**1.** Matrix Arithmetic. You will practice matrix arithmetic by examining the formula for *block matrix inversion*. Consider a block matrix

$$P = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} A & B \\ \hline C & D \end{array}\right),$$

where A and D are square, and where the inverse matrices  $A^{-1}$  and  $(D - CA^{-1}B)^{-1}$  exist. To save notation, let's write  $E = D - CA^{-1}B$ . In this case we consider the block matrix

$$Q = \left( \begin{array}{c|c} A^{-1} + A^{-1}BE^{-1}CA^{-1} & -A^{-1}BE^{-1} \\ \hline & -E^{-1}CA^{-1} & E^{-1} \end{array} \right)$$

Check that PQ = I. It is also true that QP = I but please don't check this.

Solution. We want to show that

$$PQ = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} I & O \\ \hline O & I \end{array}\right),$$

where the blocks on the diagonal are identity matrices with the same sizes as A and D. First we observe that the top left entry of PQ is

$$\begin{split} A(A^{-1} + A^{-1}BE^{-1}CA^{-1}) + B(-E^{-1}CA^{-1}) \\ &= AA^{-1} + AA^{-1}BE^{-1}CA^{-1} + B(-E^{-1}CA^{-1}) \\ &= I + IBE^{-1}CA^{-1} - BE^{-1}CA^{-1} \\ &= I + BE^{-1}CA^{-1} - BE^{-1}CA^{-1} \\ &= I + O \\ &= I. \end{split}$$

The bottom left entry of PQ is

$$C(A^{-1} + A^{-1}BE^{-1}CA^{-1}) + D(-E^{-1}CA^{-1})$$
  
=  $(CA^{-1}) + CA^{-1}BE^{-1}(CA^{-1}) - DE^{-1}(CA^{-1})$   
=  $(I + CA^{-1}BE^{-1} - DE^{-1})(CA^{-1})$   
=  $(I + (CA^{-1}B - D)E^{-1})(CA^{-1})$   
=  $(I + (-E)E^{-1})(CA^{-1})$   
=  $(I - I)(CA^{-1})$   
=  $O(CA^{-1})$   
=  $O.$ 

The top right entry is

$$\begin{aligned} A(-A^{-1}BE^{-1}) + BE^{-1} \\ &= -(AA^{-1})BE^{-1} + BE^{-1} \\ &= -BE^{-1} + BE^{-1} \\ &= O. \end{aligned}$$

And the bottom right entry is

$$C(-A^{-1}BE^{-1}) + DE^{-1}$$
  
=  $-CA^{-1}BE^{-1} + DE^{-1}$   
=  $(-CA^{-1}B + D)E^{-1}$   
=  $EE^{-1}$   
=  $I.$ 

Done.

Remark: According to Wikipedia this formula was reinvented many times and is due to Hans Boltz (1923). Suppose that A, B, C, D are just  $1 \times 1$  scalars a, b, c, d, with e = d - bc/a. Then the block inverse formula becomes the familiar formula for the inverse of a  $2 \times 2$  matrix:

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1/a + bc/a^2e & -b/ae \\ -c/ae & 1/e \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} (ae + bc)/a^2e & -b/(ad - bc) \\ -c/(ad - bc) & a/(ad - bc) \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} (ad)/a(ad - bc) & -b/(ad - bc) \\ -c/(ad - bc) & a/(ad - bc) \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} d/(ad - bc) & -b/(ad - bc) \\ -c/(ad - bc) & a/(ad - bc) \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \frac{1}{ad - bc} \begin{pmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{pmatrix}.$$

2. Special  $2 \times 2$  Matrices. For any real number t we define the following matrices:

$$R_t = \begin{pmatrix} \cos t & -\sin t \\ \sin t & \cos t \end{pmatrix}, \quad F_t = \begin{pmatrix} \cos t & \sin t \\ \sin t & -\cos t \end{pmatrix}, \quad P_t = \begin{pmatrix} \cos^2 t & \cos t \sin t \\ \cos t \sin t & \sin^2 t \end{pmatrix}.$$

- (a) Describe what each matrix does geometrically. [Hint: Rotate, reFlect, Project.]
- (b) Check that  $R_s R_t = R_{s+t}$ . What does this mean geometrically?
- (c) Check that  $F_t^2 = I$ . What does this mean geometrically?
- (d) Check that  $P_t^2 = P_t$ . What does this mean geometrically?
- (e) Check that  $F_{2t} + I = 2P_t$ . Draw a picture to show what this means geometrically. [For example, maybe take  $t = \pi/3$  and  $\mathbf{x} = (1,0)$ . Draw the line  $y = \sqrt{3}x$  and the four points  $\mathbf{x}$ ,  $P_t \mathbf{x}$ ,  $F_{2t} \mathbf{x}$ , and  $2P_t \mathbf{x}$ .]
- (a): See the course notes for a discussion of the geometry.
- (b): Using the angle sum formulas gives

$$R_s R_t = \begin{pmatrix} \cos s & -\sin s \\ \sin s & \cos s \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos t & -\sin t \\ \sin t & \cos t \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} \cos s \cos t - \sin s \sin t & -\cos s \sin t - \sin s \cos t \\ \sin t \cos s + \cos s \sin t & -\sin s \sin t + \cos s \cos t \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} \cos(s+t) & -\sin(s+t) \\ \sin(s+t) & \cos(s+t) \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= R_{s+t}.$$

Geometric meaning: Multiplication of matrices is the same as composition of linear functions. Rotating by angle t and then by angle s is the same as rotating once by angle s + t. We see from these arguments that rotation matrices commute:

$$R_s R_t = R_{s+t} = R_t R_s.$$

(b): We have

$$F_t^2 = \begin{pmatrix} \cos t & \sin t \\ \sin t & -\cos t \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos t & \sin t \\ \sin t & -\cos t \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} \cos^2 t + \sin^2 t & \cos t \sin t - \sin t \cos t \\ \sin t \cos t - \cos t \sin t & \sin^2 t + \cos^2 t \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= I.$$

Geometric meaning: Reflecting twice is the same as doing nothing.

(c): We have

$$P_t^2 = \begin{pmatrix} \cos^2 t & \cos t \sin t \\ \cos t \sin t & \sin^2 t \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos^2 t & \cos t \sin t \\ \cos t \sin t & \sin^2 t \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} \cos^4 t + \cos^2 t \sin^2 t & \cos^3 t \sin t + \cos t \sin^3 t \\ \cos^3 t \sin t + \cos t \sin^3 t & \cos^2 t \sin^2 t + \sin^4 t \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} \cos^2 t (\cos^2 t + \sin^2 t) & \cos t \sin t (\cos^2 t + \sin^2 t) \\ \cos t \sin t (\cos^2 t + \sin^2 t) & \sin^2 t (\cos^2 t + \sin^2 t) \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} \cos^2 t & \cos t \sin t \\ \cos t \sin t & \sin^2 t \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= P_t.$$

Geometric meaning: Projecting twice is the same as projecting once.

(d): Using the double angle formulas gives

$$F_{2t} + I = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(2t) & \sin(2t) \\ \sin(2t) & -\cos(2t) \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} \cos(2t) + 1 & \sin(2t) \\ \sin(2t) & -\cos(2t) + 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} 2\cos^2 t & 2\cos t \sin t \\ 2\cos t \sin t & 2\sin^2 t \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= 2 \begin{pmatrix} \cos^2 t & \cos t \sin t \\ \cos t \sin t & \sin^2 t \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= 2P_t.$$

Geometric meaning: This identity expresses the relationship between projection and reflection. Recall that  $F_{2t}$  (resp.  $P_t$ ) are the reflection across (resp. projection onto) the line in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  with positive angle t from the x-axis. Here is a picture:



3. Examples of Matrix Groups. Consider the following sets of matrices:

 $GL_n(\mathbb{R}) = \{ \text{matrices } A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \text{ such that } A^{-1} \text{ exists} \}, \\O_n(\mathbb{R}) = \{ \text{matrices } A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \text{ such that } A^{-1} = A^T \}.$ 

- (a) Check that each of these sets is a *group*. That is, it contains the identity matrix, it is closed under under taking inverses, and it is closed under taking products.
- (b) The equation  $A^T A = I$  tells us that the columns of A are an orthonormal set of vectors. Use this fact to show that every matrix in  $O_2(\mathbb{R})$  is equal to  $R_t$  or  $F_t$  from Problem 2. [Hint: Since the first column has length 1 it equals  $(\cos t, \sin t)$  for some angle t. The second column must be a unit vector that is perpendicular to the first column.]

(a): The identity matrix is invertible with  $I^{-1} = I$ . If  $A^{-1}$  exists then  $(A^{-1})^{-1}$  exists (and is equal to A). If  $A^{-1}$  and  $B^{-1}$  exist then we saw in class that  $(AB)^{-1}$  exists (and is equal to  $B^{-1}A^{-1}$ ). Thus we have shown that  $\operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$  is a group, called the *general linear group*.

A matrix satisfying  $A^{-1} = A^T$  is called *orthogonal*. The identity matrix is orthogonal because  $I^{-1} = I = I^T$ . If A is orthogonal then so is  $A^{-1}$  because

$$(A^{-1})^{-1} = A = (A^T)^T = (A^{-1})^T.$$

If A and B are orthogonal then so is AB because

$$(AB)^{-1} = B^{-1}A^{-1} = B^T A^T = (AB)^T$$

Thus we have shown that  $O_n(\mathbb{R})$  is a group, called the *orthogonal group*.

(b): The condition  $A^{-1} = A^T$  is equivalent to  $A^T A = A A^T = I$ .<sup>1</sup> The statement  $A^T A = I$  says that A has orthonormal columns and the statement  $AA^T = I$  says that A has orthonormal rows. Indeed, let  $\mathbf{a}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{a}_n$  be the columns of A then we have

$$(ij \text{ entry of } A^T A) = (i\text{th row of } A^T)(j\text{th col of } A)$$
  
=  $\mathbf{a}_i^T \mathbf{a}_j$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>And it follows from the Fundamental Theorem that the statements  $A^T A = I$  and  $AA^T = I$  are equivalent to each other.

= (dot product of  $\mathbf{a}_i$  and  $\mathbf{a}_j$ ).

But the *ij* entry of the identity matrix is the Kronecker delta  $\delta_{ij}$ . Hence if  $A^T A = I$  then

(dot product of  $\mathbf{a}_i$  and  $\mathbf{a}_j$ ) =  $\delta_{ij}$ 

and we see that the columns of A are orthonormal.

Now let  $A \in O_2(\mathbb{R})$  with columns  $\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2 \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . Since  $\|\mathbf{a}_1\|^2$  we can write  $\mathbf{a}_1 = (\cos t, \sin t)$  for some unique angle  $t \in [0, 2\pi)$ . Then since  $\mathbf{a}_1 \bullet \mathbf{a}_2 = 0$  and  $\|\mathbf{a}_2\| = 1$  we must have  $\mathbf{a}_2 = (-\sin t, \cos t)$  or  $\mathbf{a}_2 = (\sin t, -\cos t)$ . Picture:



Hence the group  $O_2(\mathbb{R})$  consists of rotations and reflections. Remark: Continuing from Problem 2, one can check the identities:

- $R_s R_t = R_{s+t}$ ,
- $R_sF_t = F_{s+t}$ ,
- $F_s R_t = F_{s-t}$ ,
- $F_s F_t = R_{s-t}$ .

The product of two rotations is a rotation. The product of a rotation and a reflection is a reflection. The product of two reflections is a rotation. Rotations commute with each other, but they don't commute with reflections. Reflections do not commute with each other.

4. Frobenius Norm. For any complex matrix  $A = (a_{ij})$  we define the Frobenius norm:

$$||A||_F := \sqrt{\sum_{i,j} |a_{ij}|^2}.$$

We already know that  $\|\cdot\|_F$  is a norm on the vector space  $\mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$  of  $m \times n$  matrices under addition and scalar multiplication. In this problem you will show that  $\|AB\|_F \leq \|A\|_F \|B\|_F$ for any matrices A, B where the product is AB defined. (a) If  $\mathbf{a}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{a}_m \in \mathbb{R}^{\ell}$  are the columns of  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{\ell \times m}$ , show that

$$||A||_F = \sqrt{||\mathbf{a}_1||^2 + \dots + ||\mathbf{a}_m||^2}.$$

- (b) For any column vectors  $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{C}^{\ell}$ , show that  $\|\mathbf{a}\mathbf{b}^{T}\|_{F} = \|\mathbf{a}\|\|\mathbf{b}\|$ .
- (c) For any real numbers  $x_1, \ldots, x_m$  and  $y_1, \ldots, y_m$  use Cauchy-Schwarz to show that

$$x_1y_1 + \dots + x_my_m \le \sqrt{x_1^2 + \dots + x_m^2} \cdot \sqrt{y_1^2 + \dots + y_m^2}$$

(d) Let  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{\ell \times m}$  have column vectors  $\mathbf{a}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{a}_m \in \mathbb{C}^{\ell}$  and let  $B \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$  have row vectors  $\mathbf{b}_1^T, \ldots, \mathbf{b}_m^T \in \mathbb{C}^n$ . Combine (abc) with the usual triangle inequality to show that  $||AB||_F \leq ||A||_F ||B||_F$ . Hint: Apply  $||\cdot||_F$  to both sides of the formula

$$AB = \mathbf{a}_1 \mathbf{b}_1^T + \dots + \mathbf{a}_m \mathbf{b}_m^T$$

(a): The *j*th column of A is  $\mathbf{a}_j = (a_{1j}, a_{2j}, \dots, a_{\ell j})$ , hence

$$\|\mathbf{a}_j\|_F^2 = \|\mathbf{a}_j\|^2 = |a_{1j}|^2 + \dots + |a_{\ell j}|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} |a_{ij}|^2.$$

Then the Frobenius norm of A satisfies

$$||A||_F^2 = \sum_{i,j} |a_{ij}|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \sum_{j=1}^{m} |a_{ij}|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} |a_{ij}|^2 \right) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} ||\mathbf{a}_j||^2.$$

(b): For any column vectors  $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \ldots, a_\ell)$  and  $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \ldots, b_\ell)$  note that the *ij* entry of the  $\ell \times \ell$  matrix  $\mathbf{a}\mathbf{b}^T$  is  $a_ib_j$ . Recall that the absolute value of complex numbers is multiplicative:  $|a_ib_j| = |a_i||b_j|$ . Hence we have

$$\|\mathbf{a}\mathbf{b}^{T}\|_{F}^{2} = \sum_{i,j} |a_{i}b_{j}|^{2}$$
$$= \sum_{i,j} |a_{i}|^{2} |b_{j}|^{2}$$
$$= \left(\sum_{i} |a_{i}|^{2}\right) \left(\sum_{j} |b_{j}|^{2}\right)$$
$$= \|\mathbf{a}\|_{F}^{2} \|\mathbf{b}\|_{F}^{2}.$$

(c): Given **real** vectors  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_m)$  and  $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \ldots, y_m)$ , the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality for the dot product in  $\mathbb{R}^m$  tells us that

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{x} \bullet \mathbf{y}|^2 &\leq (\mathbf{x} \bullet \mathbf{x})(\mathbf{y} \bullet \mathbf{y}), \\ |\mathbf{x} \bullet \mathbf{y}| &\leq \sqrt{\mathbf{x} \bullet \mathbf{x}} \sqrt{\mathbf{y} \bullet \mathbf{y}}, \\ \mathbf{x} \bullet \mathbf{y} &\leq \sqrt{\mathbf{x} \bullet \mathbf{x}} \sqrt{\mathbf{y} \bullet \mathbf{y}}, \\ x_1 y_1 + \dots + x_m y_m &\leq \sqrt{x_1^2 + \dots + x_m^2} \cdot \sqrt{y_1^2 + \dots + y_m^2} \end{aligned}$$

(d): Finally, let  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{\ell \times m}$  have column vectors  $\mathbf{a}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{a}_m \in \mathbb{C}^{\ell}$  and let  $B \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$  have row vectors  $\mathbf{b}_1^T, \ldots, \mathbf{b}_m^T \in \mathbb{C}^n$ . From the definition of matrix multiplication we have

$$AB = \mathbf{a}_1 \mathbf{b}_1^T + \dots + \mathbf{a}_m \mathbf{b}_m^T$$

Now we apply the Frobenius norm to both sides:

$$\begin{split} \|AB\|_{F} &= \|\mathbf{a}_{1}\mathbf{b}_{1}^{T} + \dots + \mathbf{a}_{m}\mathbf{b}_{m}^{T}\|_{F} \\ &\leq \|\mathbf{a}_{1}\mathbf{b}_{1}^{T}\|_{F} + \dots + \|\mathbf{a}_{m}\mathbf{b}_{m}^{T}\|_{F} \\ &= \|\mathbf{a}_{1}\|\|\mathbf{b}_{1}\| + \dots + \|\mathbf{a}_{m}\|\|\mathbf{b}_{m}\| \\ &\leq \sqrt{\|\mathbf{a}_{1}\|^{2} + \dots + \|\mathbf{a}_{m}\|^{2}}\sqrt{\|\mathbf{b}_{1}\|^{2} + \dots + \|\mathbf{b}_{m}\|^{2}} \end{split} \qquad \text{part (b)}$$

$$= ||A||_F ||B||_F.$$
 part (a)

5. Geometric Series of Matrices (Optional). Let A be a square matrix with  $||A||_F < 1$ . In this problem you will show that I - A is invertible, with a power series expansion that converges with respect to the Frobenius norm:<sup>2</sup>

$$(I - A)^{-1} = I + A^2 + A^3 + \dots = \sum_{k \ge 0} A^k.$$

- (a) Show that  $||A^n||_F \leq ||A||_F^n$ . Use this to show that  $A^n$  converges to the zero matrix.
- (b) Let S<sub>n</sub> = ∑<sup>n</sup><sub>k=0</sub> A<sup>k</sup>, and show that ||S<sub>n</sub>||<sub>F</sub> ≤ ∑<sup>n</sup><sub>k=0</sub> ||A||<sup>k</sup><sub>F</sub>. Then the usual geometric series implies that S<sub>n</sub> is a Cauchy sequence, hence S<sub>n</sub> converges to some matrix T.
  (c) Observe that (I − A)S<sub>n</sub> = I − A<sup>n+1</sup>. Use (a) to show that the right side converges to
- I and use (b) to show that the left side converges to (I A)T. Hence (I A)T = I.
- (d) **Application.** Consider a partitioned matrix

$$P = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} I & R \\ \hline O & Q \end{array}\right),$$

where I is an identity matrix, R is any rectangular matrix and Q is a square matrix satisfying  $||Q||_F < 1$ . Use the geometric series for matrices to show that

$$P^n \to \left( \begin{array}{c|c} I & R(I-Q)^{-1} \\ \hline O & O \end{array} \right) \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$

[Hint: Compute the first few powers of P and observe a pattern.]

(a): It follows directly from Problem 4(d) that  $0 \leq ||A^n||_F \leq ||A||_F^n$  for any square matrix. If  $||A||_F < 1$  then this implies that  $||A||_F^n \to 0$  and hence  $||A^n - O||_F = ||A^n||_F \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ . By definition this means that  $A^n \to O$  with respect to the Frobenius norm.<sup>3</sup>

(b): I guess I should have asked you to prove that  $S_n$  is a Cauchy sequence with respect to the Frobenius norm. So consider integers  $0 < N \leq m < n$  and let  $\lambda := ||A||_F$ . Assuming  $\lambda \neq 1$ we have<sup>4</sup>

$$0 \le \|S_n - S_m\|_F = \left\|\sum_{k=m+1}^n A^k\right\| \le \sum_{k=m+1}^n \|A\|_F^k = \sum_{k=m+1}^n \lambda^k = \frac{\lambda^{m+1} - \lambda^{n+1}}{1 - \lambda}.$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>To be precise, for any sequence of matrices  $X_1, X_2, \ldots$  and for any matrix Y, we say that  $X_n$  converges to Y if and only if  $||X_n - Y||_F$  converges to the number zero. It follows from the completeness of the complex numbers that if  $||X_n - X_m||_F$  gets arbitrarily small for n and m arbitrarily large (i.e., if  $X_n$  is a Cauchy sequence) then there exists some matrix Y such that  $X_n \to Y$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>In fact,  $A^n \to O$  with respect to any norm on  $\mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  because any two norms on a finite dimensional vector space are topologically equivalent. Never mind what that means. <sup>4</sup>The last equality uses  $\sum_{k=0}^{m} \lambda^k = (\lambda^{m+1} - 1)/(\lambda - 1)$  and  $\sum_{k=0}^{n} \lambda^k = (\lambda^{n+1} - 1)/(\lambda - 1)$ .

If  $0 \le \lambda < 1$  then since  $n - m \ge 1$  we have  $0 \le \lambda^{n-m} < 1$  and hence  $0 < 1 - \lambda^{n-m} \le 1$ . But we also have  $0 < 1 - \lambda$  and  $0 < \lambda^{m+1}/(1 - \lambda)$ , hence

$$0 \leq \frac{\lambda^{m+1} - \lambda^{n+1}}{1 - \lambda} = \frac{\lambda^{m+1}}{1 - \lambda} (1 - \lambda^{n-m}) \leq \frac{\lambda^{m+1}}{1 - \lambda}$$

Since  $\lambda^{m+1} \to 0$  as  $m \to \infty$ , it follows that  $(\lambda^{m+1} - \lambda^{n+1})/(1-\lambda) \to 0$  as  $N \to \infty$ .<sup>5</sup> We have shown that  $S_n$  is a Cauchy sequence with respect to the Frobenius norm. By the completeness of Hermitian space it follows that  $S_n$  converges to some matrix T.

(c): We have a straightforward algebraic identity:

$$(I-A)S_n = \sum_{k=0}^n A^k - \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} A^k = I - A^{n+1}.$$

Since  $A^{n+1} \to O$ , the right hand side converges to I. To be precise, we have  $||I - (I - A^{n+1})||_F = ||A^{n+1}||_F \to 0$ , so  $I - A^{n+1} \to I$  by definition of convergence with respect to the Frobenius norm. On the other hand, since  $S_n$  converges to T, the left hand side converges to (I - A)T. To be precise, since  $||S_n - T||_F \to 0$  by definition, we have

$$0 \le \|(I-A)S_n - (I-A)T\|_F = \|(I-A)(S_n - T)\|_F \le \|I-A\|_F \|S_n - T\|_F \to 0.$$

Thus we have shown that (I - A)T = I. We could also show that T(I - A) = I by using the identity  $S_n(I - A) = I - A^{n+1}$ , but this is not necessary because (I - A)T = I implies T(I - A) = I by the Fundamental Theorem.

Remark: As I mentioned above, the Frobenius norm is not special. Essentially the same proof works for any matrix norm  $\|-\|$  satisfying  $\|A\| < 1$ . The furthest we can push this is to say that the geometric series  $\sum_k A^k$  converges if and only if the maximum of the absolute values of the eigenvalues of A is < 1. That result is harder to prove and requires something like the Jordan Canonical Form.

Reminder: Problem 5 was optional.

(d): Application. Let Q be a square matrix with  $||Q||_F < 1$  and consider the matrix

$$P = \left( \begin{array}{c|c} I & R \\ \hline O & Q \end{array} \right).$$

By induction we can show that

$$P^{n} = \left( \begin{array}{c|c} I & R(I+Q+\dots+Q^{n-1}) \\ \hline O & Q^{n} \end{array} \right),$$

whence

$$P^n \to \left(\begin{array}{c|c} I & R(I-Q)^{-1} \\ \hline O & O \end{array}\right) \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$

In class we will apply this result to Markov chains.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Isn't analysis fun?