**1. Equivalence mod** n. Let S be a set. For each pair  $(x, y) \in S^2$  we define  $x \sim y$  to be either true or false, and we will usually write  $x \sim y$  as shorthand for " $x \sim y$  is true". We say that  $\sim$  is an **equivalence relation** if

- $x \sim x$  for all  $x \in S$ ,
- $x \sim y$  implies  $y \sim x$  for all  $x, y \in S$ ,
- $x \sim y$  and  $y \sim z$  imply  $x \sim z$  for all  $x, y, z \in S$ .

Now let  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  be a **nonzero** integer. For all  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$  we will write  $x \sim_n y$  to mean that n divides x - y (i.e., there exists  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that x - y = nk). Prove that  $\sim_n$  is an equivalence relation on  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

*Proof.* First, consider any integer  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Since  $x - x = 0 = n \cdot 0$  we conclude that  $x \sim_n x$ .

Second, consider any integers  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$  and assume that  $x \sim_n y$ , i.e., assume that there exists  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that x - y = nk. Then we have y - x = -(x - y) = -nk = n(-k), and hence  $y \sim_n x$ .

Third, consider any integers  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$  and assume that  $x \sim_n y$  and  $y \sim_n z$ . In other words, assume that there exist  $k, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that x - y = nk and  $y - z = n\ell$ . Adding these two equations gives

$$x - z = (x - y) + (y - z) = nk + n\ell = n(k + \ell),$$

hence  $x \sim_n z$ . We conclude that  $\sim_n$  is an equivalence relation on  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

**2.** Primitive Roots of Unity. Consider a positive integer  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  and let  $\omega = e^{2\pi i/n}$ .

- (a) Prove that  $\omega^k = \omega^\ell$  if and only if  $k \sim_n \ell$  (as in Problem 1).
- (b) Given an integer k, let m be the smallest positive integer such that  $(\omega^k)^m = 1$ . Show that  $m = \operatorname{lcm}(k, n)/k$ .
- (c) Prove that  $\omega^k$  is a primitive *n*th root of 1 if and only if gcd(k, n) = 1. [Hint: gcd(k, n) = kn/lcm(k, n).]

*Proof.* For part (a), first recall that  $e^{i\theta} = 1$  if and only if  $\theta = 2\pi m$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then

$$\begin{split} \omega^{k} &= \omega^{\ell} \Longleftrightarrow \omega^{k} / \omega^{\ell} = 1 \\ & \Longleftrightarrow \omega^{k-\ell} = 1 \\ & \Leftrightarrow e^{2\pi i (k-\ell)/n} = 1 \\ & \Leftrightarrow 2\pi (k-\ell) / n = 2\pi m \text{ for some } m \in \mathbb{Z} \\ & \Leftrightarrow k - \ell = nm \text{ for some } m \in \mathbb{Z} \\ & \Leftrightarrow k \sim_{n} \ell. \end{split}$$

For part (b), let m be the smallest positive integer such that  $(\omega^k)^m = 1$ . Note from part (a) that

$$(\omega^{k})^{m} = 1 \iff \omega^{km} = 1$$
$$\iff \omega^{km} = \omega^{0}$$
$$\iff km \sim_{n} 0$$
$$\iff km = n\ell \text{ for some } \ell \in \mathbb{Z}$$
$$\iff km \text{ is a multiple of } n$$
$$\iff km \text{ is a common multiple of } k \text{ and } n$$

The last equivalence is true because km is always a multiple of k so this condition is vacuous. If m is the **smallest positive integer** such that km is a common multiple of k and n, then clearly km must be the **least common multiple** of k and n. We conclude that

$$km = \operatorname{lcm}(k, n)$$
$$m = \frac{1}{k}\operatorname{lcm}(k, n)$$

For part (c) we recall (or assume, if we don't recall) that

$$nk = \operatorname{lcm}(k, n) \cdot \operatorname{gcd}(k, n)$$
$$nk/\operatorname{lcm}(k, n) = \operatorname{gcd}(k, n).$$

Also, we recall the definition of primitive roots: Every *n*th root of 1 has the form  $\omega^k$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We say that  $\omega^k$  is a **primitive** *n*th root of 1 if the **smallest positive integer** *m* such that  $(\omega^k)^m = 1$  is m = n. Thus from part (b) we have

$$\omega^{k} \text{ is primitive } \iff n = \frac{1}{k} \operatorname{lcm}(k, n)$$
$$\iff nk/\operatorname{lcm}(k, n) = 1$$
$$\iff \gcd(k, n) = 1.$$

**3.** Euler's Totient Function. Given a positive integer  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  we define

$$\varphi(n) := \#\{k : 0 \le k \le n - 1, \gcd(k, n) = 1\}$$

- (a) Explain why  $\varphi(n)$  is the degree of the cyclotomic polynomial  $\Phi_n(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ .
- (b) If  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$  is prime and m is a positive integer, prove that  $\varphi(p^m) = p^m p^{m-1}$ . [Hint: The only integers less than  $p^m$  that are not coprime to  $p^m$  are the multiples of p. How many of these are there?]
- (c) Prove that for all positive integers n we have

$$\varphi(n) = n \prod_{p|n} \frac{p-1}{p}$$

where the product is over prime numbers p that divide n. [Hint: You can assume without proof that for all coprime  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  we have  $\varphi(ab) = \varphi(a)\varphi(b)$ . Now express n as a product of primes  $n = p_1^{m_1} p_2^{m_2} \cdots$ .]

(d) Compute the degree of  $\Phi_{120}(x)$ . Do not compute  $\Phi_{120}(x)$  itself.

*Proof.* For part (a), recall that the nth cyclotomic polynomial is given by

$$\Phi_n(x) = \prod_{\zeta} (x - \zeta)$$

where  $\zeta$  runs over the primitive *n*th roots of unity. From Problem 2(c) we know that the number of primitive *n*th roots of unity is  $\varphi(n)$ . Let  $\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \ldots, \zeta_{\varphi(n)}$  be the primitive roots. Then by the additivity of degree we have

$$\deg \Phi_n(x) = \deg(x - \zeta_1)(x - \zeta_2) \cdots (x - \zeta_{\varphi(n)})$$
  
= 
$$\deg(x - \zeta_1) + \deg(x - \zeta_2) + \cdots + \det(x - \zeta_{\varphi(n)})$$
  
= 
$$\underbrace{1 + 1 + \cdots + 1}_{\varphi(n) \text{ times}}$$
  
= 
$$\varphi(n).$$

For part (b), let p be prime and let m be a positive integer. To compute  $\varphi(p^m)$  we must count the integers less than  $p^m$  that are coprime to  $p^m$ . In this case it turns out to be easier to count the integers that are **not** coprime to  $p^m$ : these are just the multiples of p (any number that is not a multiple of p is necessarily coprime to  $p^m$  because p is the only prime factor of  $p^m$ ). The multiples of p from  $1 \cdot p$  up to  $p^m = p^{m-1} \cdot p$  are

$$1 \cdot p, 2 \cdot p, 3 \cdot p, \dots, (p^{m-1} - 1) \cdot p, p^{m-1} \cdot p$$

and there are  $p^{m-1}$  of these. Subtracting these from the  $p^m$  numbers  $1, 2, 3, \ldots, p^m$  gives

$$\varphi(p^m) = p^m - p^{m-1}.$$

For part (c), we can factor n as

$$n = p_1^{m_1} p_2^{m_2} \cdots p_k^{m_k}$$

where  $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_k$  are the distinct prime factors of n. Then we use the fact that  $\varphi$  multiplies over coprime factors [we'll just assume this fact; if you want to look it up, it's called the "Chinese remainder theorem"] we get

$$\begin{split} \varphi(n) &= \varphi(p_1^{m_1} p_2^{m_2} \cdots p_k^{m_k}) \\ &= \varphi(p_1^{m_1}) \varphi(p_2^{m_2}) \cdots \varphi(p_k^{m_k}) \\ &= (p_1^{m_1} - p_1^{m_1 - 1}) (p_2^{m_2} - p_2^{m_2 - 1}) \cdots (p_k^{m_k} - p_k^{m_k - 1}) \\ &= p_1^{m_1} \left(\frac{p_1 - 1}{p_1}\right) p_2^{m_2} \left(\frac{p_2 - 1}{p_2}\right) \cdots p_k^{m_k} \left(\frac{p_k - 1}{p_k}\right) \\ &= p_1^{m_1} p_2^{m_2} \cdots p_k^{m_k} \left(\frac{p_1 - 1}{p_1}\right) \left(\frac{p_2 - 1}{p_2}\right) \cdots \left(\frac{p_k - 1}{p_k}\right) \\ &= n \left(\frac{p_1 - 1}{p_1}\right) \left(\frac{p_2 - 1}{p_2}\right) \cdots \left(\frac{p_k - 1}{p_k}\right) \\ &= n \prod_{i=1}^k \left(\frac{p_i - 1}{p_i}\right) \end{split}$$

which is just what we wanted to show.

For part (d), we will apply the formula from part (c) to compute  $\varphi(120)$ . Note that  $120 = 2^3 \cdot 3 \cdot 5$ , so the prime factors are 2, 3, and 5. Then the formula says

$$\varphi(120) = 120 \left(\frac{2-1}{2}\right) \left(\frac{3-1}{3}\right) \left(\frac{5-1}{5}\right)$$
$$= 120 \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \left(\frac{2}{3}\right) \left(\frac{4}{5}\right)$$
$$= 32.$$

We conclude that there are 32 primitive 120th roots of unity, and hence deg  $\Phi_{120}(x) = 32$ . Note that since  $\varphi(120) = 32 = 2^5$  is a power of 2, the Gauss-Wantzel Theorem tells us that the regular 120-gon **is** constructible with straightedge and compass.

$$[ \text{For the curious, my computer told me that } \Phi_{120}(x) = x^{32} + x^{28} - x^{20} - x^{16} - x^{12} + x^4 + 1 \text{ and } x^{120} - 1 = (x - 1)(1 + x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + x)(1 + x^2 + x)(1 - x + x^3 - x^4 + x^5 - x^7 + x^8)(1 + x) \\ (1 - x + x^2 - x^3 + x^4)(1 - x + x^2)(x^8 + x^7 - x^5 - x^4 - x^3 + x + 1)(1 + x^2) \\ (x^8 - x^6 + x^4 - x^2 + 1)(x^4 - x^2 + 1)(x^{16} + x^{14} - x^{10} - x^8 - x^6 + x^2 + 1) \\ (1 + x^4)(x^{16} - x^{12} + x^8 - x^4 + 1)(x^8 - x^4 + 1)(x^{32} + x^{28} - x^{20} - x^{16} - x^{12} + x^4 + 1).$$

Obviously I would never compute that by hand.]

4. Fermat Primes. In 1650, Pierre de Fermat conjectured that every number of the form  $F(n) = 2^{2^n} + 1$  is prime. He based this conjecture on the fact that F(0) = 3, F(1) = 5, F(2) = 17, F(3) = 257, F(4) = 65537 are prime. However, Euler showed in 1732 that F(5) is not prime, and to this day it is not known whether there exist **any** other "Fermat primes". D'oh!

- (a) If  $2^a + 1$  is a prime number, prove that *a* must be a power of 2. [Hint: Suppose that a = bc where *b* is **odd**. Factor the polynomial  $1 x^b$  and then substitute  $x = -2^c$ .]
- (b) Let p be prime. If  $\varphi(p)$  is a power of two, show that p is a Fermat prime.

*Proof.* For part (a) we will prove the contrapositive statement, i.e., we will prove that if a is **not** a power of 2 then  $2^a + 1$  is **not** prime. So assume that a is not a power of 2. This means that a must have an odd factor, say a = bc where b is odd and c is arbitrary. In this case we will show that the number  $2^a + 1$  can be factored. Indeed, note that the polynomial  $1 - x^b$  factors as

$$1 - x^{b} = (1 - x)(1 + x + x^{2} + x^{3} + \dots + x^{b-1})$$

Then substituting  $x = -2^c$  (and using the fact that b is odd) gives

$$1 - (-2^{c})^{b} = (1 + 2^{c})(1 + (-2^{c}) + (-2^{c})^{2} + (-2^{c})^{3} + \dots + (-2^{c})^{b-1})$$
  

$$1 - (-1)^{b}2^{bc} = (1 + 2^{c})(1 - (-1)^{c}2^{c} + (-1)^{2}2^{2c} + (-1)^{3}2^{3c} + \dots + (-1)^{b-1}2^{(b-1)c})$$
  

$$1 + 2^{a} = (1 + 2^{c})(1 - 2^{c} + 2^{2c} - 2^{3c} + \dots + 2^{(b-1)c})$$

Since  $1 + 2^c$  is not equal to 1 or to  $1 + 2^a$  we conclude that  $1 + 2^a$  is not prime.

For part (b), let p be prime and suppose that  $\varphi(p) = 2^k$  for some k. From Problem 3(b) we know that  $\varphi(p) = p - 1$ , hence

$$\begin{split} \varphi(p) &= 2^k \\ p-1 &= 2^k \\ p &= 2^k+1 \end{split}$$

We conclude that p is a Fermat prime.