

Examples of F.T.S.P. :

$$x^2 - e_1 x + e_2 = (x-r)(x-s)$$

$$\begin{cases} e_1 = r+s, & \text{"elementary symm.} \\ e_2 = rs. & \text{functions"} \end{cases}$$

FTSP says any symmetric function of r & s can be expressed as a function of e_1 & e_2 .

e.g. let $\Delta = (r-s)^2$ (the discriminant)
symmetric ✓

Algorithm :

$$\Delta = (r-s)^2 = \underbrace{r^2}_{(2,0)} - 2rs + s^2$$

leading term.

$$e_1^2 = (r+s)^2 = \underbrace{r^2} + 2rs + s^2$$

same leading term.

Subtract :

$$\Delta - e_1^2 = \underbrace{-4rs}_{(1,1)}$$

degree of leading term went down!

Repepent: $-4e_2 = -4rs$ Done ✓

$$\Delta - e_1^2 = -4e_2$$

$$\boxed{\Delta = e_1^2 - 4e_2}$$

That was too easy, so let's consider a cubic polynomial:

$$x^3 - e_1x^2 + e_2x - e_3 = (x-r)(x-s)(x-t)$$

$$\begin{cases} e_1 = r+s+t \\ e_2 = rs+rt+st \\ e_3 = rst \end{cases}$$

By definition, the discriminant is

$$\Delta = (r-s)^2(r-t)^2(s-t)^2.$$

Observe:

- $\Delta = 0 \iff$ repeated root.
- Δ is symmetric in r, s, t .

By FTSP we can express Δ

in terms of coefficients e_1, e_2, e_3 .

Algorithm:

$$\Delta = (r-s)^2 (r-t)^2 (s-t)^2$$

$$= r^4 s^2 + \text{lower terms.}$$

(4, 2, 0)

$$\downarrow$$

$$(4-2, 2-0, 0) = (2, 2, 0)$$

$$e_1^2 e_2^2 e_3^0 = (r+s+t)^2 (rs+rt+st)^2$$

$$= r^4 s^2 + \text{lower terms}$$

Cancel (using computer):

$$\Delta - e_1^2 e_2^2 = -4r^4 st + \text{Lower terms.}$$

(4, 1, 1)

$$\leftarrow (4-1, 1-1, 1)$$

$$-4e_1^3 e_2^0 e_3^1 = -4r^4 st + \text{Lower terms.}$$

$$\Delta - e_1^2 e_2^2 - (-4e_1^3 e_3)$$

= some symmetric polynomial

in r, s, t with lower degree.

Repeat ...

$$\Delta = e_1^2 e_2^2 - 4e_1^3 e_3 - 4e_2^3 + 18e_1 e_2 e_3 - 27e_3^2$$

The discriminant of a cubic.
Please do not memorize!

Easier example on HW5.2.

Why do we care?

If $f(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ has roots
 r, s, t in some field somewhere ...

Then $\Delta = (r-s)^2 (r-t)^2 (s-t)^2$
is a real number!

More generally, any symmetric
function of the roots is real!

Application: Laplace's Proof of FTA.

Theorem (FTA):

Every (nonconstant) $f(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ has a root in \mathbb{C} .

Proof: Suppose $\deg(f) = 2^e \cdot m$ where $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ is odd. We will prove the statement by induction on e .

Base case: $e = 0$, i.e., $\deg(f) = \underline{\text{odd}}$.

By I.V.T. $f(x)$ has a real root (hence also a complex root).

Now let $e \geq 1$ and assume for induction that any real poly. of degree $2^{e-1} \cdot \text{odd}$ has a root in \mathbb{C} .

Let $n = \deg(f) = 2^e \cdot m$.

We assume \exists some field $\mathbb{F} \supseteq \mathbb{C}$ and elements $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{F}$ such that

$$f(x) = (x - \alpha_1)(x - \alpha_2) \cdots (x - \alpha_n).$$

We will show that at least one

root α_i is in \mathbb{C} .

TRICK: For any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $1 \leq i < j \leq n$,
define $\beta_{ij\lambda} = \alpha_i + \alpha_j + \lambda \alpha_i \alpha_j \in \mathbb{F}$,
and consider auxiliary polynomial

$$g_\lambda(x) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (x - \beta_{ij\lambda}) \in \mathbb{F}[x].$$

I claim that in fact

$$g_\lambda(x) \in \underline{\mathbb{R}[x]} !$$

Indeed, each coefficient of $g_\lambda(x)$
is a symmetric function of the $\beta_{ij\lambda}$,
hence a symmetric function of α_i ,
hence (by FTSP) a function of the coeffs
of $f(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x]$, hence is real.

(Of course, we would never want to
write down the formulas!)

Next, compute $\deg(g_\lambda)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\deg(g_x) &= \# \text{ pairs } 1 \leq i < j \leq n \\
&= \binom{n}{2} = \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \\
&= \frac{2^e m (2^e m - 1)}{2} \\
&= 2^{e-1} \underbrace{\left[m (2^e m - 1) \right]}_{\text{odd}}
\end{aligned}$$

By induction, $g_x(x)$ has at least one complex root.

In other words, given $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, \exists some pair $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ such that

$$\beta_{ij\lambda} = \alpha_i + \alpha_j + \lambda \alpha_i \alpha_j \in \underline{\underline{\mathbb{C}}}$$

Since \mathbb{R} is infinite and $\#$ pairs $i < j$ is finite, $\exists \mu \neq \lambda$ and some $i < j$ such that

$$\beta_{ij\lambda} \text{ \& \ } \beta_{ij\mu} \text{ are } \underline{\text{both}} \text{ in } \mathbb{C}.$$

So what?

$$\alpha_i + \alpha_j + \lambda \alpha_i \alpha_j \in \mathbb{C}$$

$$\alpha_i + \alpha_j + \mu \alpha_i \alpha_j \in \mathbb{C}$$

Subtract:

$$\lambda \alpha_i \alpha_j - \mu \alpha_i \alpha_j \in \mathbb{C}$$

$$(\lambda - \mu) \alpha_i \alpha_j \in \mathbb{C}$$

$$\alpha_i \alpha_j \in \mathbb{C}$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha_i + \alpha_j = -\lambda \alpha_i \alpha_j \in \mathbb{C}$$

Summary: $\alpha_i + \alpha_j \in \mathbb{C}$
 $\alpha_i \alpha_j \in \mathbb{C}$

Does this mean that $\alpha_i, \alpha_j \in \mathbb{C}$?

Yes. Because α_i, α_j are the roots of the quadratic polynomial

$$(z - \alpha_i)(z - \alpha_j) = z^2 - (\alpha_i + \alpha_j)z + \alpha_i \alpha_j.$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha_i, \alpha_j = \frac{(\alpha_i + \alpha_j) \pm \sqrt{(\alpha_i + \alpha_j)^2 - 4\alpha_i \alpha_j}}{2}$$

Since every complex number has

a complex square root, we conclude
that $\alpha_i, \alpha_j \in \mathbb{C}$,
hence $f(x)$ has at least one
complex root.

Q.E.D.