

We have seen that some singular curves $C \subseteq \mathbb{C}P^2$ arise from non-singular curves $\tilde{C} \subseteq \mathbb{C}P^n$ by projection

$$\tilde{\pi} : \tilde{C} \rightarrow C.$$

In this case we say that the pair $(\tilde{C}, \tilde{\pi})$ is a "resolution of the singularities of C ", i.e., we view the singularities of C not as essential things, but as shadows caused by a projection.

Is this always possible?

That is, given a singular curve $C \subseteq \mathbb{C}P^2$, can we always find a non-singular curve $\tilde{C} \subseteq \mathbb{C}P^n$ and a "projection map"

$$\tilde{\pi} : \tilde{C} \rightarrow C \quad ?$$

Important Theorem (Resolution of Singularities of Curves):

The answer is yes. Moreover, the pair $(\tilde{C}, \tilde{\pi})$ is in some sense unique.

[Moral: Non-singular curves don't really exist.]



Q: Unique in what sense?

There are messy bottom-up ways to do this (sequences of blow-ups), but there is also an elegant top-down approach that captures the big picture.

This approach is due to Dedekind-Weber (1882) and Zariski (1938),

and is based on Dedekind's machinery of "rings & fields" which he invented to study number theory.

[WARNING: The top-down approach works perfectly for curves, but higher-dimensional varieties are intrinsically messier.]



General Philosophy:

an "intrinsic = the functions that
curve" = live on the curve

[The formal statement of this philosophy is called "Yoneda's Lemma".]

What kind of functions?

This depends on your point of view.

If you want to study "curves that

can be defined via polynomials"
then you probably want to study
"functions that can be defined
via polynomials".

Definition: Let $V \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$ be an
irreducible variety with corresponding
prime ideal $I(V) \subseteq \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$,

$$I(V) := \{ f : f(\bar{p}) = 0 \forall \bar{p} \in V \}.$$

Then we define the coordinate ring

$$\mathbb{C}[V] := \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n] / I(V).$$

We can view elements of $\mathbb{C}[V]$ as
"functions $V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by
polynomials":

$$f(\bar{x}) + I(V) = g(\bar{x}) + I(V)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow f(\bar{x}) - g(\bar{x}) \in I(V)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow f(\bar{p}) - g(\bar{p}) = 0 \quad \forall \bar{p} \in V$$

$$\Leftrightarrow f(\bar{p}) = g(\bar{p}) \quad \forall \bar{p} \in V.$$

The idea is that the ring $\mathbb{C}[V]$ forgets the embedding $V \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$, while remembering the "intrinsic structure" of the variety.

In fact, one defines

$$V \cong W \Leftrightarrow \mathbb{C}[V] \cong \mathbb{C}[W]$$

"intrinsic isomorphism" \Leftrightarrow "isomorphic as \mathbb{C} -algebras"

I claim that "affine equivalence" (extrinsic isomorphism) implies "intrinsic isomorphism", but the converse is false.

Proof: Let $\varphi \in \text{Aff}(\mathbb{C}^n)$, i.e.,

$$\varphi(\bar{x}) = A\bar{x} + \bar{t}$$

for some $A \in GL_n$ & $\bar{t} \in \mathbb{C}^n$. If we define $f^\varphi(\bar{x}) := f(\varphi^{-1}(\bar{x}))$ then

$$I(\varphi V) = I(V)^\varphi := \{f^\varphi : f \in I(V)\}.$$

One can check that the function

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\varphi} : \mathbb{C}[V] &\longrightarrow \mathbb{C}[\varphi V] \\ f + I(V) &\longmapsto f^\varphi + I(\varphi V) \end{aligned}$$

is a \mathbb{C} -algebra isomorphism.

To see that the converse is false,

we will show that the **line $V(y)$** & **parabola $V(y-x^2)$** in \mathbb{C}^2 are intrins.

isomorphic. [And they are not extrins.

isom. because $\text{Aff}(\mathbb{C}^2)$ preserves degree.]

Indeed, by Study's Lemma we have

$I(V(f)) = (f)$ for any irreducible

polynomial. Thus we only need to

show that $\mathbb{C}[x,y]/(y) \cong \mathbb{C}[x,y]/(y-x^2)$.

The desired isomorphism is obtained by composing the following isomorphisms:

$$i) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C}[x] & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathbb{C}[x, y]/(y) \\ f(x) & \longmapsto & f(x) + (y) \end{array}$$

&

$$ii) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C}[x] & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathbb{C}[x, y]/(y-x^2) \\ f(x) & \longmapsto & f(x) + (y-x^2) \end{array}$$

To see that these are indeed isoms:

ii) We consider divisibility in the ring $\mathbb{C}[x, y] = \mathbb{C}[x][y]$. For any

$F(x, y)$ divide by y to get

$$F(x, y) = g(x, y)(y-x^2) + r(x)$$

deg 0
in y

$$\implies F(x, y) + (y-x^2) = r(x) + (y-x^2).$$

$$\text{Then } f(x) + (y-x^2) = g(x) + (y-x^2)$$

$$\iff y-x^2 \mid \underbrace{f(x) - g(x)}_{\substack{\text{deg} \geq 1 \text{ in } y \\ \text{or} = 0}} \iff f(x) - g(x) = 0.$$

i) Proof is the same but easier. ///

#

I agree with you that the definition of "isomorphism of affine varieties" doesn't seem very geometric:

a line \cong a parabola ?!

The problem is in the name. It should really be called "local isomorphism":

a line \cong a parabola ✓
↑

"locally isomorphic"

#

To capture "global structure" algebraically requires an algebraic substitute for topology. As you

know, the words "algebraic topology" open a horrifying box of worms. For us, the topology of algebraic curves is quite difficult enough.



This begins with the notion of the "function field of a projective variety $V \subseteq \mathbb{C}P^n$ ".

Problem: A polynomial (even a homogeneous one) does not define a function $\mathbb{C}P^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

Solution: Quotients of homogeneous polynomials of the same degree do define functions "almost everywhere".

#

To make this precise takes a bit of work. Let $V \subseteq \mathbb{CP}^n$ be a projective variety with homogeneous ideal $I(V) \subseteq \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n+1}]$.

We note that the "homogeneous coordinate ring"

$$\mathbb{C}[V] := \mathbb{C}[\bar{x}] / I(V)$$

has a natural "grading by degree", meaning every coset $f + I(V)$ has a unique expression of the form

$$f + I(V) = \sum_{d \geq 0} f^d + I(V)$$

where $f^d \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}]$ is homog. of degree d .

Proof: Existence is clear.

For uniqueness, suppose that

$$\sum f^d + I(V) = \sum g^d + I(V), \text{ i.e.,}$$

$$\sum (f^d - g^d) \in I(V)$$

where $f^d, g^d, f^d - g^d$ are hom. of deg. d . Since $I(V)$ is homog. a result from last semester implies

that $f^d - g^d \in I(V)$, and hence

$$f^d + I(V) = g^d + I(V), \text{ for all } d. \quad \equiv \equiv \equiv$$

We say that a coset $f + I(V)$

has "degree d " if $f + I(V) = F + I(V)$

for some (unique) homogeneous

$F \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}]$ of degree d .

Thus we can make the

following definition.

Definition (The function field of a projective variety):

Let $V \subseteq \mathbb{C}P^n$ be an irreducible projective variety, so that $I(V)$ is a homogeneous prime ideal and $\mathbb{C}[V] := \mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_{n+1}] / I(V)$ is an integral domain graded by degree.

We define the **Function field** as the following subfield of the field of fractions $\text{Frac } \mathbb{C}[V]$:

$$\mathbb{C}(V) := \left\{ \frac{F + I(V)}{G + I(V)} : \begin{array}{l} F, G \text{ homogeneous} \\ \text{of the same degree} \end{array} \right\}$$

Exercises:

- o verify that this is indeed a field
- o verify the more concrete realization:

$$\mathbb{C}(V) = \left\{ \frac{F}{G} : \begin{array}{l} F, G \text{ homogeneous} \\ \text{of the same degree} \end{array} \right\} / \sim$$

$$\text{where } \frac{F}{G} \sim \frac{F'}{G'} \Leftrightarrow FG' - F'G \in I(V).$$

///



We had to consider a proper subfield of $\text{Frac } \mathbb{C}[V]$ because some elements of the full field cannot be viewed as functions.

At least the elements of $\mathbb{C}(V)$ can be viewed as "partially-defined functions" $V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

Indeed, if F, G are both homog. of degree d then

$$\frac{F(\lambda \bar{x})}{G(\lambda \bar{x})} = \frac{\lambda^d F(\bar{x})}{\lambda^d G(\bar{x})} = \frac{F(\bar{x})}{G(\bar{x})},$$

so we have a function outside
the hypersurface $V(G) \subseteq \mathbb{C}P^n$:

$$\frac{F}{G} : \mathbb{C}P^n \setminus V(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}.$$



I agree that these definitions
look **ugly** at first. But the following
theorem shows that they are **not**
so bad, and helps to explain
the intrinsic meaning.

Theorem: Let $V \subseteq \mathbb{C}P^n$ be an
irreducible projective variety.

(1) For any $A \in PGL_{n+1}$ we have

$$\mathbb{C}(V) \cong \mathbb{C}(AV).$$

(2) For any hyperplane $H \subseteq \mathbb{C}P^n$ not
equal to V , let $\varphi : \mathbb{C}^n \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}P^n \setminus H$

be the corresponding affine chart
and let $V' = V \setminus H \subseteq \mathbb{CP}^n \setminus H$.

Then

$$\mathbb{C}(V) \cong \text{Frac } \mathbb{C}[\varphi^{-1}V']$$

[This is the true meaning of the
function field. It stitches together
the polynomial functions on all of the
affine charts. And it does this without
ever mentioning "sheaves".]

Proof :

(1) Recall that $A \in \text{PGL}$ acts on
homogeneous polynomials, preserving
the degree :

$$F^A(\bar{x}) := F(A^{-1}\bar{x}).$$

And recall that $I(AV) = I(V)^A$
 $= \{ F^A : F \in I(V) \}$, which is also

a homogeneous ideal of $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}]$.

One can check that $\frac{F}{G} \mapsto \frac{F^A}{G^A}$ is

a well-defined isomorphism from

$\mathbb{C}(V)$ to $\mathbb{C}(AV)$. [Exercise: Check it.]

(2) From part (1) we may assume

that $H = V(x_{n+1})$ so the affine

chart is $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto (x_1, \dots, x_n, 0)$.

If $I(V) = (F_1, \dots, F_m) \subseteq \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}]$

is the homogeneous ideal of $V \subseteq \mathbb{CP}^n$,

we proved last semester that

$$I(V') = (F'_1, \dots, F'_m) \subseteq \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n],$$

where $F'_i(x_1, \dots, x_n) := F_i(x_1, \dots, x_n, 1)$

are possibly non-homogeneous.

I claim that the desired isomorphism

$$\text{isomorphism } \mathbb{C}(V) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Frac } \mathbb{C}[V']$$

is given by

$$\frac{G + I(V)}{H + I(V)} \mapsto \frac{G' + I(V')}{H' + I(V')}$$

It is a homomorphism because

$G \mapsto G'$ is a homomorphism.

• Surjective: For any g, h in $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ with $d \geq \max\{\deg(g), \deg(h)\}$

we have $g = G', h = H'$ where

$$G = x_{n+1}^d g\left(\frac{x_1}{x_{n+1}}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{x_{n+1}}\right)$$

$$H = x_{n+1}^d h\left(\frac{x_1}{x_{n+1}}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{x_{n+1}}\right)$$

are homogeneous of degree d .

• Injective & Well-Defined:

$$G_1 H_2 - G_2 H_1 \in I(V)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (G_1 H_2 - G_2 H_1)' \in I(V')$$

$$\Leftrightarrow G_1' H_2' - G_2' H_1' \in I(V').$$

