ and $x < w < z$ since $x - w - y$ and $x - w - z$ are paths in $G_{\kappa,a}$. But we cannot have $w < y, z$ since $y - w - z$ is also a path in $G_{\kappa,a}$. $\square$

Theorem 4.5. *Let G be the incomparability graph of a natural unit interval order. Then*

$$X_G(\mathbf{x}, t) \in \Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}[t].$$

Proof. For each $a \in \mathbb{P}$, we construct an involution ψ_a on the set $\mathcal{C}(G)$ of proper colorings of G that, for each proper coloring, exchanges the number of occurrences of the color a with the number of occurrences of the color $a + 1$, and preserves the statistic asc. From this we may conclude that $X_G(\mathbf{x}, t)$ is symmetric in $\mathbf{x}$.

By Lemma 4.4, for $\kappa \in \mathcal{C}(G)$, each connected component of $G_{\kappa,a}$ is a path $i_1 - i_2 - \cdots - i_j$ with $i_1 < \cdots < i_j$. Let $\psi_a(\kappa)$ be the coloring of G obtained from κ by replacing each color a by $a + 1$ and each $a + 1$ by a in a connected component $i_1 - i_2 - \cdots - i_j$ of $G_{\kappa,a}$ whenever j is

odd. (If j is even leave the coloring of the component unchanged.) It is easy to see that $\psi_a(\kappa)$ is a proper coloring. Moreover, the number of occurrences of the color a in $\psi_a(\kappa)$ is equal to the number of occurrences of $a+1$ in κ, and the number of occurrences of the color $a+1$ in $\psi_a(\kappa)$ is equal to the number of occurrences of a in κ. It is also clear that $\mathrm{asc}(\kappa) = \mathrm{asc}(\psi_a(\kappa))$ on each component, since $i_1 < \cdots < i_j$. $\qquad\square$

By Corollary 2.8 we have,

Corollary 4.6. *If G is the incomparability graph of a natural unit interval order then $X_G(\mathbf{x}, t)$ is palindromic as a polynomial in t.*

Remark 4.7. The converse of Theorem 4.5 does not hold. It would be interesting to find a characterization of those graphs G for which $X_G(\mathbf{x}, t)$ is symmetric in $\mathbf{x}$.

5. Expansion in the elementary basis

Recall the graph $G_{n,r}$ given in Example 4.3. When $r = 1$, $G_{n,r}$ is the empty graph whose chromatic quasisymmetric function is e_1^n. When $r = 2$, $G_{n,r}$ is the path G_n of Example 2.5. Hence by (2.3), a closed form formula for $X_{G_{n,2}}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ is given in Theorem C.4. Formulae for some other values of r are derived in Section 8. For general r, we have only a formula for the coefficient of e_n in the e-basis expansion, which is given in Corollary 7.2. Table 1 gives a summary of these formulae. The formulae get increasingly complex and difficult to compute as r decreases from n down to 3.

r	$X_{G_{n,r}}(\mathbf{x}, t)$
1	e_1^n
2	$\displaystyle\sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor\frac{n+1}{2}\rfloor} \sum_{\substack{k_1,\ldots,k_m \geq 2 \\ \sum k_i = n+1}} e_{(k_1-1, k_2, \ldots, k_m)}\, t^{m-1}\prod_{i=1}^{m}[k_i - 1]_t$
$\vdots$	
r	$e_n[n]_t[r-1]_t^{n-r}[r-1]_t! \quad + \quad$???
$\vdots$	
$n-2$	$e_n[n]_t[n-3]_t^2[n-3]_t! + e_{(n-1,1)}\ t^{n-3}[n-4]_t!([2]_t[n-3]_t^2 + [n-1]_t[n-4]_t)$
	$+e_{(n-2,2)}t^{2n-7}[2]_t[n-4]_t!$
$n-1$	$e_n[n]_t[n-2]_t[n-2]_t! + e_{(n-1,1)}t^{n-2}[n-2]_t!$
n	$e_n[n]_t!$

TABLE 1. Expansion in the e-basis.

See Definition B.2 for the definition of b-positivity and b-unimodality of polynomials in $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}[t]$, where b is a basis for $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}$. By Proposition B.1, we see that for each formula of Table 1, the coefficient of each e_λ is a positive, unimodal and palindromic polynomial in t with the same center of symmetry. Hence by Proposition B.3 we conclude that the conjectured refinement of the Stanley-Stembridge conjecture, which we restate now, is true for $G = G_{n,r}$ when $r \leq 2$ and $r \geq n - 2$. We have also verified the conjecture by computer for $G = G_{n,r}$ for $1 \leq r \leq n \leq 8$.

Conjecture 5.1. *Let G be the incomparability graph of a natural unit interval order. Then $X_G(\mathbf{x}, t)$ is an e-positive and e-unimodal polynomial in t.*

We have the following easy consequence of Proposition 2.2 and a generalized version of Proposition B.1 for coefficient ring $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}$, which reduces Conjecture 5.1 to the case of connected graphs.

Proposition 5.2. *Let G and H be graphs on disjoint finite subsets of $\mathbb{P}$. Then $X_{G+H}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ is symmetric in $\mathbf{x}$, e-positive, e-unimodal, and palindromic if $X_G(\mathbf{x}, t)$ and $X_H(\mathbf{x}, t)$ have these properties.*

Let $\mathrm{Par}(n, j)$ be the set of partitions of n into j parts and let c_λ^G be the coefficient of e_λ in the e-basis expansion of the chromatic symmetric function $X_G(\mathbf{x})$. In [St4] Stanley proves that for any graph G whose vertex set has size n, the number of acyclic orientations of G with j sinks is equal to $\sum_{\lambda \in \mathrm{Par}(n,j)} c_\lambda^G$. The following refinement of this result provides a bit of further evidence for e-positivity of $X_G(\mathbf{x}, t)$. The proof is an easy modification of Stanley's proof and is omitted.

Theorem 5.3. *Let G be the incomparability graph of a natural unit interval order of size n. For each $\lambda \vdash n$, let $c_\lambda^G(t)$ be the coefficient of e_λ in the e-basis expansion of $X_G(\mathbf{x}, t)$. Then*

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \mathrm{Par}(n,j)} c_\lambda^G(t) = \sum_{o \in \mathcal{O}(G,j)} t^{\mathrm{asc}(o)},$$

where $\mathcal{O}(G, j)$ is the set of acyclic orientations of G with j sinks and $\mathrm{asc}(o)$ is the number of directed edges (a, b) of o for which $a < b$.

Since there is only one partition in $\mathrm{Par}(n, 1)$, Theorem 5.3 gives a combinatorial description of the coefficient $c_n^G(t)$ of e_n in the e-basis expansion of $X_G(\mathbf{x}, t)$. In Corollary 7.2 below we give a closed form formula for the coefficient.

6. EXPANSION IN THE SCHUR BASIS

In this section we refine the unit interval order case of Gasharov's Schur-positivity result [Ga1] and use the refinement to obtain a closed form formula for the coefficient of s_{1^n} in the Schur basis expansion of $X_G(\mathbf{x}, t)$.

Definition 6.1 (Gasharov [Ga1])**.** Let P be a poset of size n and λ be a partition of n. A *P-tableau of shape* λ is a filling of a Young diagram of shape λ (in English notation) with elements of P such that

- each element of P appears exactly once,
- if $y \in P$ appears immediately to the right of $x \in P$ then $y >_P x$,
- if $y \in P$ appears immediately below $x \in P$ then $y \not<_P x$.

Given a finite poset P on a subset of $\mathbb{P}$, let $\mathcal{T}_P$ be the set of all P-tableaux. For $T \in \mathcal{T}_P$ and $G = \mathrm{inc}(P)$, define a *G-inversion* of T to be an edge $\{i, j\} \in E(G)$ such that $i < j$ and i appears below j in T (not necessarily in the same column). Let $\mathrm{inv}_G(T)$ be the number of G-inversions of T and let $\lambda(T)$ be the shape of T.

Example 6.2. Let $G = G_{9,3}$ and let $P := P_{9,3}$. Then

$$T = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|}\hline 2 & 6 & 9 \\\hline 1 & 4 & 8 \\\hline 3 & 7 \\\cline{1-2} 5 \\\cline{1-1}\end{array}$$

is a P-tableau of shape $(3, 3, 2, 1)$ and

$$\mathrm{inv}_G(T) = |\{\{1, 2\}, \{3, 4\}, \{4, 6\}, \{5, 6\}, \{5, 7\}, \{7, 8\}, \{7, 9\}, \{8, 9\}\}| = 8.$$

Theorem 6.3. *Let G be the incomparability graph of a natural unit interval order P. Then*

$$X_G(\mathbf{x}, t) = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_P} t^{\mathrm{inv}_G(T)} s_{\lambda(T)}.$$

Consequently $X_G(\mathbf{x}, t)$ is Schur-positive.

Remark 6.4. Schur-unimodality of $X_G(\mathbf{x}, t)$, which is implied by Conjecture 5.1, is still open.[4] It is also consequence of Conjecture 10.1; see Proposition 10.2.

Example 6.5. Let $G := G_{3,2}$ and $P := P_{3,2}$. The P-tableaux are as follows

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 1 & 3 \\\hline 2 \\\cline{1-1}\end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{|c|}\hline 1 \\\hline 2 \\\hline 3 \\\hline\end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{|c|}\hline 1 \\\hline 3 \\\hline 2 \\\hline\end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{|c|}\hline 2 \\\hline 1 \\\hline 3 \\\hline\end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{|c|}\hline 3 \\\hline 2 \\\hline 1 \\\hline\end{array}$$

---

[4]See Section 11: *Recent developments*

For the first, third, and fourth tableau, $\text{inv}_G(T) = 1$; for the second $\text{inv}_G(T) = 0$, and for the last $\text{inv}_G(T) = 2$. Hence Theorem 6.3 yields,

$$X_G(\mathbf{x}, t) = ts_{(2,1)} + (1 + 2t + t^2)s_{1^3},$$

which is consistent with Example 3.2 (b).

Remark 6.6. Our proof of Theorem 6.3 follows closely the proof of the $t = 1$ version of this theorem due to Gasharov [Ga1]. Gasharov's proof involves a sign-reversing involution. While Gasharov's involution does not preserve the G-inversion number, we find a modified involution that does so.

For the proof of Theorem 6.3, we need a more general notion than P-tableau.

Definition 6.7 (Gasharov [Ga1])**.** Let P be a poset of size n and $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$ be a weak composition of n. A P-*array* of shape α is an $n \times n$ matrix (a_{ij}) such that each of the following conditions hold

- each a_{ij} lies in $P \uplus \{0\}$
- for each $x \in P$, there is exactly one a_{ij} equal to x
- if $j > 1$ and $a_{ij} \neq 0$, then $a_{i,j-1} \neq 0$ and $a_{i,j-1} <_P a_{ij}$
- for each $i \in [n]$, we have $|\{j \in [n] : a_{ij} \neq 0\}| = \alpha_i$.

Less formally, if we define the Young diagram of a weak composition in a manner analogous with the definition of the Young diagram of a partition, then (after removing zeroes), a P-array of shape α is a filling of the Young diagram of α with the elements of P such that each nonempty row of the diagram is filled with a chain from P written in increasing order. Note if a P-array A of shape α satisfies the additional condition

- if $i > 1$ and $a_{ij} \neq 0$ then $a_{i-1,j} \neq 0$ and $a_{i-1,j} \not>_P a_{ij}$,

then α is a partition of n and A is a P-tableau of shape α.

Given a finite poset P on a subset of $\mathbb{P}$ with $G = \text{inc}(P)$, the notion of inv_G extends to P-arrays in a natural way. For a P-array $A = (a_{ij})$, define $\text{inv}_G(A)$ to be the number of pairs (a_{ij}, a_{st}) such that each of the following holds

- $i < s$,
- $a_{ij} > a_{st} > 0$ (in the natural order on $\mathbb{P}$)
- $\{a_{ij}, a_{st}\} \in E(G)$.

Example 6.8. Let $G := G_{8,3}$ and let $P := P_{8,3}$. Then

$$A = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 5 & 8 & \\
\hline 1 & 4 & 7 \\
\hline
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|}
\hline 2 & 6 \\
\hline 3 & \\
\hline
\end{array}$$

is a P-array of shape $(2, 3, 0, 2, 1)$ and

$$\mathrm{inv}_G(A) = |\{\{2,4\},\{3,4\},\{3,5\},\{4,5\},\{6,7\},\{6,8\},\{7,8\}\}| = 7.$$

Proof of Theorem 6.3. Write

$$X_G(\mathbf{x}, t) = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathrm{Par}(n)} c_\lambda(t) s_\lambda,$$

where $\mathrm{Par}(n)$ is the set of partitions of n. For $\lambda \in \mathrm{Par}(n)$, let $\mathcal{T}_{P,\lambda}$ be the set of P-tableaux of shape λ. The claim of the theorem is that

(6.1) $$c_\lambda(t) = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_{P,\lambda}} t^{\mathrm{inv}_G(T)}.$$

To prove that (6.1) holds, we use the standard inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on the ring of symmetric functions, as defined in [St3, Section 7.9]. Pad the partition λ with zeros (if necessary) so that it has length n, so $\lambda = (\lambda_1 \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_n)$, where $\lambda_n \geq 0$. For $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, let $\pi(\lambda) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ be the n-tuple whose i^{th} entry is given by

$$\pi(\lambda)_i := \lambda_{\pi(i)} - \pi(i) + i.$$

For any $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, set $h_\alpha = \prod_{i=1}^n h_{\alpha_i}$, where $h_m = 0$ if $m < 0$ and $h_0 = 1$. So, h_α is a symmetric function. Let ϵ be the sign character of $\mathfrak{S}_n$. It follows from the Jacobi-Trudi indentity (see [St3, Theorem 7.16.1]) that

$$\begin{aligned}
c_\lambda(t) &= \langle X_G(\mathbf{x}, t), s_\lambda \rangle \\
&= \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \epsilon(\pi) \langle X_G(\mathbf{x}, t), h_{\pi(\lambda)} \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from the fact that $\langle m_\mu, h_\lambda \rangle = \delta_{\mu,\lambda}$ that $\langle X_G(\mathbf{x}, t), h_{\pi(\lambda)} \rangle$ is the coefficient of $\prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{\pi(\lambda)_i}$ in $X_G(\mathbf{x}, t)$. Hence by (2.5),

$$c_\lambda(t) = \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \epsilon(\pi) \sum_{\kappa \in \mathcal{C}_{\pi(\lambda)}(G)} t^{\mathrm{des}(\kappa)},$$

where $\mathcal{C}_{\pi(\lambda)}(G)$ is the set of proper $[n]$-colorings of G with $\pi(\lambda)_i$ occurrences of the color i for each $i \in [n]$.

For each proper $[n]$-coloring κ of G, we define $A(\kappa)$ to be the unique $n \times n$ P-array whose i^{th} row contains the elements of $\kappa^{-1}(i)$, for each $i \in [n]$. Note that

(6.2) $$\operatorname{des}(\kappa) = \operatorname{inv}_G(A(\kappa)).$$

Note also that for each $n \times n$ P-array A, there is a unique proper $[n]$-coloring κ of G with $A(\kappa) = A$.

Let $\mathcal{A}_{P,\lambda}$ be the set of all pairs (A, π) such that

- $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n$
- A is a P-array of shape $\pi(\lambda)$.

We see now that

(6.3) $$c_\lambda(t) = \sum_{(A,\pi) \in \mathcal{A}_{P,\lambda}} \epsilon(\pi) t^{\operatorname{inv}_G(A)}.$$

Set
$$\mathcal{B}_{P,\lambda} := \{(A, \pi) \in \mathcal{A}_{P,\lambda} : A \notin \mathcal{T}_{P,\lambda}\}.$$
For any nonidentity $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, there is some inversion $(\pi(i), \pi(j))$ of π, such that $\pi(\lambda)_i < \pi(\lambda)_j$. Therefore, $\pi(\lambda)$ is not a partition and $(A, \pi) \in \mathcal{B}_{P,\lambda}$ for all P-arrays A of shape $\pi(\lambda)$. Therefore, (6.1) will follow from (6.3) once we produce an involution ψ on $\mathcal{B}_{P,\lambda}$, mapping (A, π) to (A', π'), such that

- $\operatorname{inv}_G(A') = \operatorname{inv}_G(A)$, and
- $\epsilon(\pi') = -\epsilon(\pi)$.

Note that, as $\epsilon(\pi') \neq \epsilon(\pi)$, ψ is fixed-point-free, as is necessary for our purposes.

Let $(A, \pi) \in \mathcal{B}_{P,\lambda}$. We call a_{ij} "bad" if $i > 1$, $a_{ij} \neq 0$, and either $a_{i-1,j} = 0$ or $a_{i-1,j} >_P a_{ij}$. Since A is not a P-tableau, there exists some bad a_{ij}. Let $c = c(A)$ be the smallest j such that there is a bad a_{ij} and, having found c, let $r = r(A)$ be the largest i such that $a_{i+1,c}$ is bad. We will obtain a P-array A' from A by moving certain elements of row r lying weakly to the right of column c down to row $r + 1$ and moving certain elements of row $r + 1$ lying strictly to the right of column c to row r. Let us describe this process precisely.

Define
$$C_r(A) := \{a_{rj} : c \le j \le |\kappa^{-1}(r)|\}$$
and
$$C_{r+1}(A) := \{a_{r+1,j} : c < j \le |\kappa^{-1}(r + 1)|\},$$
where κ is the unique proper $[n]$-coloring such that $A(\kappa) = A$. Let $H(A)$ be the subgraph of G induced on $C_r(A) \bigcup C_{r+1}(A)$. For $i \in \{r, r + 1\}$ set
$$O_i(A) := \{x \in C_i(A) : x \text{ lies in a connected component of odd size in } H(A)\}$$

and
$$E_i(A) := C_i(A) \setminus O_i(A).$$

Also, set
$$I_r(A) := \{a_{rj} : j < c\}$$

and
$$I_{r+1}(A) := \{a_{r+1,j} : j \leq c\}.$$

Note that if $c > 1$, then, as there is no bad a_{ij} with $j < c$, we have $a_{r,c-1} \neq 0$.

If $x \in I_r(A)$ and $y \in E_r(A)$ then $x <_P y$, as A is a P-array. If $x \in O_{r+1}(A)$ and $y \in E_r(A)$ then x, y are comparable in P, as x and y live in different connected components of $H(A)$. We claim now that if $x \in I_r(A)$ and $y \in O_{r+1}(A)$ then $x <_P y$. To prove this claim, it suffices to show that if $c > 1$ and $a_{r+1,c+1} \neq 0$ then $a_{r,c-1} <_P a_{r+1,c+1}$. Under the given conditions,

$$a_{r+1,c-1} <_P a_{r+1,c} <_P a_{r+1,c+1}.$$

By the definition of c, $a_{r,c-1} \not>_P a_{r+1,c-1}$. Since P is $(3+1)$-free, it follows that $a_{r,c-1} <_P a_{r+1,c+1}$ as desired. Therefore, $I_r(A) \cup E_r(A) \cup O_{r+1}(A)$ is a chain in P in which $I_r(A)$ is an initial chain.

If $x \in I_{r+1}(A)$ and $y \in E_{r+1}(A)$ then $x <_P y$. If $x \in O_r(A)$ and $y \in E_{r+1}(A)$ then x and y lie in different components of $H(A)$ and are therefore comparable in P. If $x \in I_{r+1}(A)$ and $y \in O_r(A)$ then

$$x \leq_P a_{r+1,c} <_P a_{r,c} \leq_P y.$$

Therefore, $I_{r+1}(A) \cup E_{r+1}(A) \cup O_r(A)$ is a chain in P in which $I_{r+1}(A)$ is an initial chain.

Writing A_i for the ith row of A we can now define the P-array $A' = (a'_{ij})$, whose i^{th} row is A'_i, to be the unique P-array satisfying

- if $i \in [n] \setminus \{r, r+1\}$ then $A'_i = A_i$,
- the set of nonzero elements in A'_r is $I_r(A) \cup E_r(A) \cup O_{r+1}(A)$, and
- the set of nonzero elements in A'_{r+1} is $I_{r+1}(A) \cup E_{r+1}(A) \cup O_r(A)$.

If $i \in [n]$ and $j \in [c-1]$ then $a'_{ij} = a_{ij}$. Moreover, $a'_{r+1,c} = a_{r+1,c}$ and

$$a'_{rc} \in E_r(A) \cup O_{r+1}(A) \cup \{0\}.$$

Certainly $y >_P a_{r+1,c}$ if $y \in O_{r+1}(A)$. If $y \in E_r(A)$ then

$$y \geq_P a_{rc} >_P a_{r+1,c}.$$

Therefore, $a'_{r+1,c}$ is bad in A' and $c(A') = c$. Now $r(A') = r$, since $a'_{ic} = a_{ic}$ for $i > r$. Also, $E_r(A') = E_r(A)$, $O_r(A') = O_{r+1}(A)$, $E_{r+1}(A') = E_{r+1}(A)$ and $O_{r+1}(A') = O_r(A)$. It follows that $(A')' = A$.

For $i \in [n]$, the row A_i has $\pi(\lambda)_i$ nonzero entries. For $i \in \{r, r+1\}$, let ρ_i be the number of nonzero entries in A_i'. By Lemma 4.4, since $H(A)$ is an induced subgraph of $G_{\kappa,r}$ (where κ is the unique proper $[n]$-coloring such that $A(\kappa) = A$), its connected components are paths $i_1 - \cdots - i_j$ where $i_1 < \cdots < i_j$. Hence each connected component of even size in $H(A)$ has exactly half of its elements in A_r. It follows that each ρ_i is the number of nonzero elements in the ith row of the array obtained from A by moving all of $C_r(A)$ to row $r + 1$ and moving all of $C_{r+1}(A)$ to row r. Therefore,

$$(6.4) \qquad \rho_r = c - 1 + \pi(\lambda)_{r+1} - c = \pi(\lambda)_{r+1} - 1,$$

and

$$(6.5) \qquad \rho_{r+1} = c + \pi(\lambda)_r - (c - 1) = \pi(\lambda)_r + 1.$$

Let $\tau_r \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ be the transposition exchanging r and $r + 1$ and set $\pi' = \pi\tau_r$. It follows from (6.4) and (6.5) that A' is a P-array of shape $\pi'(\lambda)$. Moreover, $(\pi')' = \pi$ and $\epsilon(\pi') = -\epsilon(\pi)$. So, the map ψ sending (A, π) to (A', π') is a sign-reversing involution on $\mathcal{B}_{P,\lambda}$.

It remains to show that $\mathrm{inv}_G(A') = \mathrm{inv}_G(A)$. By (6.2), this is equivalent to showing $\mathrm{des}(\kappa) = \mathrm{des}(\kappa')$, where κ (resp. κ') is the unique proper $[n]$-coloring of G that satisfies $A(\kappa) = A$ (resp. $A(\kappa') = A'$). Note that κ' is obtained from κ by replacing each occurrence of the color r by $r + 1$ and each occurrence of $r + 1$ by r in each odd size connected component of $H(A)$. Recall that the connected components of $H(A)$ are paths with numerically increasing vertices. When κ and κ' are restricted to such a path of odd size, the number of descents of κ equals the number of ascents of κ, which in turn equals the number of descents of κ'. It follows that $\mathrm{des}(\kappa) = \mathrm{des}(\kappa')$. $\qquad\square$

From Theorem 6.3, we are able to derive a nice closed form formula for the coefficient of s_{1^n} in the Schur basis expansion of $X_G(\mathbf{x}, t)$.

Theorem 6.9. *Let G be the incomparability graph of a natural unit interval order P of size n. Then*

$$(6.6) \qquad \sum_{\substack{T \in \mathcal{T}_P \\ \lambda(T) = 1^n}} t^{\mathrm{inv}_G(T)} = \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} [1 + a_j]_t,$$

where $a_j := |\{\{j, i\} \in E(G) : j < i\}|$. Consequently the coefficient of s_{1^n} in the Schur basis expansion of $X_G(\mathbf{x}, t)$ is equal to the right hand side of (6.6) and is therefore positive, palindromic and unimodal with center of symmetry $\frac{|E(G)|}{2}$.

Proof. We may assume without loss of generality that the vertex set of G is $[n]$. Clearly there is a G-inversion preserving bijection between the set of P-tableaux of shape 1^n and the set

$$\mathcal{ND}_P := \{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n : \mathrm{DES}_P(\sigma) = \emptyset\},$$

where $\mathrm{DES}_P(\sigma)$ is defined in (3.2) and G-inversions of permutations are defined in (3.1). Indeed, by reading the entries of a P-tableau T of shape 1^n from top down, one gets a permutation σ in $\mathcal{ND}_P$ with $\mathrm{inv}_G(\sigma) = \mathrm{inv}_G(T)$. Hence

$$\sum_{\substack{T \in \mathcal{T}_P \\ \lambda(T) = 1^n}} t^{\mathrm{inv}_G(T)} = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{ND}_P} t^{\mathrm{inv}_G(\sigma)}.$$

To complete the proof of (6.6) we need only show that for all $k \in [n]$,

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{ND}_{P,k}} t^{\mathrm{inv}_G(\sigma)} = \prod_{j=k}^{n-1}[1 + a_j]_t,$$

where $\mathcal{ND}_{P,k}$ is the set of permutations of $\{k, \ldots, n\}$ with no P-desccents (here we are viewing permutations of $\{k, \ldots, n\}$ as words and defining inv_G and P-descents as in Definition 7.3). This is equivalent to the recurrence,

$$(6.7) \qquad \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{ND}_{P,k}} t^{\mathrm{inv}_G(\sigma)} = [1 + a_k]_t \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{ND}_{P,k+1}} t^{\mathrm{inv}_G(\sigma)},$$

for all $k \in [n-1]$. To prove this recurrence we show that each word in $\mathcal{ND}_{P,k}$ can be obtained by inserting k into a word in $\mathcal{ND}_{P,k+1}$ in one of $1 + a_k$ allowable places.

First we observe that removal of k from any $\sigma \in \mathcal{ND}_{P,k}$ does not introduce a P-descent. Indeed, suppose a P-descent is introduced. Let s be the letter to the immediate left of k in σ and let t be the letter to the immediate right of k. Then $s >_P t$ and $s \not>_P k$. It follows that k is not comparable to s or t. Since $k < s, t$, this contradicts the fact that P is a natural unit interval order. Hence no P descent was introduced by removal of k from σ. This means that each word in $\mathcal{ND}_{P,k}$ can be obtained by inserting k in an allowable position of a word in $\mathcal{ND}_{P,k+1}$.

Now let $A_k = \{i : k < i \le n, \{k,i\} \in E(G)\}$; so that $|A_k| = a_k$. Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{ND}_{P,k+1}$. The letters of A_k form a subword $\alpha_{i_1}, \alpha_{i_2}, \ldots, \alpha_{i_{a_k}}$ of α. To avoid introducing a P-descent when inserting k into α, the insertion must be made at the beginning of α or just to the right of one of the α_{i_j}'s. If k is inserted at the beginning of α then no G-inversions are introduced. If k is inserted in α to the immediate right of α_{i_j} for some

$j \in [a_k]$, then j G-inversions are introduced. Hence the recurrence (6.7) holds.

The consequence follows from Theorem 6.3 and by applying Proposition B.1 to the product in (6.6). □

7. Expansion in the power sum basis

Conjecture 5.1 implies that $\omega X_G(\mathbf{x}, t)$ is p-positive when G is the incomparability graph of a natural unit interval order. In this section we give a conjectural formula for the coefficients in the p-basis expansion, which can be shown to reduce to Stanley's p-basis expansion when $t = 1$ (see [St4]). The conjectural formula for the coefficient of p_λ reduces to the simple closed form formula given in the following result when $\lambda = (n)$

Theorem 7.1. *Let G be the incomparablity graph of a natural unit interval order of size n. Then the coefficient $c(t)$ of $\frac{1}{n}p_n$ in the p-basis expansion of $\omega X_G(\mathbf{x}, t)$ is given by*

$$(7.1) \qquad c(t) = [n]_t \prod_{i=2}^{n} [b_i]_t,$$

where

$$(7.2) \qquad b_i = |\{\{j, i\} \in E(G) : j < i\}|.$$

Consequently the coefficient is positive, palindromic, and unimodal with center of symmetry $\frac{|E(G)|}{2}$.

Proof. Equation (7.1) follows immediately from Lemmas 7.4 and 7.5 below. The consequence follows from Proposition B.1. □

Since the coefficient of $\frac{1}{n}p_n$ in the p-basis expansion of any symmetric function is the same as the coefficient of h_n in the h-basis expansion, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 7.2. *Let G be the incomparability graph of a natural unit interval order of size n. Then the coefficient of e_n in the e-basis expansion of expansion of $X_G(\mathbf{x}, t)$ is equal to $[n]_t \prod_{i=2}^{n} [b_i]_t$ and is therefore positive, palindromic, and unimodal with center of symmetry $\frac{|E(G)|}{2}$.*

Definition 7.3. Let P be a poset on a finite subset of $\mathbb{P}$ of size n and let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m)$ be a word over alphabet P. We say that α has a left-to-right P-maximum at $r \in [n]$ if $\alpha_r >_P \alpha_s$ for all $s \in [r-1]$. The left-to-right P-maximum at 1 will be referred to as trivial. We say that $i \in [m]$ is a P-descent of α if $\alpha_i >_P \alpha_{i+1}$. For $G = \mathrm{inc}(P)$, let

$$\mathrm{inv}_G(\alpha) := |\{\{\alpha_i, \alpha_j\} \in E : i < j \text{ and } \alpha_i > \alpha_j\}|.$$

For a poset P on a finite subset of $\mathbb{P}$, let $\mathcal{N}_P$ denote the set of permutations of P (viewed as words over alphabet P) with no P-descents and no nontrivial left-to-right P-maxima.

Lemma 7.4. *Let G be the incomparability graph of a natural unit interval order P of size n and let $c(t)$ be the coefficient of $\frac{1}{n}p_n$ in the p-basis expansion of $\omega X_G(\mathbf{x}, t)$. Then*

$$(7.3) \qquad c(t) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{N}_P} t^{\mathrm{inv}_G(\sigma)}.$$

Lemma 7.5. *Let G be the incomparability graph of a natural unit interval order P of size n and let b_i be as in Theorem 7.1. Then*

$$(7.4) \qquad \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{N}_P} t^{\mathrm{inv}_G(\sigma)} = [n]_t \prod_{i=2}^{n} [b_i]_t.$$

Proof of Lemma 7.4. We use the Murnaghan-Nakayama rule and Theorem 6.3 to obtain an expansion of $\omega X_G(\mathbf{x}, t)$ in the p-basis. Recall that a *rim-hook tableau* of shape $\lambda \vdash n$ and type $\nu = (\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_k) \vdash n$ is a filling of the Young diagram of shape λ with positive integers so that

- each $i \in [k]$ appears ν_i times,
- every row and every column is weakly increasing, and
- for each $i \in [k]$, the set of squares occupied by i forms a rim-hook, that is, a connected skew shape with no 2×2 square.

Let $\mathcal{R}_{\lambda,\nu}$ be the set of rim-hook tableaux of shape λ and type ν. The height $\mathrm{ht}(H)$ of a rim-hook H is one less than the number of rows of H. The height $\mathrm{ht}(R)$ of a rim-hook tableau R is the sum of the heights of the rim-hooks appearing in R. For each $\nu \in \mathrm{Par}(n)$, let

$$z_\nu := \prod_i m_i(\nu)! \, i^{m_i(\nu)}$$

where $m_i(\nu)$ is the multiplicity of i in ν for each i.

By the Murnaghan-Nakayama rule and Theorem 6.3, for each $\nu \in \mathrm{Par}(n)$, the coefficient $\tilde{c}_\nu(t)$ of $z_\nu^{-1} p_\nu$ in the p-basis expansion of $X_G(\mathbf{x}, t)$ is given by

$$\tilde{c}_\nu(t) = \sum_{\substack{T \in \mathcal{T}_P \\ R \in \mathcal{R}_{\lambda(T),\nu}}} (-1)^{\mathrm{ht}(R)} t^{\mathrm{inv}_G(T)}.$$

Since any rim-hook tableau of type (n) must be a hook filled with 1's, we have

$$(7.5) \qquad \tilde{c}_{(n)}(t) = (-1)^{n-1} \sum_{\substack{T \in \mathcal{T}_P \\ \lambda(T) \in \mathcal{H}_n}} (-1)^{r(T)-1} t^{\mathrm{inv}_G(T)},$$

where $\mathcal{H}_n$ is the set of hooks of size n and $r(T)$ equals the length of the first row of T.

We will construct a sign-reversing, inv_G-preserving involution φ on the set of hook-shaped tableaux in $\mathcal{T}_P$, which serves to reduce the sum in (7.5) to the sum of $t^{\mathrm{inv}_G(T)}$ over certain P-tableaux T of shape 1^n. When one reads these tableaux from top down one gets a word in $\mathcal{N}_P$.

The involution φ is defined as follows: Let $T \in \mathcal{T}_P$ have hook shape. The *arm* of T is the top row of T not including the leftmost entry and the *leg* of T is the first column of T. If $\lambda(T) \neq 1^n$, let a be the right most entry of the arm of T and let b be the lowest entry of the leg of T satisfying $b >_P x$ for all x higher than b in the leg. If $b >_P a$ then move b to the right of a in the arm to obtain $\varphi(T)$. If $b \not>_P a$ then to obtain $\varphi(T)$ move a to the leg by inserting it as low as possible so that $a >_P y$ for all y higher than a in the leg. Now if $\lambda(T) = 1^n$ then let b be as above. If b is not at the top of the leg then move b to the empty arm of T to obtain $\varphi(T)$. If b is at the top of the leg then let $\varphi(T) = T$, so that T is a fixed point of the map φ.

Clearly $\varphi(T)$ is a hook-shaped P-tableaux and it is easy to check that $\varphi^2(T) = T$ in all cases. If T is not a fixed point then $r(\varphi(T)) = r(T) \pm 1$; hence φ is a sign-reversing involution. It is also easy to see that $\mathrm{inv}_G(\varphi(T)) = \mathrm{inv}_G(T)$. It follows that

$$\tilde{c}_{(n)}(t) = (-1)^{n-1} \sum_{T \in \mathcal{F}_P} t^{\mathrm{inv}_G(T)},$$

where $\mathcal{F}_P$ is the set of fixed points of φ. For $T \in \mathcal{F}_P$, let $\sigma(T)$ be the word obtained by reading T from top down (T is a single column). Clearly σ is a bijection from $\mathcal{F}_P$ to $\mathcal{N}_P$. Since $\mathrm{inv}_G(T) = \mathrm{inv}_G(\sigma(T))$, we conclude that $\tilde{c}_{(n)}(t) = (-1)^{n-1} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{N}_P} t^{\mathrm{inv}_G(\sigma)}$. Equation (7.3) follows from this and the fact that $\omega p_n = (-1)^{n-1} p_n$. $\square$

Proof of Lemma 7.5. **Part 1:** For $[k] \subseteq P$, let

$$\mathcal{N}_{P,k}^{=1} := \{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_k : \sigma(1) = 1, \ \sigma \text{ has neither } P\text{-descents}$$

$$\text{nor nontrivial left-to-right } P\text{-maxima}\}.$$

(Here we are viewing permutations in $\mathfrak{S}_k$ as words over alphabet $[k]$.) We begin by proving that for all $k \geq 1$ such that $[k] \subseteq P$,

(7.6) $$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{N}_{P,k}^{=1}} t^{\mathrm{inv}_G(\sigma)} = \prod_{i=2}^{k} [b_i]_t .$$

This is equivalent to the recurrence

$$(7.7) \qquad \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{N}_{P,k}^{=1}} t^{\mathrm{inv}_G(\sigma)} = [b_k]_t \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{N}_{P,k-1}^{=1}} t^{\mathrm{inv}_G(\sigma)},$$

for all $k \geq 2$ with $[k] \subseteq P$. To prove this recurrence we show that each word in $\mathcal{N}_{P,k}^{=1}$ can be obtained by inserting k into a word in $\mathcal{N}_{P,k-1}^{=1}$ in one of b_k allowable places.

First we claim that removing k from any word $\sigma \in \mathcal{N}_{P,k}^{=1}$ introduces neither a P-descent nor a nontrivial left-to-right P-maximal letter. Suppose a P-descent is introduced. Let s be the letter to the immediate left of k in σ and let t be the letter to the immediate right of k. Then $s >_P t$ and $k \not>_P t$. It follows that k is not comparable to s or t. Since $k > s, t$, this contradicts the fact that P is a natural unit interval order. We conclude that removal of k from σ does not introduce a P-descent. Now suppose removal of k from σ introduces a nontrivial left-to-right P-maximal letter m. Then k is to the left of m in σ, and since k is not a left-to-right maximal letter of σ, there is a letter s to the left of k such that $s \not<_P k$. But $s <_P m$ since s is left of m and m is a left-to-right maximal letter of σ with k removed. Again since $k > m, s$, the assumption that P is a natural unit interval order is contradicted. Therefore removal of k from σ does not introduce a nontrivial left-to-right P-maximum and our claim is proved.

Now let $B_k = \{i \in [k-1] : \{i, k\} \in E(G)\}$; so that $|B_k| = b_k$. Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{N}_{P,k-1}^{=1}$. The letters of B_k form a subword $\alpha_{i_1}, \alpha_{i_2}, \ldots, \alpha_{i_{b_k}}$ of α. To avoid introducing a P-descent when inserting k into α, the insertion must be made at the end of α or just to the left of one of the α_{i_j}'s. To also avoid introducing a nontrivial left-to-right P-maximum or violating the condition that the first letter is 1, k must not be inserted just to the left of α_{i_1}. If k is inserted at the end of α then no G-inversions are introduced. If k is inserted in α to the immediate left of α_{i_j} then $b_k - j + 1$ G-inversions are introduced. We can therefore conclude that (7.7) holds.

Part 2: The proof of (7.4) is by induction on n. Assume the result is true for posets of size $n - 1$. We may assume without loss of generality that P is a natural unit interval order on $[n]$. It follows from Proposition 4.1 that

$$(7.8) \qquad \{i \in \{2, \ldots, n\} : \{1, i\} \in E(G)\} = \{2, 3, \ldots, m_1\}$$

and

$$(7.9) \qquad \{1, i\} \in E(G) \implies b_i = i - 1.$$

Let $\mathcal{N}_P^{\neq 1} := \{\sigma \in \mathcal{N}_P : \sigma_1 \neq 1\}$, let P^1 be the induced subposet of P on $[n] \setminus \{1\}$, and let $G^1 = \mathrm{inc}(P_1)$. We claim that

$$(7.10) \qquad \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{N}_P^{\neq 1}} t^{\mathrm{inv}_G(\sigma)} = t[m_1 - 1]_t \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{N}_{P^1}} t^{\mathrm{inv}_{G^1}(\sigma)}.$$

To prove (7.10) we show that each word in $\mathcal{N}_P^{\neq 1}$ can be obtained by inserting 1 into a word in $\mathcal{N}_{P^1}$ in one of $m_1 - 1$ allowable places. By an argument similar to the argument used to prove (7.7), we observe that removing 1 from any word $\sigma \in \mathcal{N}_P^{\neq 1}$ introduces neither a P-descent nor a nontrivial left-to-right P-maximum. Given a word $\alpha \in \mathcal{N}_{P^1}$, in order to avoid creating a P-descent or having 1 as the leftmost letter when inserting 1 into α, by (7.8) the insertion must be made immediately to the right of a letter in $\{2, 3, \ldots, m_1\}$. Equation (7.10) is a consequence of this.

Now by (7.10), (7.8), and the induction hypothesis applied to the natural unit interval order P^1 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{N}_P^{\neq 1}} t^{\mathrm{inv}_G(\sigma)} &= t[m_1 - 1]_t \, [n - 1]_t \prod_{i=3}^{m_1}[b_i - 1]_t \prod_{i=m_1+1}^{n} [b_i]_t \\
&= t[n - 1]_t \, [m_1 - 1]_t \prod_{i=3}^{m_1}[i - 2]_t \prod_{i=m_1+1}^{n} [b_i]_t \\
&= t[n - 1]_t \, [m_1 - 1]_t! \prod_{i=m_1+1}^{n} [b_i]_t \\
&= t[n - 1]_t \prod_{i=2}^{m_1}[b_i]_t \prod_{i=m_1+1}^{n} [b_i]_t \\
(7.11) \qquad &= t[n - 1]_t \prod_{i=2}^{n}[b_i]_t,
\end{aligned}
$$

with the second and fourth equations following from (7.9).

Now by (7.6) and (7.11), we can conclude that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{N}_P} t^{\mathrm{inv}_G(\sigma)} &= \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{N}_{P,n}^{=1}} t^{\mathrm{inv}_G(\sigma)} + \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{N}_P^{\neq 1}} t^{\mathrm{inv}_G(\sigma)} \\
&= (\prod_{i=2}^{n}[b_i]_t) + (t[n - 1]_t \prod_{i=2}^{n}[b_i]_t) \\
&= [n]_t \prod_{i=2}^{n}[b_i]_t,
\end{aligned}
$$

as desired.   □

In Conjecture 7.6 and Proposition 7.8 below, we present two proposed formulae for coefficients of the p-basis expansion of $\omega X_G(\mathbf{x}, t)$. For a partition $\mu = (\mu_1 \geq \mu_2 \geq \cdots \geq \mu_l)$ of n and a natural unit interval order P on $[n]$, let $\mathcal{N}_{P,\mu}$ be the set of permutations $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ such that when we break σ (in one line notation) into contiguous segments of lengths $\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_l$ (from left to right), each contiguous segment has neither a P-descent nor a nontrivial left-to-right P-maximum. Thus $\mathcal{N}_{P,(n)} = \mathcal{N}_P$.

Conjecture 7.6. [5] *Let P be a natural unit interval order on $[n]$ with incomparability graph G. Then*

$$(7.12) \qquad \omega X_G(\mathbf{x}, t) = \sum_{\mu \vdash n} z_\mu^{-1} p_\mu \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{N}_{P,\mu}} t^{\mathrm{inv}_G(\sigma)}.$$

Moreover, the palindromic polynomial $\sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{N}_{P,\mu}} t^{\mathrm{inv}_G(\sigma)}$ is unimodal.

Unimodality of $\sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{N}_{P,\mu}} t^{\mathrm{inv}_G(\sigma)}$ is a consequence of p-unimodality of $\omega X_G(\mathbf{x}, t)$, which in turn is a consequence of Conjecture 5.1. It can be shown that the formula for the coefficient of p_μ predicted by Conjecture 7.6 reduces to the formula given [St4, Theorem 2.6] when $t = 1$. In the case that $\mu = (n)$ the formula clearly reduces to (7.3).

We now give an alternative conjectural characterization of the coefficients of $z_\mu^{-1} p_\mu$. Let P be a natural unit interval order of size n. Given a word $a_1 \cdots a_k$ where each $a_i \in P$, we say that i is a P-ascent if $a_i <_P a_{i+1}$. Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_P$ be the set of words $\alpha \in P^n$ that have distinct letters, start with the smallest letter of P (in the natural order on $\mathbb{P}$), and have no P-ascents.

Lemma 7.7. *Let P be a natural unit interval order of size n and let $G = \mathrm{inc}(P)$. Then*

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{N}_P} t^{\mathrm{inv}_G(\sigma)} = [n]_t \sum_{\sigma \in \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_P} t^{\mathrm{inv}_G(\sigma)}$$

Proof. The result follows from (7.4) and the equation,

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_P} t^{\mathrm{inv}_G(\sigma)} = \prod_{i=2}^{n} [b_i]_t,$$

where b_i is as in (7.2). The proof of this equation is similar to that of (7.6) and is left to the reader.   □

_____
[5]See Section 11: *Recent developments*

Now let P be a natural unit interval order on $[n]$. For $\mu = (\mu_1 \geq \mu_2 \geq \cdots \geq \mu_l) \in \mathrm{Par}(n)$, let $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{P,\mu}$ be the set of permutations $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ such that when we break σ (in one line notation) into contiguous segments of lengths $\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_l$ (from left to right), each contiguous segment has no P-ascent and begins with the smallest letter of the segment in the natural order on $[n]$.

Proposition 7.8. *Let P be a natural unit interval order on $[n]$ with incomparability graph G. Then for all $\mu \in \mathrm{Par}(n)$,*

$$(7.13) \qquad \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{N}_{P,\mu}} t^{\mathrm{inv}_G(\sigma)} = \prod_{i=1}^{l(\mu)} [\mu_i]_t \sum_{\sigma \in \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{P,\mu}} t^{\mathrm{inv}_G(\sigma)}.$$

Consequently, (7.12) is equivalent to

$$(7.14) \qquad \omega X_G(\mathbf{x}, t) = \sum_{\mu \vdash n} z_\mu^{-1} p_\mu \prod_{i=1}^{l(\mu)} [\mu_i]_t \sum_{\sigma \in \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{P,\mu}} t^{\mathrm{inv}_G(\sigma)}.$$

Proof. A set composition of $[n]$ is a sequence $\mathbf{B} = (B_1, \ldots, B_l)$ of mutually disjoint nonempty sets whose union in $[n]$. The type of $\mathbf{B}$ is the sequence $(|B_1|, \ldots, |B_l|)$. Given a set $S \subseteq \mathbb{P}$, let $S{\uparrow}$ be the word obtained by arranging the elements of S in increasing order and given a set composition $\mathbf{B} = (B_1, \ldots, B_l)$ on $[n]$, let $\mathbf{B}{\uparrow}$ be the permutation $B_1{\uparrow} \circ \cdots \circ B_l{\uparrow}$, where $\circ$ denotes concatenation.

Now let μ be a partition of n of length l and let $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$. For each $i \in [l]$, let $\sigma^{(i)}$ be the ith segment of σ under the segmentation determined by μ and let $B_i(\sigma)$ be the set of letters in $\sigma^{(i)}$. So $\mathbf{B}(\sigma) := (B_1(\sigma), \ldots, B_l(\sigma)) \in C_\mu$, where C_μ is the set of set compositions of $[n]$ of type μ. We have

$$\mathrm{inv}_G(\sigma) = \mathrm{inv}_G(\mathbf{B}(\sigma){\uparrow}) + \sum_{i=1}^{l} \mathrm{inv}_G(\sigma^{(i)}).$$

It follows that

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{N}_{P,\mu}} t^{\mathrm{inv}_G(\sigma)} = \sum_{\mathbf{B} \in C_\mu} t^{\mathrm{inv}_G(\mathbf{B}{\uparrow})} \prod_{i=1}^{l} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{N}_{P|B_i}} t^{\mathrm{inv}_G(\alpha)}$$

and

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{P,\mu}} t^{\mathrm{inv}_G(\sigma)} = \sum_{\mathbf{B} \in C_\mu} t^{\mathrm{inv}_G(\mathbf{B}{\uparrow})} \prod_{i=1}^{l} \sum_{\alpha \in \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{P|B_i}} t^{\mathrm{inv}_G(\alpha)},$$

where $P|B_i$ is the restriction of P to the set B_i. The result now follows from Lemma 7.7. $\qquad \square$

Proposition 7.9. *Conjecture 7.6 is true for $P := P_{n,2}$.*

Proof. Let $\sigma \in \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{P,\mu}$ and set $s_i = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \mu_j$ and $t_i = \sum_{j=1}^{i} \mu_j$ for all $i \in [l(\mu)]$. Then the ith contiguous segment of σ is the sequence $\sigma(s_i), \sigma(s_i) + 1, \ldots, \sigma(s_i) + \mu_i - 1 = \sigma(t_i)$ since the segment has no P-ascents and $\sigma(s_i)$ is the smallest letter in the segment. There are no $G_{n,2}$-inversions within these segments. The $G_{n,2}$-inversions of σ are of the form $(\sigma(s_i), \sigma(t_j))$, where $i < j$ and $\sigma(s_i) = \sigma(t_j) + 1$. Now let $\overline{\sigma}$ be the permutation in $\mathfrak{S}_{l(\mu)}$ obtained from $\sigma(s_1), \ldots, \sigma(s_{l(\mu)})$ by replacing the kth smallest letter by k for each k. Clearly $\sigma(s_i) = \sigma(t_j) + 1$ if and only if $\overline{\sigma}(i) = \overline{\sigma}(j) + 1$. Hence $\mathrm{inv}_{G_{n,2}}(\sigma) = \mathrm{inv}_{G_{l(\mu),2}}(\overline{\sigma})$.

Now

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{P,\mu}} t^{\mathrm{inv}_{G_{n,2}}(\sigma)} = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{l(\mu)}} t^{\mathrm{inv}_{G_{l(\mu),2}}(\sigma)},$$

as the map $\varphi : \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{P,\mu} \to \mathfrak{S}_{l(\mu)}$ defined by $\varphi(\sigma) = \overline{\sigma}$ is a bijection.

Since $\mathrm{inv}_{G_{n,2}}(\sigma) = \mathrm{des}(\sigma^{-1})$ for all $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, by the definition of Eulerian polynomials given in (A.1), we now have

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{P,\mu}} t^{\mathrm{inv}_{G_{n,2}}(\sigma)} = A_{l(\mu)}(t).$$

Hence for $P := P_{n,2}$ and $G := G_{n,2}$, equation (7.14) reduces to

$$(7.15) \qquad \omega X_{G_{n,2}}(\mathbf{x}, t) = \sum_{\mu \vdash n} z_\mu^{-1} p_\mu A_{l(\mu)}(t) \prod_{i=1}^{l(\mu)} [\mu_i]_t.$$

Stembridge [Ste2] showed that the coefficient of z^n on the right hand side of (2.4) is equal to ω applied to the right hand side of (7.15). Hence (7.15) holds and the conjecture is verified for $P_{n,2}$. $\qquad \square$

8. SOME CALCULATIONS

Recall the definition of $P(\mathbf{m})$ given prior to Proposition 4.1.

Proposition 8.1. *Let $P = P(\mathbf{m})$, where $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \ldots, m_{n-1})$ is a weakly increasing sequence of positive integers such that $i \leq m_i$ for each i. Assume that $m_1 \geq 2$ and that $m_i = n$ for $i = 3, \ldots, n-1$. Define $B_\lambda(t)$ and $C_\lambda(t)$ for each partition λ of n by*

$$X_{\mathrm{inc}(P)}(\mathbf{x}, t) = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathrm{Par}(n)} B_\lambda(t) s_\lambda = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathrm{Par}(n)} C_\lambda(t) e_\lambda.$$

Define

$$D(t) \ := \ t^{m_1-1}[n-m_1]_t[m_2-2]_t + t^{m_2-2}[n-m_2]_t[m_1]_t$$

and

$$E(t) \ := \ t^{m_1-1}[n-3]_t[n-m_1]_t[m_2-2]_t + t^{m_2-2}[n-1]_t[n-m_2]_t[m_1-2]_t.$$

The following equalities hold:

(1) *if* $\lambda \notin \{1^n, 21^{n-2}, 2^2 1^{n-4}\}$, *then* $B_\lambda(t) = 0$,

(2) $B_{1^n}(t) = [m_1]_t[m_2-1]_t[n-2]_t!$,

(3) $B_{21^{n-2}}(t) = [n-3]_t! D(t)$,

(4) $C_{(n-2,2)}(t) = B_{2^2 1^{n-4}}(t) = [n-4]_t![2]_t[n-m_2]_t[n-m_1-1]_t t^{m_1+m_2-4}$,

(5) *if* $\lambda \notin \{(n), (n-1,1), (n-2,2)\}$, *then* $C_\lambda(t) = 0$,

(6) $C_{(n)}(t) = [n]_t[n-3]_t![m_1-1]_t[m_2-2]_t$,

(7) $C_{(n-1,1)}(t) = [n-4]_t! E(t)$.

Proof. Let $G = \mathrm{inc}(P)$. Note first that (2) follows from Theorem 6.9 and (6) follows from Corollary 7.2. Next, if $x <_P y$ then $x \in \{1,2\}$. Therefore, P has no chain of length two, since $\{1,2\} \in E(G)$. Moreover, P does not contain three pairwise disjoint chains of length one. Now (1) follows from Theorem 6.3.

Using the equalities

$$e_n \ = \ s_{1^n},$$

$$e_{(n-1,1)} \ = \ s_{1^n} + s_{21^{n-2}},$$

$$e_{(n-2,2)} \ = \ s_{1^n} + s_{21^{n-2}} + s_{2^2 1^{n-4}},$$

which are obtained from the Pieri rule, we see that (5) follows from (1), that

$$C_{(n-2,2)}(t) = B_{2^2 1^{n-4}}(t),$$

and that

(8.1) $$C_{(n-1,1)}(t) = B_{21^{n-2}}(t) - B_{2^2 1^{n-4}}(t).$$

It remains to prove (3), the second equality of (4), and

(8.2) $$[n-3]_t D(t) = [2]_t[n-m_2]_t[n-m_1-1]_t t^{m_1+m_2-4} + E(t).$$

Indeed, (7) follows from (8.1), (3), (4) and (8.2).

We begin with (3). For $i \in \{1,2\}$ and $m_i < j \le n$, let $\mathcal{T}_{P,i,j}$ be the set of all P-tableaux T of shape 21^{n-2} such that the first row of T is occupied by $i <_P j$. Set

$$Y_{i,j}(t) := \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_{P,i,j}} t^{\mathrm{inv}_G(T)}.$$

Each P-tableau of shape 21^{n-2} lies in exactly one $\mathcal{T}_{P,i,j}$. By Theorem 6.3,

$$(8.3) \qquad\qquad B_{21^{n-2}}(t) = \sum_{i<_P j} Y_{i,j}(t).$$

If $T \in \mathcal{T}_{P,i,j}$ and P' is the subposet of P induced on $P \setminus \{i, j\}$, then upon removing the first row of T we obtain a P'-tableau of shape 1^{n-2}. Let $G' = \mathrm{inc}(P')$ and note that P' is a natural unit interval order. We have

$$Y_{i,j}(t) = t^a \sum_{\substack{T' \in \mathcal{T}_{P'} \\ \lambda(T') = 1^{n-2}}} t^{\mathrm{inv}_{G'}(T')},$$

where

    (1) $a = j - 2$ if either $i = 1$ and $m_1 < j \le m_2$ or $i = 2$ and $m_2 < j \le n$, and

    (2) $a = j - 3$ if $i = 1$ and $m_2 < j \le n$.

Hence by Theorem 6.9,

$$(8.4) \qquad Y_{ij}(t) = \begin{cases} t^{j-2}[m_2 - 2]_t[n - 3]_t! & \text{if } i = 1, m_1 < j \le m_2, \\ t^{j-3}[m_2 - 1]_t[n - 3]_t! & \text{if } i = 1, m_2 < j \le n, \\ t^{j-2}[m_1 - 1]_t[n - 3]_t! & \text{if } i = 2, m_2 < j \le n. \end{cases}$$

Now by (8.3), (8.4) and the fact that

$$\sum_{b < j \le c} t^j = t^{b+1}[c - b]_t$$

for arbitrary positive integers b, c, we have,

$$\frac{B_{21^{n-2}}(t)}{[n-3]_t!} =$$

$$t^{m_1 - 1}[m_2 - m_1]_t[m_2 - 2]_t + t^{m_2 - 2}[n - m_2]_t[m_2 - 1]_t + t^{m_2 - 1}[n - m_2]_t[m_1 - 1]_t.$$

The first term of the right side of the above equation equals

$$t^{m_1 - 1}([n - m_1]_t - t^{m_2 - m_1}[n - m_2]_t)[m_2 - 2]_t,$$

the second term equals

$$t^{m_2 - 2}[n - m_2]_t(1 + t[m_2 - 2]_t),$$

and the third term equals

$$t^{m_2 - 2}[n - m_2]_t([m_1]_t - 1).$$

Adding these expressions together yields (3).

    Arguments similar to those used to prove (3) prove (4). For $m_1 < i \le n$ and $m_2 < j \le n$, now let $\mathcal{T}_{P,i,j}$ be the set of all P-tableaux T of

shape $2^2 1^{n-4}$ such that the first row of T is occupied by 1 and i and the second row is occupied by 2 and j, and let $\mathcal{T}^*_{P,j,i}$ be the set of all P-tableaux T of shape $2^2 1^{n-4}$ such that the first row of T is occupied by 2 and j and the second row is occupied by 1 and i. Set

$$Y_{i,j}(t) := \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_{P,i,j}} t^{\mathrm{inv}_G(T)} \qquad \text{and} \qquad Y^*_{j,i}(t) := \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}^*_{P,j,i}} t^{\mathrm{inv}_G(T)}.$$

Then

$$(8.5) \qquad B_{2^2 1^{n-4}}(t) = \sum_{i,j} Y_{i,j}(t) + Y^*_{j,i}(t).$$

Having fixed the first two rows of a P-tabelau T of shape $2^2 1^{n-4}$, we can place the remaining $n-4$ elements of $[n]$ into T in arbitrary order, as there are no relations in P among these elements. It follows that

$$(8.6) \qquad Y_{i,j}(t) = \begin{cases} t^{i+j-6}[n-4]_t! & \text{if } m_1 < i \le m_2, \\ t^{i+j-6}[n-4]_t! & \text{if } m_2 < j < i \le n, \\ t^{i+j-7}[n-4]_t! & \text{if } m_2 < i < j \le n, \end{cases}$$

and

$$(8.7) \qquad Y^*_{j,i}(t) = \begin{cases} t^{i+j-5}[n-4]_t! & \text{if } m_1 < i \le m_2, \\ t^{i+j-5}[n-4]_t! & \text{if } m_2 < i < j \le n, \\ t^{i+j-6}[n-4]_t! & \text{if } m_2 < j < i \le n. \end{cases}$$

It is striaghtforward to show by induction that for nonnegative integers $a \le b$,

$$(8.8) \qquad \sum_{a < i < j \le b} t^{i+j} = t^{2a+3} \begin{bmatrix} b-a \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}_t.$$

Combining (8.5), (8.6), (8.7), and (8.8), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{B_{2^2 1^{n-4}}(t)}{[n-4]_t!} &= (1+t) \sum_{i=m_1+1}^{m_2} t^{i-3} \sum_{j=m_2+1}^{n} t^{j-3} + (1+t)^2 \sum_{m_2 < k < l \le n} t^{k+l-7} \\
&= [2]_t t^{m_1+m_2-4}[m_2-m_1]_t[n-m_2]_t + [2]_t^2 t^{2m_2-4} \begin{bmatrix} n-m_2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}_t \\
&= t^{m_1+m_2-4}[2]_t[n-m_2]_t([m_2-m_1]_t + [n-m_2-1]_t t^{m_2-m_1}).
\end{aligned}$$

Claim (4) follows quickly.

Finally, (8.2) can be proved by a direct calculation, which we present here. By simple manipulation we see that the right hand side of (8.2) is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{RHS} &= t^{m_2-2}[n-m_2]_t(t^{m_1-2}[2]_t[n-m_1-1]_t + [n-1]_t[m_1-2]_t) \\
&\quad + t^{m_1-1}[n-3]_t[n-m_1]_t[m_2-2]_t.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the identity $t^b[a - b]_t = [a]_t - [b]_t$, we obtain

$$t^{m_1-2}[2]_t[n - m_1 - 1]_t + [n - 1]_t[m_1 - 2]_t$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= [2]_t([n - 3]_t - [m_1 - 2]_t) + [n - 1]_t[m_1 - 2]_t \\ &= [2]_t[n - 3]_t + t^2[n - 3]_t[m_1 - 2]_t \\ &= [n - 3]_t[m_1]_t. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\text{RHS} = [n - 3]_t(t^{m_2-2}[n - m_2]_t[m_1]_t + t^{m_1-1}[n - m_1]_t[m_2 - 2]_t)$$

as desired. $\qquad\qquad\square$

The next three corollaries follow easily from Proposition 8.1.

Corollary 8.2. *Let $P = P(m_1, \ldots, m_{n-1})$ be a natural unit interval order. If $m_3 = n$ then $X_{\mathrm{inc}(P)}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ is e-positive and e-unimodal.*

Proof. Apply Propositions B.1 and B.3. $\qquad\qquad\square$

Corollary 8.3. *For $n \geq 2$,*

$$\begin{aligned} X_{G_{n,n-1}}(\mathbf{x}, t) &= [n - 2]_t![n - 1]_t^2 s_{1^n} + [n - 2]_t! t^{n-2} s_{21^{n-2}} \\ &= [n - 2]_t![n]_t[n - 2]_t e_n + [n - 2]_t! t^{n-2} e_{(n-1,1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 8.4. *For $n \geq 3$,*

$$\begin{aligned} X_{G_{n,n-2}}(\mathbf{x}, t) &= [n - 2]_t^2[n - 2]_t! s_{1^n} \\ &+ t^{n-3}[n - 3]_t!([2]_t[n - 3]_t + [n - 2]_t) s_{21^{n-2}} \\ &+ t^{2n-7}[2]_t[n - 4]_t! s_{2^21^{n-4}} \\ &= [n]_t[n - 3]_t^2[n - 3]_t! e_n \\ &+ t^{n-3}[n - 4]_t!([2]_t[n - 3]_t^2 + [n - 1]_t[n - 4]_t) e_{(n-1,1)} \\ &+ t^{2n-7}[2]_t[n - 4]_t! e_{(n-2,2)}. \end{aligned}$$

9. SPECIALIZATION

We review two important ways to specialize a quasisymmetric function. Let $R[[q]]$ denote the ring of formal power series in variable q with coefficients in R. For a quasisymmetric function $Q(x_1, x_2, \ldots) \in \mathrm{QSym}_R$, the *stable principal specialization* $\mathbf{ps}(Q) \in R[[q]]$ is, by definition, obtained from Q by substituting q^{i-1} for x_i for each $i \in \mathbb{P}$. That is,

$$\mathbf{ps}(Q) := Q(1, q, q^2, q^3, \ldots).$$

For each $m \in \mathbb{P}$, the *principal specialization* $\mathbf{ps}_m(Q)$ is given by

$$\mathbf{ps}_m(Q) := Q(1, q, \ldots, q^{m-1}, 0, 0, \ldots).$$

Lemma 9.1 ([GeRe, Lemma 5.2]). *For all $n \geq 1$ and $S \subseteq [n-1]$, we have*

$$(9.1) \qquad \mathbf{ps}(F_{S,n}) = \frac{q^{\sum_{i \in S} i}}{(q;q)_n}$$

and

$$(9.2) \qquad \sum_{m \geq 1} \mathbf{ps}_m(F_{S,n}) p^m = \frac{p^{|S|+1} q^{\sum_{i \in S} i}}{(p;q)_{n+1}},$$

where

$$(p;q)_n = \prod_{j=1}^{n} (1 - pq^{j-1}).$$

It follows from Lemma 9.1 that

$$(9.3) \qquad \mathbf{ps}(h_n) = \mathbf{ps}(F_{n,\emptyset}) = (q,q)_n^{-1}$$

for all n and therefore if $(k_1, \ldots, k_m)$ is a composition of n,

$$(9.4) \qquad (q,q)_n \, \mathbf{ps}(h_{(k_1,\ldots,k_m)}) = \left[\begin{array}{c} n \\ k_1, \ldots, k_m \end{array} \right]_q := \frac{[n]_q!}{[k_1]_q! \cdots [k_m]_q!}.$$

The following is an immediate consequence of Lemma 9.1.

Proposition 9.2. *Let F denote the basis of fundamental quasisymmetric functions and suppose $f(\mathbf{x}, t) \in \mathrm{QSym}_{\mathbb{Q}}^n[t]$ is F-positive (resp. F-unimodal). Then $(q;q)_n \mathbf{ps}(f(\mathbf{x}, t))$ is q-positive (resp. q-unimodal) and $(p;q)_{n+1} \sum_{m \geq 1} \mathbf{ps}_m(f(\mathbf{x}, t)) p^m$ is (p,q)-positive (resp. (p,q)-unimodal).*

Since b-positivity implies F-positivity when b is the Schur basis, the e basis or the h basis, Proposition 9.2 holds for $f(\mathbf{x}, t) \in \Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}^n[t]$ when F is replaced by any of these bases.

9.1. **Generalized q-Eulerian polynomials.** By applying Lemma 9.1 to the expansion of $\omega X_G(\mathbf{x}, t)$ in the basis of fundamental quasisymmetric functions given in Theorem 3.1, we obtain the following result. For the incomparability graph G of a poset P on $[n]$, define

$$A_G(q, p, t) := \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} t^{\mathrm{inv}_G(\sigma)} q^{\mathrm{maj}_P(\sigma)} p^{\mathrm{des}_P(\sigma)},$$

where $\mathrm{maj}_P(\sigma) := \sum_{i \in \mathrm{DES}_P(\sigma)} i$ and $\mathrm{des}_P(\sigma) := |\mathrm{DES}_P(\sigma)|$.

Theorem 9.3. *Let G be the incomparability graph of a poset P on $[n]$. Then*

$$(9.5) \qquad (q;q)_n \, \mathbf{ps}(\omega X_G(\mathbf{x}, t)) = A_G(q, 1, t)$$

and

$$(9.6) \qquad (p;q)_{n+1} \sum_{m \geq 1} \mathbf{ps}_m(\omega X_G(\mathbf{x},t)) p^{m-1} = A_G(q,p,t).$$

Corollary 9.4. *Let G be the incomparability graph of a poset P on $[n]$. Then $A_G(1,p,t)$ is palindromic as a polynommial in t. If $X_G(\mathbf{x},t)$ is symmetric in $\mathbf{x}$ then $A_G(q,p,t)$ is palindromic as a polynomial in t.*

Proof. Recall the involution ρ given in Section 2. Note that for all $S \subseteq [n-1]$, $\rho F_{n,S} = F_{n,n-S}$, where $n - S := \{n - i : i \in S\}$. Hence

$$(p;q)_{n+1} \sum_{m \geq 1} \mathbf{ps}_m(\rho F_{n,S}) p^{m-1} = (pq^n)^{|S|} q^{-\sum_{i \in S} i}.$$

Since ω and ρ commute it follows from Proposition 2.6 that

$$\rho(\omega X_G(\mathbf{x},t)) = t^{|E|} \omega X_G(\mathbf{x},t^{-1}).$$

It therefore follows from Theorem 3.1 that

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} t^{\mathrm{inv}_G(\sigma)} \rho F_{n,\mathrm{DES}_P(\sigma)} = t^{|E|} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} t^{-\mathrm{inv}_G(\sigma)} F_{n,\mathrm{DES}_P(\sigma)}.$$

Taking principal specializations $\mathbf{ps}_m$ of both sides yields

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} t^{\mathrm{inv}_G(\sigma)} (pq^n)^{\mathrm{des}_P(\sigma)} q^{-\mathrm{maj}_P(\sigma)} = t^{|E|} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} t^{-\mathrm{inv}_G(\sigma)} p^{\mathrm{des}_P(\sigma)} q^{\mathrm{maj}_P(\sigma)}.$$

Setting $q = 1$ gives

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} t^{\mathrm{inv}_G(\sigma)} p^{\mathrm{des}_P(\sigma)} = t^{|E|} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} t^{-\mathrm{inv}_G(\sigma)} p^{\mathrm{des}_P(\sigma)},$$

which establishes palindromicity of $A_G(1,p,t)$.

The palindromicity of $A_G(q,p,t)$ asserted in the second statement is a consequence of the palindromicity of $X_G(\mathbf{x},t)$ obtained in Corollary 2.8. $\square$

Example 9.5. For the graph G of Example 3.2(a), $X_G(\mathbf{x},t)$ is not symmetric in $\mathbf{x}$ and

$$A_G(q,p,t) = (1 + qp) + 2t + (1 + q^2 p) t^2$$

is not palindromic in t, but $A_G(1,p,t)$ is palindromic. For the graph G of Example 3.2(b), $X_G(\mathbf{x},t)$ is symmetric in $\mathbf{x}$ and

$$A_G(q,p,t) = 1 + (2 + qp + q^2 p) t + t^2$$

is palindromic in t.

By specializing Conjecture 5.1 (or the weaker Schur-unimodality conjecture) and applying Proposition 9.2 we obtain the following purely combinatorial conjecture. This conjecture is a (q,p)-analog of a result of De Mari, Procesi, and Shayman [DePrSh] discussed in Section 10.

Conjecture 9.6. [6] *Let G be the incomparability graph of a natural unit interval order on $[n]$. Then $A_G(q, p, t)$ is a (q, p)-unimodal polynomial in t.*

Now for each $r \in [n]$, let

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{inv}_{<r}(\sigma) &:= |\{(i,j) : i < j, \ 0 < \sigma(i) - \sigma(j) < r\}| \\
\mathrm{maj}_{\geq r}(\sigma) &:= \sum_{i:\sigma(i)-\sigma(i+1)\geq r} i \\
A_n^{(r)}(q,t) &:= \sum_{\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_n} q^{\mathrm{maj}_{\geq r}(\sigma)} t^{\mathrm{inv}_{<r}(\sigma)}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $\mathrm{inv}_{<r} = \mathrm{inv}_{G_{n,r}}$, $\mathrm{maj}_{\geq r} = \mathrm{maj}_{P_{n,r}}$ and $A_n^{(r)}(q,t) = A_{G_{n,r}}(q,1,t)$. The permutation statistic $\mathrm{inv}_{<r} + \mathrm{maj}_{\geq r}$ was introduced by Rawlings [Ra] who proved that it is Mahonian for all r, that is

$$
\sum_{\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_n} q^{\mathrm{maj}_{\geq r}(\sigma)+\mathrm{inv}_{<r}(\sigma)} = [n]_q!.
$$

Note that this Mahonian statistic interpolates between maj (when $r = 1$) and inv (when $r = n$). It follows from a more general result of Kasraoui [Ka, Theorem 1.8] that $\mathrm{maj}_P + \mathrm{inv}_{\mathrm{inc}(P)}$ is Mahonian for every natural unit interval order P. Note that the Mahonian property fails for general P; see Example 3.2 (a).

By Theorem 9.3 we have

$$
A_n^{(r)}(q,t) = (q;q)_n \mathbf{ps}(\omega X_{G_{n,r}}(\mathbf{x}, t)).
$$

Hence by specializing the formulae in Table 1 of Section 5 and using (9.4) we obtain Table 2 below. From this table and Propositions B.1 and B.3, we see that $A_n^{(r)}(q,t)$ is a q-unimodal polynomial in t for $r \leq 2$ and $r \geq n - 2$.

Since $\mathrm{inv}_{<2}(\sigma) = \mathrm{des}(\sigma^{-1})$, it follows from the definition of Eulerian polynomials given in (A.1) that $A_n^{(2)}(1,t) = A_n(t)$. We have the following q-analog of this observation, which involves the q-Eulerian polynomials introduced in [ShWa1, ShWa2].

---

[6]See Section 11: *Recent developments*

r	$A_n^{(r)}(q,t)$
1	$[n]_q!$
2	$\displaystyle\sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor\frac{n+1}{2}\rfloor}\ \sum_{k_1,\ldots,k_m\geq 2}\begin{bmatrix}n\\k_1-1,k_2,\ldots,k_m\end{bmatrix}_q t^{m-1}\prod_{i=1}^{m}[k_i-1]_t$
$\vdots$	
r	$[n]_t[r-1]_t^{n-r}[r-1]_t!\qquad +\qquad ???$
$\vdots$	
$n-2$	$[n]_t[n-3]_t^2[n-3]_t!+[n]_q\,t^{n-3}[n-4]_t!([2]_t[n-3]_t^2+[n-1]_t[n-4]_t)$ $+\begin{bmatrix}n\\n-2,2\end{bmatrix}_q t^{2n-7}[2]_t[n-4]_t!$
$n-1$	$[n]_t[n-2]_t[n-2]_t!+[n]_q t^{n-2}[n-2]_t!$
n	$[n]_t!$

TABLE 2. Specialization of Table 1.

Theorem 9.7. *Let $A_n(q,t)$ be the q-Eulerian polynomial*

$$A_n(q,t)=\sum_{\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_n}q^{\mathrm{maj}(\sigma)-\mathrm{exc}(\sigma)}t^{\mathrm{exc}(\sigma)}.$$

Then for all $n\geq 1$,

$$A_n^{(2)}(q,t)=A_n(q,t).$$

Proof. Since $G_{n,2}$ is the path G_n, applying the involution ω to both sides of (2.4) yields

$$(9.7)\qquad 1+\sum_{n\geq 1}\omega X_{G_{n,2}}(\mathbf{x},t)=\frac{(1-t)H(z)}{H(zt)-tH(z)},$$

where $H(z)=\sum_{n\geq 0}h_n(\mathbf{x})z^n$. Now by taking the stable principal specialization of both sides and applying (9.5) and (9.3), we have

$$1+\sum_{n\geq 1}A_n^{(2)}(q,t)\frac{z^n}{[n]_q!}=\frac{(1-t)\exp_q(z)}{\exp_q(tz)-t\exp_q(z)},$$

where

$$\exp_q(z):=\sum_{n\geq 0}\frac{z^n}{[n]_q!}.$$

The result now follows from (A.3).   □

Problem 9.8. Find a direct bijective proof of Theorem 9.7. The classical bijection for the $q=1$ case does not work for the q-analog. Also a combinatorial description of the coefficients of the fundamental quasisymmetric functions in the expansion of $X_{G_{n,2}}(\mathbf{x},t)$, which is different

from the one given here, is given in [ShWa2] . A combinatorial expla-
nation of why these coefficients are equal would be interesting.

9.2. **Evaluation of generalized q-Eulerian polynomials at roots
of unity.** It is surprising that for every nth root of unity ξ_n, the co-
efficients of $A_n^2(\xi_n, t) = A_n(\xi_n, t)$ are positive integers. This is a conse-
quence of the following result.

Theorem 9.9 (Sagan, Shareshian, and Wachs [SaShWa, Corollary
6.2]). *Let $dm = n$ and let ξ_d be any primitive dth root of unity. Then*

$$A_n(\xi_d, t) = [d]_t^m A_m(t).$$

*Consequently, $A_n(\xi_d, t)$ is a positive, palindromic, unimodal polynomial
in $\mathbb{Z}[t]$.*

A key tool in the proof of Theorem 9.9 is the following result, which
is implicit in [De] and stated explicitly in [SaShWa].

Lemma 9.10 (see [SaShWa]). *Suppose $u(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$ and there exists a
homogeneous symmetric function $U(\mathbf{x})$ of degree n with coefficients in
$\mathbb{Z}$ such that*

$$u(q) = (q; q)_n \, \mathbf{ps}(U(\mathbf{x})).$$

*If $dm = n$ then $u(\xi_d)$ is the coefficient of $z_{d^m}^{-1} p_{d^m}$ in the expansion of
$U(\mathbf{x})$ in the power sum basis.*

The following conjecture generalizes Theorem 9.9. Recall the def-
initions of $\mathcal{N}_{P,\mu}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{P,\mu}$ given prior to Conjecture 7.6 and Proposi-
tion 7.8, respectively.

Conjecture 9.11. [7] *Let $G = ([n], E)$ be the incomparability graph of
a natural unit interval order P and let*

$$A_G(q, t) := \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} q^{\mathrm{maj}_P(\sigma)} t^{\mathrm{inv}_G(\sigma)}.$$

If $dm = n$ and ξ_d is any primitive dth root of unity then

$$(9.8) \qquad A_G(\xi_d, t) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{N}_{P,d^m}} t^{\mathrm{inv}_G(\sigma)} = [d]_t^m \sum_{\sigma \in \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{P,d^m}} t^{\mathrm{inv}_G(\sigma)}.$$

Moreover, the palindromic polynomial $A_G(\xi_d, t)$ is unimodal.

Proposition 9.12. *If Conjecture 7.6 is true then Conjecture 9.11 is
true.*

---

[7]See Section 11: *Recent developments*

Proof. It follows from Lemma 9.10 and equation (9.5) that $A_G(\xi_d, t)$ is the coefficient of $z_{d^m}^{-1} p_{d^m}$ in the expansion of $\omega X_G(\mathbf{x}, t)$ in the power sum basis. By Conjecture 7.6 this coefficient is equal to $\sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{N}_{P,d^m}} t^{\mathrm{inv}_G(\sigma)}$, which by Proposition 7.8 equals $[d]_t^m \sum_{\sigma \in \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{P,d^m}} t^{\mathrm{inv}_G(\sigma)}$. It is also asserted in Conjecture 7.6 that $\sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{N}_{P,d^m}} t^{\mathrm{inv}_G(\sigma)}$ is unimodal. $\square$

In addition to the cases in which Conjecture 7.6 has been verified, Conjecture 9.11 is true for $d = 1$ and $d = n$. Equation (9.8) is trivial in the $d = 1$ case and the unimodality of $A_G(1, t)$ was established by De Mari, Procesi, and Shayman in [DePrSh] and is discussed in Section 10. For $d = n$ we have the following result.

Theorem 9.13. *Let G and ξ_n be as in Conjecture 9.11 and let b_i be as in (7.2). Then*

$$A_G(\xi_n, t) = [n]_t \prod_{i=2}^{n} [b_i]_t.$$

Consequently $A_G(\xi_n, t)$ is palindromic and unimodal.

Proof. By Lemma 9.10 and Theorem 9.3, $A_G(\xi_n, t)$ is the coefficient of $n^{-1} p_n$ in the p-basis expansion of $\omega X_G(\mathbf{x}, t)$. The result now follows from Theorem 7.1 and Propostion B.1. $\square$

10. HESSENBERG VARIETIES

In this section we discuss a conjectured connection, first presented in [ShWa3], between the chromatic quasisymmetric functions and Hessenberg varieties.

Given a positive integer n, let $\mathcal{F}_n$ be the variety of all flags of subspaces

$$F : V_1 \subset V_2 \subset \cdots \subset V_n = \mathbb{C}^n$$

with $\dim V_i = i$. Fix a diagonal $n \times n$ complex matrix s with n distinct eigenvalues. Let $\mathbf{m}$ be a weakly increasing sequence $(m_1, \ldots, m_{n-1})$ of integers in $[n]$ satisfying $m_i \geq i$ for each i. The *regular semisimple Hessenberg variety of type A* associated to $\mathbf{m}$ is

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{m}) := \{F \in \mathcal{F}_n \mid sV_i \subseteq V_{m_i} \text{ for all } i \in [n-1]\}.$$

The regular semisimple Hessenberg varieties of type A associated to $(r, r+1, \ldots, n, \ldots, n)$ were studied initially by De Mari and Shayman in [DeSh]. Hessenberg varieties for arbitrary Lie types were defined and studied by De Mari, Procesi and Shayman in [DePrSh]. Such varieties are determined by certain subsets of a root system. In [DePrSh, Theorem 11] it is noted that, for arbitrary Lie type, the Hessenberg variety associated with the set of (negatives of) simple roots is precisely the

toric variety associated with the corresponding Coxeter complex. In particular, in Lie type A, the Hessenberg variety associated with the simple roots is $\mathcal{H}(2, 3, \ldots, n)$. Thus

$$(10.1) \qquad \mathcal{H}(2, 3, \ldots, n) = \mathcal{V}_n,$$

where $\mathcal{V}_n$ is the toric variety associated with the Coxter complex of type A_{n-1}.

The symmetric group acts naturally on the type A Coxeter complex and this action induces an action of $\mathfrak{S}_n$ on $\mathcal{V}_n$, which in turn induces a representation of $\mathfrak{S}_n$ on its cohomology $H^*(\mathcal{V}_n)$. Stanley [St1, Proposition 12], using a recurrence of Procesi [Pr], obtained the formula

$$(10.2) \qquad \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \mathrm{ch} H^{2j}(\mathcal{V}_n) t^j z^n = \frac{(1-t)H(z)}{H(zt) - tH(z)},$$

where ch is the Frobenius characteristic and $H(z) := \sum_{n \geq 0} h_n z^n$. (The cohomology of every $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{m})$ vanishes in odd dimensions.)

Let P be a natural unit interval order on $[n]$ and let $\mathbf{m}$ be such that $P = P(\mathbf{m})$ (cf. the paragraph before Proposition 4.1). It is convenient to define the Hessenberg variety associated to P by $\mathcal{H}(P) := \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{m})$. Hence (10.1) can be rewritten as

$$(10.3) \qquad \mathcal{H}(P_{n,2}) = \mathcal{V}_n.$$

For each natural unit interval order P on $[n]$, Tymoczko [Ty2], [Ty3] has defined a representation of $\mathfrak{S}_n$ on $H^*(\mathcal{H}(P))$ via a theory of Goresky, Kottwitz and MacPherson, known as GKM theory (see [GoKoMacP], and see [Ty1] for an introductory description of GKM theory). The centralizer T of s in $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ consists of all nonsingular diagonal matrices. The torus T acts (by left translation) on $\mathcal{H}(P)$. The technical conditions required for application of GKM theory are satisfied by this action, and it follows that one can describe the cohomology of $\mathcal{H}(P)$ using the associated moment graph $M(P)$. The vertex set of $M(P)$ is $\mathfrak{S}_n$. Given $v, w \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, the edge $\{v, w\}$ lies in $E(M(P))$ if $v = (i, j)w$ for some transposition (i, j) such that $\{i, j\} \in E(\mathrm{inc}(P))$. Thus the action of $\mathfrak{S}_n$ on itself by right multiplication determines an action of $\mathfrak{S}_n$ on $M(P)$, and this action can be used to define a linear representation of $\mathfrak{S}_n$ on the cohomology $H^*(\mathcal{H}(P))$. For the remainder of the section, we will consider $H^*(\mathcal{H}(P))$ to be the $\mathfrak{S}_n$-module determined by the representation defined by Tymoczko.

It turns out that $H^*(\mathcal{H}(P_{n,2}))$ is isomorphic to the representation of $\mathfrak{S}_n$ on $H^*(\mathcal{V}_n)$ given in (10.2). Hence by (9.7)

$$\sum_{j \geq 0} \mathrm{ch} H^{2j}(\mathcal{H}(P_{n,2}))t^j = \omega X_{G_{n,2}}(\mathbf{x}, t).$$

In the case $r = 1$, $\mathcal{H}(P_{n,r})$ consists of $n!$ isolated points and $H^0(\mathcal{H}(P))$ is the regular $\mathfrak{S}_n$-module. Hence

$$\sum_{j \geq 0} \mathrm{ch} H^{2j}(\mathcal{H}(P_{n,1}))t^j = h_1^n.$$

When $r = n$, it follows from [Ty3, Proposition 4.2] that for all j, the $\mathfrak{S}_n$-module $H^{2j}(\mathcal{H}(P))$ is isomorphic to the direct sum of copies of the trivial $\mathfrak{S}_n$-module, where the number of copies equals the number of permutations in $\mathfrak{S}_n$ with j inversions. Hence by (A.2),

$$\sum_{j \geq 0} \mathrm{ch} H^{2j}(\mathcal{H}(P_{n,n}))t^j = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} t^{\mathrm{inv}(\sigma)} h_n = [n]_t! h_n.$$

By comparing with Table 1 in Section 5, we conclude that for $r = 1$ and $r = n$,

$$\sum_{j \geq 0} \mathrm{ch} H^{2j}(\mathcal{H}(P_{n,r}))t^j = \omega X_{G_{n,r}}(\mathbf{x}, t).$$

This has also been verified for $r = n - 1$ and $r = n - 2$ by comparing computations of Tymoczko (personal communication, see also [Te2]) to Table 1.

Conjecture 10.1. [8] *Let P be a natural unit interval order on $[n]$. Then*

(10.4)
$$\sum_{j=0}^{|E(\mathrm{inc}(P))|} \mathrm{ch} H^{2j}(\mathcal{H}(P))t^j = \omega X_{\mathrm{inc}(P)}(\mathbf{x}, t).$$

Combining Proposition 2.2 with [Te1, Theorem 4.10], one sees that if Conjecture 10.1 holds for all natural unit interval orders P such that $\mathrm{inc}(P)$ is connected, then it holds in general.

Further evidence of the validity of this conjecture is obtained by taking the stable principal specialization of both sides of (10.4). Indeed, by Theorem 9.3, equation (10.4) specializes to

$$(q; q)_n \sum_{j=0}^{|E(\mathrm{inc}(P))|} \mathbf{ps}(\mathrm{ch} H^{2j}(\mathcal{H}(P)))t^j = A_{\mathrm{inc}(P)}(q, 1, t).$$

---

[8]See Section 11: *Recent developments*

Now for any representation V of $\mathfrak{S}_n$, by evaluating the polynomial $(q;q)_n\mathbf{ps}(\mathrm{ch}V)$ at $q = 1$, one obtains the dimension of V. Hence the specialization of (10.4) at $q = 1$ is the formula

$$(10.5) \qquad \sum_{j=0}^{|E(\mathrm{inc}(P))|} \dim H^{2j}(\mathcal{H}(P))t^j = A_{\mathrm{inc}(P)}(1,1,t).$$

of De Mari, Procesi and Shayman [DePrSh].

Equation (10.5) is also equivalent to the statement that the coefficient of the monomial symmetric function m_{1^n} in the expansion of the left hand side of (10.4) in the monomial symmetric function basis equals the coefficient of m_{1^n} in the expansion of the right hand side of (10.4).

It is also pointed out in [DeSh, DePrSh] that it follows from the hard Lefschetz theorem that $A_{\mathrm{inc}(P)}(1,1,t)$ is palindromic and unimodal, since $\mathcal{H}(P)$ is smooth. Hence Conjecture 9.6 holds when $p = q = 1$. As far as we know there is no elementary proof of this case of the conjecture (cf. [St2, Ex. 1.50 f]).

Proposition 10.2. *If Conjecture 10.1 is true then $X_{\mathrm{inc}(P)}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ is Schur-unimodal. Consequently, Conjecture 10.1 implies Conjecture 9.6.*

Proof. MacPherson and Tymoczko [MacTy] show that the hard Lefschetz map for $H^*(\mathcal{H}(P))$ commutes with the action of the symmetric group. It follows that $\sum_{j=0}^{|E(\mathrm{inc}(P))|} \mathrm{ch}H^{2j}(\mathcal{H}(P))t^j$ is Schur-unimodal. Hence Schur-unimodality of $X_{\mathrm{inc}(P)}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ would follow from (10.4). The consequence follows from Proposition 9.2. $\square$

Conjecture 10.1, if true, would provide, via Theorem 6.3, a solution of the following problem.

Problem 10.3 (Tymoczko [Ty3])**.** Describe the decomposition of the representation of $\mathfrak{S}_n$ on $H^{2j}(\mathcal{H}(P))$ into irreducibles.

Although Conjecture 10.1 does not imply e-positivity of $X_{\mathrm{inc}(P)}(\mathbf{x}, t)$, it suggests an approach to establishing e-positivity. Indeed, Conjecture 1.1 follows from Conjecture 10.1 and the following conjecture.

Conjecture 10.4. *For every natural unit interval order P, every $\mathfrak{S}_n$-module $H^{2j}(\mathcal{H}(P))$ is a permutation module in which each point stabilizer is a Young subgroup.*

11. Recent developments

Athansiadis [At] has proved our formula (7.12) in Conjecture 7.6, which gives the power-sum expansion of $\omega X_G(\mathbf{x}, t)$ when G is the incomparability graph of a natural unit interval order. As was discussed in Proposition 9.12, formula (9.8) in Conjecture 9.11 is a consequence.

Brosnan and Chow have posted a proof of our Conjecture 10.1 (Conjecture 1.4), which relates the chromatic quasisymmetric functions to the Hessenberg varieties, see [BrCh]. As was discussed in Proposition 10.2, Schur-unimodality of $X_G(\mathbf{x}, t)$ and (q, p)-unimodality of $A_G(q, p, t)$ (Conjecture 9.6) follow from this.

More recently, Guay-Paquet has posted an alternative approach to proving our Conjecture 10.1 (Conjecture 1.4); see [Gu2]. Also Abe, Harada, Horiguchi, and Masuda have posted a formula for the coefficient of s_n in the Schur expansion of the left hand side of (10.4) that is identical to the right hand side of (6.6), thereby providing an independent proof of the fact that the coefficients of s_n on both sides of (10.4) are equal, see [AHHM].

Clearman, Hyatt, Shelton and Skandera [CHSS1, CHSS2] have given an algebraic interpretation of the chromatic quasisymmetric functions of incomparability graphs of natural unit interval orders in terms of characters of type A Hecke algebras evaluated at Kazhdan-Lusztig basis elements. This refines a known algebraic interpretation for chromatic symmetric functions developed in Haiman [Ha], Stembridge [Ste2], Stanley-Stembridge [StSte], and Stanley [St5].

Appendix A. Permutation statistics

Let $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$. The excedance number of σ is given by

$$\mathrm{exc}(\sigma) := |\{i \in [n-1] : \sigma(i) > i\}|.$$

The descent set of σ is given by

$$\mathrm{DES}(\sigma) := \{i \in [n-1] : \sigma(i) > \sigma(i+1)\},$$

and the descent number and major index are

$$\mathrm{des}(\sigma) := |\mathrm{DES}(\sigma)| \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{maj}(\sigma) := \sum_{i \in \mathrm{DES}(\sigma)} i.$$

The inversion statistic is defined as

$$\mathrm{inv}(\sigma) = |\{(i, j) \in [n] \times [n] : i < j \text{ and } \sigma(i) > \sigma(j)\}|.$$

The number of fixed points of σ is given by

$$\mathrm{fix}(\sigma) := |\{i \in [n] : \sigma(i) = i\}|.$$

It is a well known result of MacMahon that the permutation statistics exc and des are equidistributed on $\mathfrak{S}_n$. The common generating functions for these statistics are called Eulerian polynomials. That is, the Eulerian polynomials are defined as

$$(A.1) \qquad A_n(t) := \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} t^{\mathrm{des}(\sigma)} = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} t^{\mathrm{exc}(\sigma)}.$$

Any permutation statistic with generating function $A_n(t)$ is called an Eulerian statistic.

It is also well-known (and due to MacMahon) that the major index is equidistributed with the inversion statistic and that

$$(A.2) \qquad \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} q^{\mathrm{maj}(\sigma)} = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} q^{\mathrm{inv}(\sigma)} = [n]_q!,$$

where

$$[n]_q := 1 + q + \cdots + q^{n-1} \text{ and } [n]_q! := [n]_q[n-1]_q \ldots [1]_q.$$

Any permutation statistic equidistributed with maj and inv is called a Mahonian permutation statistic.

In [ShWa1, ShWa2], we studied the joint distribution of the (Mahonian) major index, and the (Eulerian) excedance number. We showed that the (q, r)-Eulerian polynomial defined by

$$A_n(q, r, t) := \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} q^{\mathrm{maj}(\sigma) - \mathrm{exc}(\sigma)} r^{\mathrm{fix}(\sigma)} t^{\mathrm{exc}(\sigma)}$$

has exponential generating function given by

$$(A.3) \qquad 1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} A_n(q, r, t) \frac{z^n}{[n]_q!} = \frac{(1-t)\exp_q(rz)}{\exp_q(tz) - t\exp_q(z)},$$

where

$$\exp_q(z) := \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{z^n}{[n]_q!}.$$

By setting $q = 1$ and $r = 1$ in (A.3) one gets Euler's classical exponential generating function formula for the Eulerian polynomials $A_n(t) = A_n(1, 1, t)$.

Appendix B. Palindromicity and unimodality

We say that a polynomial $f(t) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i t^i$ in $\mathbb{Q}[t]$ is positive, unimodal and palindromic with center of symmetry $\frac{n}{2}$ if

$$0 \leq a_0 \leq a_1 \leq \cdots \leq a_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} = a_{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor} \geq \cdots \geq a_{n-1} \geq a_n \geq 0,$$

and $a_i = a_{n-i}$ for all $i = 0, \ldots, n$. The next proposition gives a basic tool for establishing unimodality of polynomials in $\mathbb{Q}[t]$.

Proposition B.1 (see [St1, Proposition 1]). *Let $A(t)$ and $B(t)$ be positive, unimodal and palindromic polynomials in $\mathbb{Q}[t]$ with respective centers of symmetry c_A and c_B. Then*

(1) *$A(t)B(t)$ is positive, unimodal, and palindromic with center of symmetry $c_A + c_B$.*
(2) *If $c_A = c_B$ then $A(t) + B(t)$ is positive, unimodal and palindromic with center of symmetry c_A.*

For example, from Proposition B.1 (1) we see that each term of the sum $[5]_t[2]_t + [6]_t + t[4]_t + [4]_t[3]_t$ is positive, unimodal and palindromic with center of symmetry $\frac{5}{2}$. From Proposition B.1 (2) we conclude that the sum itself is also positive, unimodal and palindromic with center of symmetry $\frac{5}{2}$. Note that the well-known palindromicity and unimodality of each row of Pascal's triangle is an immediate consequence of Proposition B.1 (1); indeed $(1 + t)^n$ is a product of positive, unimodal and palindromic polynomials.

We say that a polynomial $f(q) \in \mathbb{Q}[q]$ is q-positive if its nonzero coefficients are positive. Given polynomials $f(q), g(q) \in \mathbb{Q}[q]$ we say that $f(q) \leq_q g(q)$ if $g(q) - f(q)$ is q-positive. More generally, let R be an algebra over $\mathbb{Q}$ with basis b. An element $s \in R$ is said to be b-*positive* if the expansion of s in the basis b has nonnegative coefficients. Given $r, s \in R$, we say that $r \leq_b s$ if $s - r$ is b-positive. If $R = \mathbb{Q}[q]$ and $b = \{q^i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ then b-positive is what we called q-positive above and $<_b$ is the same as $<_q$.

Definition B.2. Let R be a $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra with basis b. We say that a polynomial $A(t) := a_0 + a_1 t + \cdots + a_n t^n \in R[t]$ is b-*positive* if each coefficient a_i is b-positive. The polynomial $A(t)$ is b-*unimodal* if

$$a_0 \leq_b a_1 \leq_b \cdots \leq_b a_c \geq_b a_{c+1} \geq_b a_{c+2} \geq_b \cdots \geq_b a_n,$$

for some c. The polynomial $A(t)$ is *palindromic* with center of symmetry $\frac{n}{2}$ if $a_j = a_{n-j}$ for $0 \leq j \leq n$.

Proposition B.1 holds for polynomials $A(t), B(t) \in R[t]$, with positivity and unimodality replaced by b-positivity and b-unimodality, respectively.

Note that if b is a basis for the $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra R then it is also a basis for the $\mathbb{Q}[t]$-algebra $R[t]$. Indeed, let $A(t) = a_0 + a_1 t + \cdots + a_n t^n \in R[t]$ and let $a_{i,j} \in \mathbb{Q}$ be the expansion coefficients of each a_j in the basis

$b := \{b_i : i \geq 0\}$; that is, $a_j = \sum_{i \geq 0} a_{i,j} b_i$. We have

$$A(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \left(\sum_{i \geq 0} a_{i,j} b_i \right) t^j = \sum_{i \geq 0} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n} a_{i,j} t^j \right) b_i.$$

The next result is a convenient reformulation of Proposition B.1 (2) for more general coefficient rings.

Proposition B.3. *Let R be a $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra with basis b. Suppose $P(t) := \sum_{i=0}^{m} X_i Y_i(t) \in R[t]$, where $X_i \in R \setminus \{0\}$ is b-positive and $Y_i(t) \in \mathbb{Q}[t] \setminus \{0\}$. Then the polynomial $P(t)$ is b-positive, b-unimodal, and palindromic with center of symmetry c if each $Y_i(t)$ is positive, unimodal, and palindromic with center of symmetry c.*

Proof. Since $Y_i(t)$ is positive, unimodal, and palindromic with center of symmetry c, we have $Y_i(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{2c} y_{i,j} t^j$, where $y_{i,j} \geq 0$, $y_{i,j} = y_{i,2c-j}$ for each i, j, and

$$y_{i,0} \leq y_{i,1} \leq \cdots \leq y_{i,\lfloor c \rfloor},$$

for each i. Now

$$P(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{m} \sum_{j=0}^{2c} X_i\, y_{i,j}\, t^j$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{2c} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{m} y_{i,j} X_i \right) t^j.$$

Since each $y_{i,j} \geq 0$ and each X_i is b-positive, each $\sum_{i=0}^{m} y_{i,j} X_i$ is b-positive, making $P(t)$ b-positive. Since $y_{i,j} = y_{i,2c-j}$, each $\sum_{i=0}^{m} y_{i,j} X_i = \sum_{i=0}^{m} y_{i,2c-j} X_i$, making $P(t)$ palindromic. Finally, since $y_{i,j+1} - y_{i,j} \geq 0$ for each $j = 0, \ldots, \lfloor c \rfloor - 1$, we see that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{m} y_{i,j+1} X_i - \sum_{i=0}^{m} y_{i,j} X_i = \sum_{i=0}^{m} (y_{i,j+1} - y_{i,j}) X_i$$

is b-positive, making $P(t)$ b-unimodal. $\qquad \square$

For example, consider the polynomial

$$P(q,t) := ([5]_t[2]_t + [6]_t + t[4]_t)(3q + q^5) + [4]_t[3]_t(1 + 2q^3).$$

By Proposition B.1 the polynomials $[5]_t[2]_t + [6]_t + t[4]_t$ and $[4]_t[3]_t$ in $\mathbb{Q}[t]$ are positive, unimodal and palindromic with center of symmetry $\frac{5}{2}$. The polynomials $3q + q^5$ and $1 + 2q^3$ are q-positive. It therefore follows from Proposition B.3 that $P(q,t) \in \mathbb{Q}[q][t]$ is q-positive, q-unimodal and palindromic with center of symmetry $\frac{5}{2}$.

Remark B.4. We have changed the terminology from our previous papers (e.g. [ShWa2]) where we referred to q-positivity and q-unimodality of polynomials in $\mathbb{Q}[q][t]$ as t-positivity and t-unimodality, respectively.

APPENDIX C. UNIMODALITY OF q-EULERIAN POLYNOMIALS AND SMIRNOV WORD ENUMERATORS

C.1. **The q-Eulerian polynomial $A_n(q, t)$.** It is well known that the Eulerian polynomials are palindromic and unimodal. In [ShWa2] a q-analog of this result is obtained for $A_n(q, t) := A_n(q, 1, t)$. In this section we present an alternative proof as an easy consequence of the closed form formula given in the following result.

Theorem C.1. *For $n \geq 1$,*
(C.1)
$$
A_n(q, t) = \sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor} \sum_{\substack{k_1,\ldots,k_m \geq 2 \\ \sum k_i = n+1}} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k_1 - 1, k_2, \ldots, k_m \end{bmatrix}_q t^{m-1} \prod_{i=1}^{m} [k_i - 1]_t ,
$$

where
$$
\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k_1, \ldots, k_m \end{bmatrix}_q = \frac{[n]_q!}{[k_1]_q! \cdots [k_m]_q!}.
$$

Proof. We rewrite the $r = 1$ case of (A.3) as

$$
1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} A_n(q, t) \frac{z^n}{[n]_q!} = 1 + \frac{\sum_{n \geq 1} [n]_t \frac{z^n}{[n]_q!}}{1 - t \sum_{n \geq 2} [n-1]_t \frac{z^n}{[n]_q!}}
$$

and extract the coefficients of $\frac{z^n}{[n]_q!}$. $\qquad\qquad\qquad\square$

Corollary C.2 (Shareshian and Wachs [ShWa2]). *For all $n \geq 1$, the polynomial $A_n(q, t)$ is q-unimodal and palindromic.*

Proof. By Proposition B.1 (1), the polynomial $t^{m-1} \prod_{i=1}^m [k_i - 1]_t$ is positive, unimodal and palindromic with center of symmetry

$$
m - 1 + \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{k_i - 2}{2} = \frac{n-1}{2}.
$$

Since each polynomial $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k_1 - 1, k_2, \ldots, k_m \end{bmatrix}_q$ is q-positive, the result now follows from Proposition B.3. $\qquad\qquad\qquad\square$

C.2. **The Smirnov word enumerator** $W_n(\mathbf{x}, t)$. Let W_n be the set of all words of length n over alphabet $\mathbb{P}$ with no adjacent repeats, i.e.,

$$W_n := \{w \in \mathbb{P}^n : w_i \neq w_{i+1} \ \forall i = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1\}.$$

These words are sometimes called *Smirnov words*. Define the enumerator

$$W_n(\mathbf{x}, t) := \sum_{w \in W_n} t^{\operatorname{des}(w)} x_{w_1} \cdots x_{w_n},$$

where $\operatorname{des}(w) = \{i \in [n-1] : w_i > w_{i+1}\}$.

Stanley (personal communication) observed that by P-partition reciprocity [St2, Section 4.5], $W_n(\mathbf{x}, t)$ is equal to $\sum_{j=0}^{n} \omega Q_{n,j}(\mathbf{x}) t^j$, where $Q_{n,j}(\mathbf{x})$ is the Eulerian quasisymmetric function considered in [ShWa2]. This observation follows from the characterization of $Q_{n,j}(\mathbf{x})$ involving "banners", given in [ShWa2, Theorem 3.6]. The following result, which refines a formula of Carlitz, Scoville and Vaughan [CaScVa], is therefore equivalent to the $r = 1$ case of [ShWa2, Theorem 1.2].

Theorem C.3 (Stanley (see [ShWa2, Theorem 7.2])). *Let*

$$E(z) := \sum_{n \geq 0} e_n(\mathbf{x}) z^n.$$

Then

(C.2) $$\sum_{n \geq 0} W_n(\mathbf{x}, t) z^n = \frac{(1-t)E(z)}{E(zt) - tE(z)}.$$

The following closed form formula for $W_n(\mathbf{x}, t)$ is obtained by rewriting (C.2) as

(C.3) $$\sum_{n \geq 0} W_n(\mathbf{x}, t) z^n = 1 + \frac{\sum_{n \geq 1} [n]_t e_n z^n}{1 - t \sum_{n \geq 2} [n-1]_t e_n z^n}.$$

Theorem C.4. *For all $n \geq 1$,*

$$W_n(\mathbf{x}, t) = \sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor} \sum_{\substack{k_1, \ldots, k_m \geq 2 \\ \sum k_i = n+1}} e_{(k_1-1, k_2, \ldots, k_m)} \ t^{m-1} \prod_{i=1}^{m} [k_i - 1]_t$$

where $e_{(i_1, \ldots, i_m)} := e_{i_1} \ldots e_{i_m}$ for all $(i_1, \ldots, i_m) \in \mathbb{P}^m$.

The following consequence of Theorem C.4 is obtained by applying Propositions B.1 and B.3.

Corollary C.5. *For all $n \geq 1$, the polynomial $W_n(\mathbf{x}, t)$ is e-positive, e-unimodal and palindromic.*

Remark C.6. Our proof of Corollary C.5 is based on an observation of Haiman in [Ha, Equation (4.9)]. Stanley observed in [St1] that the weaker result that the coefficient of z^n in the formal power series $\frac{(1-t)E(z)}{E(zt)-tE(z)}$ is a palindromic Schur-unimodal polynomial is a consequence of (10.2) and the hard Lefshetz theorem. Stembridge observed in [Ste2] that p-unimodality of the coefficients is a also a consequence of (10.2) and the hard Lefshetz theorem.

Remark C.7. Theorem C.1 and Corollary C.2 are specializations of Theorem C.4 and Corollary C.5, respectively (see Section 9).

Acknowledgments

References

[AHHM]   H. Abe, M. Harada, T. Horiguchi, and M. Masuda, The cohomology rings of regular nilpotent Hessenberg varieties in Lie type A, arXiv:1512.09072.

[At]   C. Athanasiadis, Power sum expansion of chromatic quasisymmetric functions, *Electron. J. Combin.* **22** (2015), P 2.7, 9pp.

[BrCh]   P. Brosnan and T. Y. Chow, Unit Interval Orders and the Dot Action on the Cohomology of Regular Semisimple Hessenberg Varieties, arXiv:1511.00773.

[CaScVa] L. Carlitz, R. Scoville, and T. Vaughan, Enumeration of pairs of sequences by rises, falls and levels, *Manuscripta Math.* **19** (1976), 211–243.

[Ch1]   T. Chow, *Symmetric Function generalizations of graph polynomials*, MIT Ph.D. dissertation, 1995.

[Ch2]   T. Chow, Descents, quasi-symmetric functions, Robinson-Schensted for posets, and the chromatic symmetric function, *J. Algebraic Combin.* **10** (1999), no. 3, 227–240.

[CHSS1]   S. Clearman, M. Hyatt, B. Shelton, and M. Skandera, Evaluations of Hecke algebra traces at Kazhdan-Lusztig basis elements, *Discrete Math. Theor. Comput. Sci. Proc.*, **AS**, 2013, 1119–1130.

[CHSS2]   Clearman, M. Hyatt, B. Shelton, M. Skandera, Evaluations of Hecke algebra traces at KazhdanLusztig basis elements, Electron. J. Combin., to appear, arXiv:1502.04633.

[DePrSh]   F. De Mari, C. Procesi and M. Shayman, Hessenberg varieties, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **332** (1992), no. 2, 529–534.

[DeSh]   F. De Mari and M. Shayman, Generalized Eulerian numbers and the topology of the Hessenberg variety of a matrix, *Acta Appl. Math.* **12** (1988), no. 3, 213–235.

[De]   J. Désarménien, Fonctions symétriques associées à des suites classiques de nombres, *Ann. scient. Éc. Norm. Sup.* **16** (1983), 271–304.

[Ga1]   V. Gasharov, Incomparability graphs of $(3 + 1)$-free posets are *s*-positive, Proceedings of the 6th Conference on Formal Power Series and Algebraic Combinatorics (New Brunswick, NJ, 1994), *Discrete Math.* **157** (1996), no. 1-3, 193–197.

[Ga2]   V. Gasharov, On Stanley's chromatic symmetric function and clawfree graphs, *Discrete Mathematics* **205** (1999), 229–234.

[GeRe]   I. M. Gessel and C. Reutenauer, Counting permutations with given cycle structure and descent set, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **64** (1993), 189–215.

[GoKoMacP] M. Goresky, R. Kottwitz and R. MacPherson, Equivariant cohomology, Koszul duality, and the localization theorem, *Invent. Math.* **131** (1998), no. 1, 25–83.

[Gu1]   M. Guay-Paquet, A modular law for the chromatic symmetric functions of $(3 + 1)$-free posets, preprint, arXiv:1306.2400v1.

[Gu2]   M. Guay-Paquet, A second proof of the Shareshian-Wachs conjecture, by way of a new Hopf Algebra, arXiv:1601.05498.

[Hu]   B. Humpert, A quasisymmetric function generalization of the chromatic symmetric function, *Electron. J. Combin.* **18** (2011), P 1.31, 13 pp.

[Ha]   M. Haiman, Hecke algebra characters and immanant conjectures, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **6** (1993), no. 3, 569–595.

[Ka]   A. Kasraoui, A classification of Mahonian maj-inv statistics. *Adv. in Appl. Math.* **42** (2009), no. 3, 342–357.

[MacTy]   R. MacPherson and J. Tymoczko, in preparation.

[MaMoWa]   J. Martin, M. Morin and J. Wagner, On distinguishing trees by their chromatic symmetric functions, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **115** (2008), no. 2, 237–253.

[NW]   S. D. Noble and D. J. A. Welsh, A weighted graph polynomial from chromatic invariants of knots, *Annales de l'institut Fourier*, **49** (1999), no. 3, 1057–1087.

[Pr]   C. Procesi, The toric variety associated to Weyl chambers, in *Mots*, 153–161, Lang. Raison. Calc., Herms, Paris, 1990.

[Ra]   D. Rawlings, The *r*-major index, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **31** (1981), no. 2, 175–183.

[SaShWa]   B. Sagan, J. Shareshian and M. L. Wachs, Eulerian quasisymmetric functions and cyclic sieving, *Adv. Applied Math* **46** (2011), 536–562.

[ScSu]   D. Scott and P. Suppes, Foundational aspects of theories of measurement, *J. Symb. Logic* **23** (1958), 113–128.

[ShWa1]   J. Shareshian and M. L. Wachs, *q*-Eulerian polynomials: excedance number and major index, *Electron. Res. Announc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **13** (2007), 33–45.

[ShWa2]   J. Shareshian and M. L. Wachs, Eulerian quasisymmetric functions,
            Adv. Math. **225** (2010), no. 6, 2921–2966.

[ShWa3]   J. Shareshian and M. L. Wachs, Chromatic quasisymmetric func-
            tions and Hessenberg varieties, In: "Configuration Spaces", Pro-
            ceedings, A. Bjorner, F. Cohen, C. De Concini, C. Procesi and
            M. Salvetti (eds.), Edizioni della Normale, Pisa, 2012, 433–460
            (arXiv:1106.4287).

[St1]   R. P. Stanley, Log-concave and unimodal sequences in algebra, com-
            binatorics, and geometry, *Graph theory and its applications: East
            and West (Jinan, 1986)*, 500-535, Ann. New York Acad. Sci., **576**,
            New York Acad. Sci., New York, 1989.

[St2]   R. P. Stanley, *Enumerative Combinatorics, Volume 1, 2nd ed.*, Cam-
            bridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, **49**, Cambridge University
            Press, Cambridge, 2011.

[St3]   R. P. Stanley, *Enumerative Combinatorics, Volume 2*, Cambridge
            Studies in Advanced Mathematics, **62**, Cambridge University Press,
            Cambridge, 1999.

[St4]   R. P. Stanley, A symmetric function generalization of the chromatic
            polynomial of a graph, *Adv. Math.* **111** (1995), no. 1, 166–194.

[St5]   R. P. Stanley, Graph colorings and related symmetric functions:
            ideas and applications, Selected papers in honor of Adriano Garsia
            (Taormina, 1994), *Discrete Math.* **193** (1998), no. 1-3, 267–286.

[StSte]   R. P. Stanley and J. R. Stembridge, On immanants of Jacobi Trudi
            matrices and permutations with restricted positions, *J. Combin. The-
            ory Ser. A* **62** (1993), 261–279.

[Ste1]   J. R. Stembridge, Some conjectures for immanants, *Can. J. Math.*
            44 (1992), 1079–1099.

[Ste2]   J. R. Stembridge, Eulerian numbers, tableaux, and the Betti numbers
            of a toric variety, *Discrete Math.* **99** (1992), 307–320.

[Ste3]   J. R. Stembridge, Some permutation representations of Weyl groups
            associated with the cohomology of toric varieties, *Adv. Math.* **106**
            (1994), 244–301.

[Te1]   N. Teff, Representations on Hessenberg Varieties and Youngs Rule,
            Discrete Math. Theor. Comput. Sci. Proc., **AO**, 2011, 903–914.

[Te2]   N. Teff, A divided difference operator for the highest-root Hessenberg
            variety, *Discrete Math. Theor. Comput. Sci. Proc.*, **AS**, 2013, 993–
            1004.

[Ty1]   J. Tymoczko, An introduction to equivariant cohomology and ho-
            mology, following Goresky, Kottwitz, and MacPherson, Snowbird lec-
            tures in algebraic geometry, 169-188, *Contemp. Math.*, **388**, Amer.
            Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005.

[Ty2]   J. Tymoczko, Permutation representations on Schubert varieties,
            Amer. J. Math. **130** (2008), no. 5, 1171–1194.

[Ty3]   J. Tymoczko, Permutation actions on equivariant cohomology, Toric
            topology, 365–384, *Contemp. Math.* **460**, Amer. Math. Soc., Provi-
            dence, RI, 2008.

[Wo]   H. L. Wolfgang, *Two interactions between combinatorics and representation theory: monomial imminants and Hochschild cohomology*, MIT Ph.D. dissertation, 1997.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY, ST. LOUIS, MO 63130

E-mail address: `shareshi@math.wustl.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MIAMI, CORAL GABLES, FL 33124

E-mail address: `wachs@math.miami.edu`