## **Problems on General Rings**

1. We say that a general ring R is (right) Artinian if every descending chain of (right) ideals terminates. That is, given ideals  $R \supseteq I_1 \supseteq I_2 \supseteq \cdots$  there exists some  $k \ge 1$  such that  $I_k = I_{k+1} = \cdots$ . **Prove** that ab = 1 implies ba = 1 in an Artinian ring. [Hint: Consider the descending chain of right ideals  $R \supseteq bR \supseteq b^2R \supseteq \cdots$  (I don't use the notation  $(b^2)$  since R is not commutative). Show that there exists some  $c \in R$  with  $b^k = b^{k+1}c$ .]

## **Problems on Integral Domains**

**2.** Let *R* be an integral domain. We say that  $a, b \in R$  are associates if b = ua where *u* is a unit. **Prove** that *a* and *b* are associates if and only if (a) = (b).

**3.** We say that a is a proper divisor of b if b = aq and neither a nor q is a unit. **Prove** that a is a proper divisor of b if and only if (b) < (a) < (1) — where " < " means strict containment of ideals.

It's good to have alternate definitions for important concepts. The next two problems show that "integral domain" equals "subring of a field".

**4.** Let *R* be a subring of a field (i.e. suppose there exists a field  $\mathbb{F}$  and an injective homomorphism  $\iota: R \to \mathbb{F}$ ). **Prove** that *R* is an integral domain.

5. Let R be an integral domain. Put an equivalence relation on the set  $R^2$  of ordered pairs by saying  $(a,b) \sim (c,d)$  if and only if ad = bc, and let [(a,b)] denote the  $\sim$ -class of (a,b). Now define the field of fractions of R,

$$Frac(R) := \{ [(a, b)] : b \neq 0 \},\$$

with product  $[(a, b)] \times [(c, d)] := [(ac, bd)]$  and sum [(a, b)] + [(c, d)] := [(ad + bc, bd)].

- (a) Show that  $\times$  and + are well-defined on equivalence classes. (It follows that Frac(R) is a field, but you don't need to verify the boring details.)
- (b) Show that the map  $\iota(a) := [(a, 1)]$  is an injective homomorphism  $\iota : R \to \operatorname{Frac}(R)$ .

[Hint: A better name for [(a, b)] might be a/b. Look in the book.]

## Problems on Subrings of $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$

**6.** Show that the subring  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-2}] := \{a + b\sqrt{-2} : a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  with the norm function  $N(a + b\sqrt{-2}) := a^2 + 2b^2$  is a Euclidean domain. [Hint: The principal ideal  $(0) \neq (\beta) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-2}]$  is a grid of rectangles with side lengths  $|\beta|$  and  $\sqrt{2}|\beta|$ . Recall the proof for  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-1}]$ .]

7. Consider the subring  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-3}] \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  with norm  $N(a + b\sqrt{-3}) := a^2 + 3b^2$ .

- (a) Show that  $N(\alpha\beta) = N(\alpha)N(\beta)$  for all  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-3}]$ .
- (b) Show that  $u \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-3}]$  is a unit if and only if N(u) = 1.
- (c) Show that  $N(\alpha) = 4$  implies that  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-3}]$  is irreducible.
- (d) Show that  $4 \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-3}]$  can be factored into irreducibles in two distinct ways.

[It follows that  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-3}]$  is not a UFD, hence not a PID, hence not Euclidean. (What happens when you try to generalize your proof from Problem 6?) However  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-3}]$  isn't a total disaster because it "almost" has unique factorization. By "almost" I mean that  $\mathbb{Z}[(1 + \sqrt{-3})/2]$  — called the ring of "Eisenstein integers" — is a Unique Factorization Domain. We will see, however, that  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$  is a total disaster.]