## Noncrossing Parking Functions

### Drew Armstrong (with B. Rhoades and V. Reiner)

University of Miami www.math.miami.edu/~armstrong

"Non-crossing partitions in representation theory" Bielefeld, June 2014

### 1. Parking Functions

- 2. Noncrossing Partitions
- 3. Noncrossing Parking Functions

- 1. Parking Functions
- 2. Noncrossing Partitions
- 3. Noncrossing Parking Functions

- 1. Parking Functions
- 2. Noncrossing Partitions
- 3. Noncrossing Parking Functions

### Definition

A parking function is a vector  $\vec{a} = (a_1, a_2, ..., a_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$  whose increasing rearrangement  $b_1 \leq b_2 \leq \cdots \leq b_n$  satisfies:

$$\forall i, b_i \leq i$$

- ▶ There are *n* cars.
- Car i wants to park in space a<sub>i</sub>.
- ▶ If space *a<sub>i</sub>* is full, she parks in first available space.
- Car 1 parks first, then car 2, etc.
- " $\vec{a}$  is a parking function"  $\equiv$  "everyone is able to park".

### Definition

A parking function is a vector  $\vec{a} = (a_1, a_2, ..., a_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$  whose increasing rearrangement  $b_1 \leq b_2 \leq \cdots \leq b_n$  satisfies:

$$\forall i, b_i \leq i$$

- There are n cars.
- Car i wants to park in space a<sub>i</sub>.
- If space a<sub>i</sub> is full, she parks in first available space.
- Car 1 parks first, then car 2, etc.
- " $\vec{a}$  is a parking function"  $\equiv$  "everyone is able to park".

### Definition

A parking function is a vector  $\vec{a} = (a_1, a_2, ..., a_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$  whose increasing rearrangement  $b_1 \leq b_2 \leq \cdots \leq b_n$  satisfies:

$$\forall i, b_i \leq i$$

- There are n cars.
- Car i wants to park in space a<sub>i</sub>.
- If space a<sub>i</sub> is full, she parks in first available space.
- Car 1 parks first, then car 2, etc.
- " $\vec{a}$  is a parking function"  $\equiv$  "everyone is able to park".

### Definition

A parking function is a vector  $\vec{a} = (a_1, a_2, ..., a_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$  whose increasing rearrangement  $b_1 \leq b_2 \leq \cdots \leq b_n$  satisfies:

$$\forall i, b_i \leq i$$

- There are n cars.
- ► Car *i* wants to park in space *a<sub>i</sub>*.
- If space a<sub>i</sub> is full, she parks in first available space.
- Car 1 parks first, then car 2, etc.
- " $\vec{a}$  is a parking function"  $\equiv$  "everyone is able to park".

### Definition

A parking function is a vector  $\vec{a} = (a_1, a_2, ..., a_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$  whose increasing rearrangement  $b_1 \leq b_2 \leq \cdots \leq b_n$  satisfies:

$$\forall i, b_i \leq i$$

- There are n cars.
- ► Car *i* wants to park in space *a<sub>i</sub>*.
- If space  $a_i$  is full, she parks in first available space.
- Car 1 parks first, then car 2, etc.
- " $\vec{a}$  is a parking function"  $\equiv$  "everyone is able to park".

### Definition

A parking function is a vector  $\vec{a} = (a_1, a_2, ..., a_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$  whose increasing rearrangement  $b_1 \leq b_2 \leq \cdots \leq b_n$  satisfies:

$$\forall i, b_i \leq i$$

Imagine a one-way street with n parking spaces.

- There are n cars.
- ► Car *i* wants to park in space *a<sub>i</sub>*.
- If space  $a_i$  is full, she parks in first available space.
- Car 1 parks first, then car 2, etc.

• " $\vec{a}$  is a parking function"  $\equiv$  "everyone is able to park".

### Definition

A parking function is a vector  $\vec{a} = (a_1, a_2, ..., a_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$  whose increasing rearrangement  $b_1 \leq b_2 \leq \cdots \leq b_n$  satisfies:

$$\forall i, b_i \leq i$$

- There are n cars.
- ► Car *i* wants to park in space *a<sub>i</sub>*.
- ▶ If space *a<sub>i</sub>* is full, she parks in first available space.
- Car 1 parks first, then car 2, etc.
- " $\vec{a}$  is a parking function"  $\equiv$  "everyone is able to park".

## Example (n = 3)

111					
112	121	211			
113	131	311			
122	212	221			
123	132	213	231	312	321

#### Note that $\#PF_3 = 16$ and $\mathfrak{S}_3$ acts on $PF_3$ with 5 orbits.



Example $(n = 3)$									
	111								
	112	121	211						
	113	131	311						
	122	212	221						
	123	132	213	231	312	321			

Note that  $\#PF_3 = 16$  and  $\mathfrak{S}_3$  acts on  $PF_3$  with 5 orbits.



Example $(n = 3)$									
	111								
	112	121	211						
	113	131	311						
	122	212	221						
	123	132	213	231	312	321			

Note that  $\#PF_3 = 16$  and  $\mathfrak{S}_3$  acts on  $PF_3$  with 5 orbits.

### In General We Have

$$\#\mathsf{PF}_n = (n+1)^{n-1} \qquad \# \text{ orbits} = \frac{1}{n+1} \binom{2n}{n}$$
  
"Cayley" "Catalan"

Example $(n = 3)$									
	111								
	112	121	211						
	113	131	311						
	122	212	221						
	123	132	213	231	312	321			

Note that  $\#PF_3 = 16$  and  $\mathfrak{S}_3$  acts on  $PF_3$  with 5 orbits.

### In General We Have

$$#\mathsf{PF}_n = (n+1)^{n-1} \qquad # \text{ orbits} = \frac{1}{n+1} \binom{2n}{n}$$
  
"Cayley" "Catalan"

Example $(n = 3)$									
	111								
	112	121	211						
	113	131	311						
	122	212	221						
	123	132	213	231	312	321			

Note that  $\#PF_3 = 16$  and  $\mathfrak{S}_3$  acts on  $PF_3$  with 5 orbits.

### In General We Have

$$\#\mathsf{PF}_n = (n+1)^{n-1} \qquad \# \text{ orbits} = \frac{1}{n+1} \binom{2n}{n}$$
  
"Cayley" "Catalan"

### Idea (Pollack, $\sim 1974)$

#### Now imagine a circular street with n+1 parking spaces.

- Choice functions =  $(\mathbb{Z}/(n+1)\mathbb{Z})^n$ .
- Everyone can park. One empty spot remains.
- ► Choice is a parking function ⇐⇒ space n + 1 remains empty.
- One parking function per rotation class

#### Conclusion:

PF<sub>n</sub> = choice functions / rotation
 PF<sub>n</sub> ≈<sub>☉<sub>n</sub></sub> (ℤ/(n+1)ℤ)<sup>n</sup>/(1,1,...,1)
 #PF<sub>n</sub> = (n+1)<sup>n</sup>/(n+1) = (n+1)<sup>n-1</sup>

### Idea (Pollack, $\sim$ 1974)

#### Now imagine a circular street with n + 1 parking spaces.

- Choice functions =  $(\mathbb{Z}/(n+1)\mathbb{Z})^n$ .
- Everyone can park. One empty spot remains.
- Choice is a parking function  $\iff$  space n + 1 remains empty.
- One parking function per rotation class.

#### Conclusion:

Idea (Pollack,  $\sim$  1974)

Now imagine a circular street with n + 1 parking spaces.

- Choice functions  $= (\mathbb{Z}/(n+1)\mathbb{Z})^n$ .
- Everyone can park. One empty spot remains.
- Choice is a parking function  $\iff$  space n + 1 remains empty.
- One parking function per rotation class.

#### Conclusion:

PF<sub>n</sub> = choice functions / rotation
 PF<sub>n</sub> ≈<sub>S<sub>n</sub></sub> (ℤ/(n+1)ℤ)<sup>n</sup>/(1,1,...,1)
 #PF<sub>n</sub> = (n+1)<sup>n</sup>/(n+1) = (n+1)<sup>n-1</sup>

### Idea (Pollack, $\sim$ 1974)

Now imagine a circular street with n + 1 parking spaces.

- Choice functions  $= (\mathbb{Z}/(n+1)\mathbb{Z})^n$ .
- Everyone can park. One empty spot remains.
- ► Choice is a parking function ⇐⇒ space n + 1 remains empty.
- One parking function per rotation class.

### Conclusion:

PF<sub>n</sub> = choice functions / rotation
 PF<sub>n</sub> ≈<sub>☉<sub>n</sub></sub> (ℤ/(n+1)ℤ)<sup>n</sup>/(1,1,...,1)
 #PF = (n+1)<sup>n</sup> = (n+1)<sup>n-1</sup>

### Idea (Pollack, $\sim$ 1974)

Now imagine a circular street with n + 1 parking spaces.

- Choice functions  $= (\mathbb{Z}/(n+1)\mathbb{Z})^n$ .
- Everyone can park. One empty spot remains.
- Choice is a parking function  $\iff$  space n + 1 remains empty.

• One parking function per rotation class.

#### Conclusion:

- $\triangleright$  PF<sub>n</sub> = choice functions / rotation
- ►  $\mathsf{PF}_n \approx_{\mathfrak{S}_n} \left( \mathbb{Z}/(n+1)\mathbb{Z} \right)^n / (1, 1, \dots, 1)$ ►  $\#\mathsf{PF}_n = \frac{(n+1)^n}{n} = (n+1)^{n-1}$

### Idea (Pollack, $\sim$ 1974)

Now imagine a circular street with n + 1 parking spaces.

- Choice functions =  $(\mathbb{Z}/(n+1)\mathbb{Z})^n$ .
- Everyone can park. One empty spot remains.
- Choice is a parking function  $\iff$  space n + 1 remains empty.
- One parking function per rotation class.

#### Conclusion:

 $\blacktriangleright$  PF<sub>n</sub> = choice functions / rotation

 $\mathsf{PF}_n \approx_{\mathfrak{S}_n} \left( \mathbb{Z}/(n+1)\mathbb{Z} \right)^n / (1,1,\ldots,1)$   $\#\mathsf{PF}_n = \binom{(n+1)^n}{n} - \binom{(n+1)^{n-1}}{n}$ 

Idea (Pollack,  $\sim$  1974)

Now imagine a circular street with n + 1 parking spaces.

- Choice functions =  $(\mathbb{Z}/(n+1)\mathbb{Z})^n$ .
- Everyone can park. One empty spot remains.
- Choice is a parking function  $\iff$  space n + 1 remains empty.
- One parking function per rotation class.

### Conclusion:

•  $PF_n$  = choice functions / rotation

 $\mathsf{PF}_n \approx_{\mathfrak{S}_n} \left( \mathbb{Z}/(n+1)\mathbb{Z} \right)^n / (1, 1, \dots, 1)$ 

•  $\# \mathsf{PF}_n = \frac{(n+1)}{n+1} = (n+1)^{n-1}$ 

Idea (Pollack,  $\sim$  1974)

Now imagine a circular street with n + 1 parking spaces.

- Choice functions =  $(\mathbb{Z}/(n+1)\mathbb{Z})^n$ .
- Everyone can park. One empty spot remains.
- Choice is a parking function  $\iff$  space n + 1 remains empty.
- One parking function per rotation class.

### Conclusion:

PF<sub>n</sub> = choice functions / rotation

$$\blacktriangleright \mathsf{PF}_n \approx_{\mathfrak{S}_n} \left( \mathbb{Z}/(n+1)\mathbb{Z} \right)^n / (1,1,\ldots,1)$$

•  $\# \mathsf{PF}_n = \frac{(n+1)^n}{n+1} = (n+1)^{n-1}$ 

Idea (Pollack,  $\sim$  1974)

Now imagine a circular street with n + 1 parking spaces.

- Choice functions =  $(\mathbb{Z}/(n+1)\mathbb{Z})^n$ .
- Everyone can park. One empty spot remains.
- Choice is a parking function  $\iff$  space n + 1 remains empty.
- One parking function per rotation class.

### Conclusion:

- $PF_n$  = choice functions / rotation
- ▶  $\mathsf{PF}_n \approx_{\mathfrak{S}_n} \left( \mathbb{Z}/(n+1)\mathbb{Z} \right)^n / (1, 1, \dots, 1)$

• 
$$\# \mathsf{PF}_n = \frac{(n+1)^n}{n+1} = (n+1)^{n-1}$$

The symmetric group  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  acts diagonally on the algebra of polynomials in two commuting sets of variables:

$$\mathfrak{S}_n \curvearrowright \mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}] := \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n]$$

After many years of work, Mark Haiman (2001) proved that the algebra of diagonal coinvariants carries the same  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ -action as parking functions:

 $\omega \cdot \mathsf{PF}_n \approx_{\mathfrak{S}_n} \mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}] / \mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]^{\mathfrak{S}_n}$ 

The proof was hard. It comes down to this theorem:

The isospectral Hilbert scheme of n points in  $\mathbb{C}^2$  is Cohen-Macaulay and Gorenstein.

The symmetric group  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  acts diagonally on the algebra of polynomials in two commuting sets of variables:

$$\mathfrak{S}_n \curvearrowright \mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}] := \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n]$$

After many years of work, Mark Haiman (2001) proved that the algebra of diagonal coinvariants carries the same  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ -action as parking functions:

 $\omega \cdot \mathsf{PF}_n \approx_{\mathfrak{S}_n} \mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}] / \mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]^{\mathfrak{S}_n}$ 

The proof was hard. It comes down to this theorem: The isospectral Hilbert scheme of n points in  $\mathbb{C}^2$  is Cohen Masaulay and Corenttain

The symmetric group  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  acts diagonally on the algebra of polynomials in two commuting sets of variables:

$$\mathfrak{S}_n \curvearrowright \mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}] := \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n]$$

After many years of work, Mark Haiman (2001) proved that the algebra of diagonal coinvariants carries the same  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ -action as parking functions:

## $\omega \cdot \mathsf{PF}_n \approx_{\mathfrak{S}_n} \mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}] / \mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]^{\mathfrak{S}_n}$

The proof was hard. It comes down to this theorem:

The isospectral Hilbert scheme of n points in  $\mathbb{C}^2$  is Cohen-Macaulay and Gorenstein.

The symmetric group  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  acts diagonally on the algebra of polynomials in two commuting sets of variables:

$$\mathfrak{S}_n \curvearrowright \mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}] := \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n]$$

After many years of work, Mark Haiman (2001) proved that the algebra of diagonal coinvariants carries the same  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ -action as parking functions:

$$\omega \cdot \mathsf{PF}_n \approx_{\mathfrak{S}_n} \mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]/\mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]^{\mathfrak{S}_n}$$

The proof was hard. It comes down to this theorem:

The isospectral Hilbert scheme of n points in  $\mathbb{C}^2$  is Cohen-Macaulay and Gorenstein.

# Pollack's Idea $\Rightarrow$ Weyl Groups

### Haiman, Conjectures on the quotient ring..., Section 7

Let W be a Weyl group with rank r and Coxeter number h. That is, W  $\sim \mathbb{R}'$  by reflections and stabilizes a "root lattice"  $Q \leq \mathbb{R}'$ . We define the W-parking functions as

 $\mathsf{PF}_W := Q/(h+1)Q$ 

This generalizes Pollack because we have

 $(\mathbb{Z}/(n+1)\mathbb{Z})^n/(1,1,\ldots,1)=Q/(n+1)Q.$ 

Recall that  $W = \mathfrak{S}_n$  has Coxeter number h = n, and root lattice

 $Q = \mathbb{Z}^n/(1, 1, \dots, 1) = \{(r_1, \dots, r_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n : \sum_i r_i = 0\}.$ 

### Haiman, Conjectures on the quotient ring..., Section 7

Let W be a Weyl group with rank r and Coxeter number h. That is,  $W \curvearrowright \mathbb{R}^r$  by reflections and stabilizes a "root lattice"  $Q \leq \mathbb{R}^r$ . We define the W-parking functions as

$$\mathsf{PF}_W := Q/(h+1)Q$$

This generalizes Pollack because we have

 $(\mathbb{Z}/(n+1)\mathbb{Z})^n/(1,1,\ldots,1)=Q/(n+1)Q.$ 

Recall that  $W = \mathfrak{S}_n$  has Coxeter number h = n, and root lattice

 $Q = \mathbb{Z}^n/(1, 1, \dots, 1) = \{(r_1, \dots, r_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n : \sum_i r_i = 0\}.$ 

### Haiman, Conjectures on the quotient ring..., Section 7

Let W be a Weyl group with rank r and Coxeter number h. That is,  $W \curvearrowright \mathbb{R}^r$  by reflections and stabilizes a "root lattice"  $Q \leq \mathbb{R}^r$ . We define the W-parking functions as

$$\mathsf{PF}_W := Q/(h+1)Q$$

This generalizes Pollack because we have

$$(\mathbb{Z}/(n+1)\mathbb{Z})^n/(1,1,\ldots,1)=Q/(n+1)Q.$$

Recall that  $W = \mathfrak{S}_n$  has Coxeter number h = n, and root lattice

$$Q = \mathbb{Z}^n/(1, 1, \dots, 1) = \{(r_1, \dots, r_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n : \sum_i r_i = 0\}.$$

Haiman, Conjectures on the quotient ring..., Section 7

Haiman, Conjectures on the quotient ring..., Section 7 The W-parking space has dimension generalizing the Cayley numbers  $\dim \mathsf{PF}_W = (h+1)^r \left(=(n+1)^{n-1}\right)$ 

More generally: Given  $w \in W$ , the character of  $\mathsf{PF}_W$  is

 $egin{aligned} \chi(w) &= \#\{ec{a} \in \mathsf{PF}_W: w(ec{a}) = w\} \ &= (h+1)^{r-\mathsf{rank}(1-w)} \left(= (n+1)^{\#\mathsf{cycles}(w)-1}
ight) \end{aligned}$ 

and the number of W-orbits generalizes the Catalan numbers

$$\#\text{orbits} = \frac{1}{|W|} \prod_{i=1}^{r} (h+d_i) \left( = \frac{1}{n+1} \binom{2n}{n} \right)$$

Haiman, *Conjectures on the quotient ring*..., Section 7 The *W*-parking space has dimension generalizing the Cayley numbers

dim 
$$\mathsf{PF}_W = (h+1)^r (= (n+1)^{n-1})$$

More generally: Given  $w \in W$ , the character of  $\mathsf{PF}_W$  is

$$\chi(w) = \#\{\vec{a} \in \mathsf{PF}_W : w(\vec{a}) = w\}$$
$$= (h+1)^{r-\mathsf{rank}(1-w)} \left(= (n+1)^{\#\mathsf{cycles}(w)-1}\right)$$

and the number of W-orbits generalizes the Catalan numbers

$$\#\text{orbits} = \frac{1}{|W|} \prod_{i=1}^{r} (h+d_i) \left( = \frac{1}{n+1} \binom{2n}{n} \right)$$
Haiman, *Conjectures on the quotient ring...*, Section 7 The *W*-parking space has dimension generalizing the Cayley numbers

dim 
$$PF_W = (h+1)^r (= (n+1)^{n-1})$$

More generally: Given  $w \in W$ , the character of  $\mathsf{PF}_W$  is

$$\chi(w) = \#\{\vec{a} \in \mathsf{PF}_W : w(\vec{a}) = w\}$$
$$= (h+1)^{r-\mathsf{rank}(1-w)} \left(= (n+1)^{\#\mathsf{cycles}(w)-1}\right)$$

and the number of W-orbits generalizes the Catalan numbers

$$\#\text{orbits} = \frac{1}{|W|} \prod_{i=1}^{r} (h+d_i) \left( = \frac{1}{n+1} \binom{2n}{n} \right)$$

# Parking Functions ⇔ Shi Arrangement

#### Another Language

The *W*-parking space is the same as the Shi arrangement of hyperplanes. Given positive root  $\alpha \in \Phi^+ \subseteq Q$  and integer  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  consider the hyperplane  $H_{\alpha,k} := \{\mathbf{x} : (\alpha, \mathbf{x}) = k\}$ . Then we define

 $\mathsf{Shi}_W := \{ H_{\alpha,\pm 1} : \alpha \in \Phi^+ \}.$ 

Cellini-Papi and Shi give an explicit bijection:

elements of  $Q/(h+1)Q \iff$  chambers of  $Shi_W$ 

#### Another Language

The *W*-parking space is the same as the Shi arrangement of hyperplanes. Given positive root  $\alpha \in \Phi^+ \subseteq Q$  and integer  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  consider the hyperplane  $H_{\alpha,k} := \{\mathbf{x} : (\alpha, \mathbf{x}) = k\}$ . Then we define

 $\mathsf{Shi}_W := \{ H_{\alpha,\pm 1} : \alpha \in \Phi^+ \}.$ 

Cellini-Papi and Shi give an explicit bijection:

elements of  $Q/(h+1)Q \iff$  chambers of  $Shi_W$ 

# Parking Functions ⇔ Shi Arrangement



# Parking Functions ⇔ Shi Arrangement



I like to think of Shi chambers as elements of the set

 $\{(w, A) : w \in W, \text{ antichain } A \subseteq \Phi^+, A \cap inv(w) = \emptyset\}.$ 

The Shi chamber with "ceiling diagram" (w, A)

▶ is in the cone determined by *w* 

and has ceilings given by A.

### I.O.U.

I like to think of Shi chambers as elements of the set

 $\{(w, A) : w \in W, \text{ antichain } A \subseteq \Phi^+, A \cap inv(w) = \emptyset\}.$ 

The Shi chamber with "ceiling diagram" (w, A)

▶ is in the cone determined by *w* 

and has ceilings given by A.

## I.O.U.

I like to think of Shi chambers as elements of the set

 $\{(w, A) : w \in W, \text{ antichain } A \subseteq \Phi^+, A \cap inv(w) = \emptyset\}.$ 

The Shi chamber with "ceiling diagram" (w, A)

▶ is in the cone determined by *w* 

and has ceilings given by A.

## I.O.U.

I like to think of Shi chambers as elements of the set

 $\{(w, A) : w \in W, \text{ antichain } A \subseteq \Phi^+, A \cap inv(w) = \emptyset\}.$ 

The Shi chamber with "ceiling diagram" (w, A)

- ▶ is in the cone determined by *w*
- ► and has ceilings given by *A*.

## I.O.U.

I like to think of Shi chambers as elements of the set

 $\{(w, A) : w \in W, \text{ antichain } A \subseteq \Phi^+, A \cap inv(w) = \emptyset\}.$ 

The Shi chamber with "ceiling diagram" (w, A)

- ▶ is in the cone determined by *w*
- ▶ and has ceilings given by A.

## I.O.U.

## Pause

# What is a Noncrossing Partition?

# What is a Noncrossing Partition?



# What is a Noncrossing Partition?



#### Theorem (Biane, and probably others)

Let  $T \subseteq \mathfrak{S}_n$  be the generating set of all transpositions and consider the Cayley metric  $d_T : \mathfrak{S}_n \times \mathfrak{S}_n \to \mathbb{N}$  defined by

 $d_{\mathcal{T}}(\pi,\mu) := \min\{k : \pi^{-1}\mu \text{ is a product of } k \text{ transpositions }\}.$ 

Let  $c = (123 \cdots n)$  be the standard *n*-cycle. Then the permutation  $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n$  corresponds to a noncrossing partition if and only if

 $d_T(1,\pi) + d_T(\pi,c) = d_T(1,c).$ 

" $\pi$  is on a geodesic between 1 and c"

#### Theorem (Biane, and probably others)

Let  $T \subseteq \mathfrak{S}_n$  be the generating set of all transpositions and consider the Cayley metric  $d_T : \mathfrak{S}_n \times \mathfrak{S}_n \to \mathbb{N}$  defined by

 $d_{\mathcal{T}}(\pi,\mu) := \min\{k : \pi^{-1}\mu \text{ is a product of } k \text{ transpositions }\}.$ 

Let  $c = (123 \cdots n)$  be the standard *n*-cycle. Then the permutation  $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n$  corresponds to a noncrossing partition if and only if

 $d_T(1,\pi) + d_T(\pi,c) = d_T(1,c).$ 

" $\pi$  is on a geodesic between 1 and c"

### Definition (Brady-Watt, Bessis)

Let W be any finite Coxeter group with reflections  $T \subseteq W$ . Let  $c \in W$  be any Coxeter element. We say  $w \in W$  is a "noncrossing partition" if

$$d_T(1,w) + d_T(w,c) = d_T(1,c)$$

"w is on a geodesic between 1 and c"

$$\#\mathsf{NC}(\mathcal{W}) = rac{1}{|\mathcal{W}|}\prod_{i=1}^r(h+d_i) = \#\mathsf{NN}(\mathcal{W})$$

- The right equality has at least two uniform proofs.
- ▶ The left equality is only known case-by-case.
- What is going on here?

$$\#\mathsf{NC}(\mathcal{W}) = rac{1}{|\mathcal{W}|}\prod_{i=1}^r(h+d_i) = \#\mathsf{NN}(\mathcal{W})$$

- ▶ The right equality has at least two uniform proofs.
- ► The left equality is only known case-by-case.
- What is going on here?

$$\#\mathsf{NC}(W) = \frac{1}{|W|} \prod_{i=1}^{r} (h+d_i) = \#\mathsf{NN}(W)$$

- ▶ The right equality has at least two uniform proofs.
- ▶ The left equality is only known case-by-case.
- What is going on here?

$$\#\mathsf{NC}(W) = \frac{1}{|W|} \prod_{i=1}^{r} (h+d_i) = \#\mathsf{NN}(W)$$

- ▶ The right equality has at least two uniform proofs.
- ▶ The left equality is only known case-by-case.
- What is going on here?

#### Idea and an Anecdote

Idea: Since the parking functions can be though of as

 $\{(w, A) : w \in W, A \in NN(W), A \cap inv(w) = \emptyset\}$ 

maybe we should also consider the set

 $\{(w,\sigma): w \in W, \sigma \in \mathsf{NC}(W), \sigma \cap \mathsf{inv}(w) = \emptyset\}$ 

where " $\sigma \cap inv(w)$ " means something sensible.

Anecdote: Where did the idea come from?

#### Idea and an Anecdote

Idea: Since the parking functions can be though of as

$$\{(w, A) : w \in W, A \in \mathsf{NN}(W), A \cap \mathsf{inv}(w) = \emptyset\}$$

maybe we should also consider the set

$$\{(w,\sigma): w \in W, \sigma \in \mathsf{NC}(W), \sigma \cap \mathsf{inv}(w) = \emptyset\}$$

where " $\sigma \cap inv(w)$ " means something sensible.

Anecdote: Where did the idea come from?

#### Idea and an Anecdote

Idea: Since the parking functions can be though of as

$$\{(w, A) : w \in W, A \in \mathsf{NN}(W), A \cap \mathsf{inv}(w) = \emptyset\}$$

maybe we should also consider the set

$$\{(w,\sigma): w \in W, \sigma \in \mathsf{NC}(W), \sigma \cap \mathsf{inv}(w) = \emptyset\}$$

where " $\sigma \cap inv(w)$ " means something sensible.

Anecdote: Where did the idea come from?

## Pause

Recall the definition of the lattice of flats for W

 $\mathcal{L}(W) := \{ \cap_{\alpha \in J} H_{\alpha,0} : J \subseteq \Phi^+ \},\$ 

and for any flat  $X \in \mathcal{L}(W)$  recall the definition of the parabolic subgroup

 $W_X := \{ w \in W : w(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x} \text{ for all } \mathbf{x} \in X \}.$ 

Recall the definition of the lattice of flats for W

$$\mathcal{L}(W) := \{ \cap_{\alpha \in J} H_{\alpha,0} : J \subseteq \Phi^+ \},\$$

and for any flat  $X \in \mathcal{L}(W)$  recall the definition of the parabolic subgroup

$$W_X := \{ w \in W : w(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x} \text{ for all } \mathbf{x} \in X \}.$$

For any set of flats  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(W)$  we define the  $\mathcal{F}$ -parking functions

$$\mathsf{PF}_\mathcal{F} := \{[w,X] : w \in W, X \in \mathcal{F}, w(X) \in \mathcal{F}\} / \sim$$

where

$$[w,X] \sim [w',X'] \iff X = X' \text{ and } wW_X = w'W_{X'}$$

This set carries a natural W-action. For all  $u \in W$  we define

 $u \cdot [w, X] := [wu^{-1}, u(X)]$ 

For any set of flats  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(W)$  we define the  $\mathcal{F}$ -parking functions

$$\mathsf{PF}_\mathcal{F} := \{[w,X] : w \in W, X \in \mathcal{F}, w(X) \in \mathcal{F}\} / \sim$$

where

$$[w,X] \sim [w',X'] \iff X = X' \text{ and } wW_X = w'W_{X'}$$

This set carries a natural W-action. For all  $u \in W$  we define

$$u \cdot [w, X] := [wu^{-1}, u(X)]$$

### The Prototypical Example of $\mathcal{F}$ -Parking Functions

If we consider the set of nonnesting flats

$$\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{N}\mathcal{N} := \{ \cap_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} H_{\alpha,0} : \text{ antichain } \mathcal{A} \subseteq \Phi^+ \}$$

then  $\mathsf{PF}_{\mathcal{NN}}$  is just the set of ceiling diagrams of Shi chambers with the natural action corresponding to  $W \curvearrowright Q/(h+1)Q$ .

# Now we define the W-action on Shi chambers

## But There is Another Example

# Noncrossing Parking Functions

## But There is Another Example

### But There is Another Example

Given any  $w \in W$  there is a corresponding flat

$$\ker(1-w) = \{\mathbf{x} : w(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}\} \in \mathcal{L}(W).$$

If we consider the set of noncrossing flats

$$\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{NC} := \{ \ker(1 - w) : w \in \mathsf{NC}(W) \}$$

then  $\mathsf{PF}_{\mathcal{NC}}$  is something new and possibly interesting. We call  $\mathsf{PF}_{\mathcal{NC}}$  the set of noncrossing parking functions.

### But There is Another Example

Given any  $w \in W$  there is a corresponding flat

$$\ker(1-w) = \{\mathbf{x} : w(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}\} \in \mathcal{L}(W).$$

If we consider the set of noncrossing flats

$$\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{NC} := \{ \mathsf{ker}(1 - w) : w \in \mathsf{NC}(W) \}$$

then  $\mathsf{PF}_{\mathcal{NC}}$  is something new and possibly interesting. We call  $\mathsf{PF}_{\mathcal{NC}}$  the set of noncrossing parking functions.

# Noncrossing Parking Functions



Type A NC parking functions are just NC partitions with labeled blocks.
### Theorem

If W is a Weyl group then we have an isomorphism of W-actions:

 $\mathsf{PF}_{\mathcal{NC}}\approx_W\mathsf{PF}_{\mathcal{NN}}$ 

This is just a fancy restatement of a theorem of Athanasiadis, Chapoton, and Reiner. Unfortunately the proof is case-by-case using a computer.

#### However

The noncrossing parking functions have two advantages over the nonnesting parking functions.

- 1.  $PF_{NN}$  is defined only for Weyl groups but  $PF_{NC}$  is defined also for noncrystallographic Coxeter groups.
- 2.  $PF_{\mathcal{NC}}$  carries an exta cyclic action. Let  $C = \langle c \rangle \leq W$  where  $c \in W$  is a Coxeter element. Then the group  $W \times C$  acts on  $PF_{\mathcal{NC}}$  by

$$(u, c^d) \cdot [w, X] := [c^d w u^{-1}, u(X)].$$

#### However

The noncrossing parking functions have two advantages over the nonnesting parking functions.

- 1.  $PF_{NN}$  is defined only for Weyl groups but  $PF_{NC}$  is defined also for noncrystallographic Coxeter groups.
- 2.  $PF_{\mathcal{NC}}$  carries an exta cyclic action. Let  $C = \langle c \rangle \leq W$  where  $c \in W$  is a Coxeter element. Then the group  $W \times C$  acts on  $PF_{\mathcal{NC}}$  by

 $(u, c^d) \cdot [w, X] := [c^d w u^{-1}, u(X)].$ 

#### However

The noncrossing parking functions have two advantages over the nonnesting parking functions.

- 1.  $PF_{NN}$  is defined only for Weyl groups but  $PF_{NC}$  is defined also for noncrystallographic Coxeter groups.
- 2.  $PF_{\mathcal{NC}}$  carries an exta cyclic action. Let  $C = \langle c \rangle \leq W$  where  $c \in W$  is a Coxeter element. Then the group  $W \times C$  acts on  $PF_{\mathcal{NC}}$  by

$$(u, c^d) \cdot [w, X] := [c^d w u^{-1}, u(X)].$$

## Noncrossing Parking Functions

### Cyclic Sieving "Theorem"

Let  $h := |\langle c \rangle|$  be the Coxeter number and let  $\zeta := e^{2\pi i/h}$ . Then for all  $u \in W$  and  $c^d \in C$  we have

$$\begin{split} \chi(u, c^{d}) &= \# \left\{ [w, X] \in \mathsf{PF}_{\mathcal{NC}} : (u, c^{d}) \cdot [w, X] = [w, X] \right\} \\ &= \lim_{q \to \zeta^{d}} \frac{\det(1 - q^{h+1}u)}{\det(1 - qu)} \\ &= (h+1)^{\operatorname{mult}_{u}(\zeta^{d})}, \end{split}$$

where mult<sub>u</sub>( $\zeta^d$ ) is the multiplicity of the eigenvalue  $\zeta^d$  in  $u \in W$ .

Unfortunately the proof is case-by-case. (And it is not yet checked for all exceptional types.)

## Noncrossing Parking Functions

### Cyclic Sieving "Theorem"

Let  $h := |\langle c \rangle|$  be the Coxeter number and let  $\zeta := e^{2\pi i/h}$ . Then for all  $u \in W$  and  $c^d \in C$  we have

$$\chi(u, c^d) = \# \left\{ [w, X] \in \mathsf{PF}_{\mathcal{NC}} : (u, c^d) \cdot [w, X] = [w, X] \right\}$$
$$= \lim_{q \to \zeta^d} \frac{\det(1 - q^{h+1}u)}{\det(1 - qu)}$$
$$= (h+1)^{\operatorname{mult}_u(\zeta^d)},$$

where mult<sub>u</sub>( $\zeta^d$ ) is the multiplicity of the eigenvalue  $\zeta^d$  in  $u \in W$ .

Unfortunately the proof is case-by-case. (And it is not yet checked for all exceptional types.)

## Noncrossing Parking Functions

### Cyclic Sieving "Theorem"

Let  $h := |\langle c \rangle|$  be the Coxeter number and let  $\zeta := e^{2\pi i/h}$ . Then for all  $u \in W$  and  $c^d \in C$  we have

$$\chi(u, c^d) = \# \left\{ [w, X] \in \mathsf{PF}_{\mathcal{NC}} : (u, c^d) \cdot [w, X] = [w, X] \right\}$$
$$= \lim_{q \to \zeta^d} \frac{\det(1 - q^{h+1}u)}{\det(1 - qu)}$$
$$= (h+1)^{\operatorname{mult}_u(\zeta^d)},$$

where mult<sub>u</sub>( $\zeta^d$ ) is the multiplicity of the eigenvalue  $\zeta^d$  in  $u \in W$ .

Unfortunately the proof is case-by-case. (And it is not yet checked for all exceptional types.)

For more on noncrossing parking functions see my paper with Brendon Rhoades and Vic Reiner:

Parking Spaces (2012), http://arxiv.org/abs/1204.1760

# Vielen Dank!



picture by +Drew Armstrong and +David Roberts