## Problem 1. Logical Analysis.

- (a) Let Q and R be logical statements. Use a truth table to prove that  $\neg(Q \lor R)$  is logically equivalent to  $\neg Q \land \neg R$ . [This is called de Morgan's law.]
- (b) Let P, Q, and R be logical statements. Use a truth table to prove that  $(Q \lor R) \Rightarrow P$  is logically equivalent to  $(Q \Rightarrow P) \land (R \Rightarrow P)$ .
- (c) Apply the principles from (a) and (b) to prove that for all integers m and n we have "mn is even"  $\iff$  "m is even or n is even".

[Hint: Let P = "mn is even", Q = "m is even", and R = "n is even". Use part (a) for the " $\Rightarrow$ " direction and use part (b) for the " $\Leftarrow$ " direction.]

Here is the truth table for part (a):

Q	R	$Q \lor R$	$\neg(Q \lor R)$	$\neg Q$	$\neg R$	$(\neg Q \land \neg R)$
			F	F	F	F
		T	F	F	T	F
F	T	T	F	T	F	F
F	F	F	T	T	T	T

Note that the third and sixth columns are equal. And here is the truth table for part (b):

P	Q	R	$Q \lor R$	$(Q \lor R) \Rightarrow P$	$Q \Rightarrow P$	$R \Rightarrow P$	$(Q \Rightarrow P) \land (R \Rightarrow P)$
T	T	T	T	T	T	T	T
T	T	F	T	T	T	T	T
T	F	T	T	T	T	T	T
T	F	F	F	T	T	T	T
F	T	T	T	F	F	F	F
F	T	F	T	F	F	T	F
F	F	T	T	F	T	F	F
F	F	F	F	T	T	T	T

Note that the fifth and eighth columns are equal. Finally, here is the proof of part (c):

*Proof.* Let  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$  and consider the statements P = mn is even", Q = m is even", and R = n is even". We will prove that  $P \Leftrightarrow (Q \lor R)$ , in two separate steps.

First we will prove that  $P \Rightarrow (Q \lor R)$ . To do this we will rewrite the statement using the contrapositive and de Morgan's law to get

$$P \Rightarrow (Q \lor R),$$
$$\neg (Q \lor R) \Rightarrow \neg P,$$
$$(\neg Q \land \neg R) \Rightarrow \neg P.$$

This last statement says that "m and n are both odd"  $\Rightarrow$  "mn is odd". To prove this, assume that m and n are both odd, i.e., assume that there exist integers  $k, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that m = 2k+1 and  $n = 2\ell + 1$ . In this case we have

$$mn = (2k+1)(2\ell+1) = 4k\ell + 2k + 2\ell + 1 = 2(2k\ell + k + \ell) + 1$$

which is odd as desired.

Next we will prove that  $(Q \lor R) \Rightarrow P$ . By part (b) it is enough to prove the equivalent statement  $(Q \Rightarrow P) \land (R \Rightarrow P)$ . In other words, we have to show that "*m* is even"  $\Rightarrow$  "*mn* is even" and "*n* is even"  $\Rightarrow$  "*mn* is even". So assume that *m* is even, i.e., assume that there exists an integer  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that m = 2k. Then we have mn = (2k)n = 2(kn), hence mn is even. Similarly, assume that *n* is even so there exists  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $n = 2\ell$ . Then we have  $mn = m(2\ell) = 2(m\ell)$ , hence mn is even. This proves the result.

Since we have separately shown that  $P \Rightarrow (Q \lor R)$  and  $(Q \lor R) \Rightarrow P$ , we conclude that  $P \Leftrightarrow (Q \lor R)$ , as desired.

**Problem 2.** Absolute Value. Given an integer *a* we define its absolute value as follows:

$$|a| := \begin{cases} a & \text{if } a > 0\\ 0 & \text{if } a = 0\\ -a & \text{if } a < 0 \end{cases}$$

Prove that for all integers a and b we have |ab| = |a||b|. [Hint: Your proof will break into at least five separate cases. You may assume without proof the properties (-a)(-b) = ab and (-a)b = a(-b) = -(ab); we'll prove them later.]

*Proof.* Consider any integers  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We want to show that |ab| = |a||b|. We will break the proof into five cases.

**Case 1:** If at least one of a or b is zero then we have ab = 0, and hence |ab| = 0. On the other hand we also know that at least one of |a| or |b| is zero, hence |a||b| = 0. We conclude that |ab| = |a||b|.

**Case 2:** If a > 0 and b > 0 then ab > 0, so we have |ab| = ab. On the other hand we have |a| = a and |b| = b, hence |a||b| = ab. We conclude that |ab| = |a||b|.

**Case 3:** If a > 0 and b < 0 then ab < 0, so we have |ab| = -(ab). On the other hand, we have |a| = a and |b| = -b, hence |a||b| = a(-b). Since we have assumed that a(-b) = -(ab), this implies that |ab| = |a||b|.

**Case 4:** If a < 0 and b > 0 then ab < 0, so that |ab| = -(ab). On the other hand, we have |a| = -a and |b| = b, so that |a||b| = (-a)b. Since we have assumed that (-a)b = -(ab) this implies that |ab| = |a||b|.

**Case 5:** If a < 0 and b < 0 then ab > 0, so that |ab| = ab. On the other hand, we have |a| = -a and |b| = -b, hence |a||b| = (-a)(-b). Since we have assumed that (-a)(-b) = ab, this implies that |ab| = |a||b|.

[Remark: You've probably used the identity |ab| = |a||b| many times, but maybe you've never thought about why it's true. On HW3 you will finish the job by proving that (-a)b = a(-b) = -(ab) and (-a)(-b) = ab directly from the definition of the integers.]

**Problem 3. Divisibility.** Given integers m and n we will write "m|n" to mean that "there exists an integer k such that n = mk" and when this is the case we will say that "m divides n" or "n is divisible by m". Now let a, b, and c be integers. Prove the following properties.

- (a) If a|b and b|c then a|c.
- (b) If a|b and a|c then a|(bx + cy) for all integers x and y.

- (c) If a|b and b|a then  $a = \pm b$ . [Hint: Use the fact that uv = 0 implies u = 0 or v = 0.]
- (d) If a|b and b is nonzero then  $|a| \leq |b|$ . [Hint: Use the result of Problem 2.]

*Proof.* For part (a), assume that a|b and b|c, i.e., assume that there exist integers  $k, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that b = ak and  $c = b\ell$ . Then we have

$$c = b\ell = (ak)\ell = a(k\ell),$$

hence a|c, as desired.

For part (b), assume that a|b and a|c, i.e., assume that there exist integers  $k, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that b = ak and  $c = a\ell$ . Then for any integers  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$  we have

$$bx + cy = (ak)x + (a\ell)y = a(kx) + a(\ell y) = a(kx + \ell y),$$

hence a|(bx + cy) as desired.

For part (c) assume that a|b and b|a, i.e., assume that there exist integers  $k, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that b = ak and  $a = b\ell$ . Then we have

$$a = b\ell$$
  

$$a = (ak)\ell$$
  

$$a = a(k\ell)$$
  

$$0 = a(k\ell) - a$$
  

$$0 = a(k\ell - 1).$$

If a = 0 then we must have b = 0 and hence  $a = \pm b$  as desired. If  $a \neq 0$  then the equation  $0 = a(k\ell - 1)$  implies that  $k\ell - 1 = 0$ , hence  $k\ell = 1$ . Since k and  $\ell$  are integers, this can only happen when  $k = \ell = \pm 1$ . We conclude that  $a = bk = \pm b$  as desired.

For part (d), let  $b \neq 0$  and assume that a|b, i.e., assume that there exists  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that b = ak. Note that  $k \neq 0$  since otherwise we would have b = 0, which is a contradiction. Since k is a nonzero integer we must have  $1 \leq |k|$ . Then multiplying both sides by |a| and using the result of Problem 2 gives

$$1 \le |k|$$
$$|a| \le |a||k|$$
$$|a| \le |ak|$$
$$|a| \le |b|,$$

as desired.

[Remark: Some of the steps here, such as the fact that  $1 \le |k|$  and the implication " $1 \le |k|$ "  $\Rightarrow$  " $|a| \le |a| |k|$ ", were not fully explained. We'll fill in the gaps later when we see the formal definition of  $\mathbb{Z}$ .]

**Problem 4.** The Square Root of 5. Prove that  $\sqrt{5}$  is not a ratio of integers, in two steps.

- (a) First prove the following **lemma**: Let n be an integer. If  $n^2$  is divisible by 5, then so is n. [Hint: Use the contrapositive and note that there are four separate ways for an integer to be **not** divisible by 5. Sorry it's a bit tedious; we will find a better way to do this later.]
- (b) Use the method of contradiction to prove that  $\sqrt{5}$  is not a ratio of integers. Explicitly quote your lemma in the proof. [Hint: Your proof should begin as follows: "Assume for contradiction that  $\sqrt{5}$  is a ratio of integers. In this case, ..."]

**Lemma:** Let n be an integer. Then we have " $5|n^2 \Rightarrow 5|n^2$ ".

*Proof.* We prove the contrapositive statement " $5 n \neq 5 n^{2}$ ". So assume that 5 does not divide n. In this case we want to show that 5 does **not** divide  $n^2$ . There are four cases.

**Case 1:** If n = 5k + 1 for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  then we have

$$n^{2} = (5k+1)^{2} = 25k^{2} + 10k + 1 = 5(5k^{2} + 2k) + 1,$$

hence  $n^2$  is not divisible by 5.

**Case 2:** If n = 5k + 2 for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  then we have

$$n^{2} = (5k+2)^{2} = 25k^{2} + 20k + 4 = 5(5k^{2} + 4k) + 4,$$

hence  $n^2$  is not divisible by 5.

**Case 3:** If n = 5k + 3 for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  then we have

$$n^{2} = (5k+3)^{2} = 25k^{2} + 30k + 9 = 5(5k^{2} + 6k + 1) + 4,$$

hence  $n^2$  is not divisible by 5.

**Case 4:** If 
$$n = 5k + 4$$
 for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  then we have  
 $n^2 = (5k + 4)^2 = 25k^2 + 40k + 16 = 5(5k^2 + 8k + 3) + 1$ ,  
hence  $n^2$  is not divisible by 5

hence  $n^2$  is not divisible by 5.

[Remark: Here we used the fact that remainders are unique. For example, if  $n^2 = 5$ (something)+4, this means that the remainder of  $n^2 \mod 5$  is 4. In particular, the remainder is not zero. We haven't proved uniqueness of remainders but we will do soon.]

## Theorem: $\sqrt{5} \notin \mathbb{Q}$ .

*Proof.* Assume for contradiction that  $\sqrt{5} \in \mathbb{Q}$ . In this case we can write  $\sqrt{5} = a/b$  where a and b are integers with no common factor except  $\pm 1$ . Square both sides to get

$$\sqrt{5} = a/b$$
  

$$5 = a^2/b^2$$
  

$$5b^2 = a^2.$$

Since  $a^2$  is a multiple of 5 the lemma implies that a = 5k for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Now substitution gives

$$5b^{2} = a^{2}$$
  

$$5b^{2} = (5k)^{2}$$
  

$$5b^{2} = 25k^{2}$$
  

$$b^{2} = 5k^{2}.$$

Since  $b^2$  is a multiple of 5 the lemma implies that  $b = 5\ell$  for some  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ . But now we see that 5 is a common factor of a and b, which contradicts the fact that they have no common factor except ±1. This contradiction implies that our original assumption (i.e., that  $\sqrt{5} \in \mathbb{Q}$ ) was false. 

[Remark: In this proof we assumed that every element of  $\mathbb Q$  can be written in "lowest terms", which we haven't proved yet. We will.]